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## Introductory Example I

## Example C. 1

Syntax definition of programming languages by "Backus-Naur" rules Here: simple arithmetic expressions

```
\(\langle\) Expression〉 ::=0
Expression \(\rangle+\langle\) Expression \(\rangle\)
\(\langle\) Expression \(*\langle\) Expression \(\rangle\)
(〈Expression \(\rangle\) )
```

Meaning:
An expression is either 0 or 1 , or it is of the form $u+v, u * v$, or $(u)$ where $u, v$ are again expressions

## Introductory Example II

## Example C. 1 (continued)

Here we abbreviate 〈Expression〉 as $E$, and use " $\rightarrow$ " instead of ": $:=$ ". Thus:

$$
E \rightarrow 0|1| E+E|E * E|(E)
$$
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## Example C. 1 (continued)

Here we abbreviate $\langle$ Expression〉 as $E$, and use " $\rightarrow$ " instead of "::=". Thus:
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E \rightarrow 0|1| E+E|E * E|(E)
$$

Now expressions can be generated by replacing nonterminal symbols according to rules, beginning with the start symbol $E$ :

$$
E \Rightarrow E * E
$$
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Here we abbreviate $\langle$ Expression〉 as $E$, and use " $\rightarrow$ " instead of "::=". Thus:

$$
E \rightarrow 0|1| E+E|E * E|(E)
$$
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\begin{aligned}
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$$
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## Example C. 1 (continued)

Here we abbreviate $\langle$ Expression〉 as $E$, and use " $\rightarrow$ " instead of " $::=$ ". Thus:

$$
E \rightarrow 0|1| E+E|E * E|(E)
$$

Now expressions can be generated by replacing nonterminal symbols according to rules, beginning with the start symbol $E$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \Rightarrow E * E \\
& \Rightarrow(E) * E \\
& \Rightarrow(E) * 1 \\
& \Rightarrow(E+E) * 1 \\
& \Rightarrow(0+E) * 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Introductory Example II

## Example C. 1 (continued)

Here we abbreviate $\langle$ Expression〉 as $E$, and use " $\rightarrow$ " instead of "::=". Thus:

$$
E \rightarrow 0|1| E+E|E * E|(E)
$$

Now expressions can be generated by replacing nonterminal symbols according to rules, beginning with the start symbol $E$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \Rightarrow E * E \\
& \Rightarrow(E) * E \\
& \Rightarrow(E) * 1 \\
& \Rightarrow(E+E) * 1 \\
& \Rightarrow(0+E) * 1 \\
& \Rightarrow(0+1) * 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Context-Free Grammars I

## Definition C. 2

A context-free grammar (CFG) is a quadruple

$$
G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle
$$

where

- $N$ is a finite set of nonterminal symbols
- $\Sigma$ is the (finite) alphabet of terminal symbols (disjoint from $N$ )
- $\boldsymbol{P}$ is a finite set of production rules of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$ where $A \in N$ and $\alpha \in(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$
- $S \in N$ is a start symbol


## Context-Free Grammars II

## Example C. 3

For the above example, we have:

- $N=\{E\}$
- $\Sigma=\{0,1,+, *,()$,
- $P=\{E \rightarrow 0, E \rightarrow 1, E \rightarrow E+E, E \rightarrow E * E, E \rightarrow(E)\}$
- $S=E$


## Context-Free Grammars II

## Example C. 3

For the above example, we have:

- $N=\{E\}$
- $\Sigma=\{0,1,+, *,()$,
- P $=\{E \rightarrow 0, E \rightarrow 1, E \rightarrow E+E, E \rightarrow E * E, E \rightarrow(E)\}$
- $S=E$


## Naming conventions:

- nonterminals start with uppercase letters
- terminals start with lowercase letters
- start symbol = symbol on LHS of first production
$\Rightarrow$ grammar completely defined by productions


## Context-Free Languages I

## Definition C. 4

Let $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ be a CFG.

- A sentence $\gamma \in(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$ is directly derivable from $\beta \in(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$ if there exist $\pi=A \rightarrow \alpha \in P$ and $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$ such that $\beta=\delta_{1} A \delta_{2}$ and $\gamma=\delta_{1} \alpha \delta_{2}$ (notation: $\beta \stackrel{\pi}{\Rightarrow} \gamma$ or just $\beta \Rightarrow \gamma$ ).
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## Definition C. 4

Let $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ be a CFG.

- A sentence $\gamma \in(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$ is directly derivable from $\beta \in(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$ if there exist $\pi=A \rightarrow \alpha \in P$ and $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$ such that $\beta=\delta_{1} A \delta_{2}$ and $\gamma=\delta_{1} \alpha \delta_{2}$ (notation: $\beta \stackrel{\pi}{\Rightarrow} \gamma$ or just $\beta \Rightarrow \gamma$ ).
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- A word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is called derivable in $G$ if $S \Rightarrow^{*} w$.
- The language generated by $G$ is $L(G):=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid S \Rightarrow^{*} w\right\}$.
- A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ is called context-free (CFL) if it is generated by some CFG.
- Two grammars $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are equivalent if $L\left(G_{1}\right)=L\left(G_{2}\right)$.


## Context-Free Languages II

## Example C. 5

The language

$$
\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

is context-free. It is generated by the grammar $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ with

- $N=\{S\}$
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- $P=\{S \rightarrow a S b \mid \varepsilon\}$
(proof: generating $a^{n} b^{n}$ requires exactly $n$ applications of the first and one concluding application of the second rule)


## Context-Free Languages II

## Example C. 5

The language

$$
\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

is context-free. It is generated by the grammar $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ with

- $N=\{S\}$
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- $P=\{S \rightarrow a S b \mid \varepsilon\}$
(proof: generating $a^{n} b^{n}$ requires exactly $n$ applications of the first and one concluding application of the second rule)

Remark: illustration of derivations by derivation trees

- root labelled by start symbol
- leaves labelled by terminal symbols
- successors of node labelled according to right-hand side of production rule
- sequence of leaf symbols = generated word
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## Seen:

- Context-free grammars
- Derivations
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## Seen:
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## Next:

- Relation between context-free and regular languages
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## Theorem C. 6

1. Every regular language is context-free.
2. There exist CFLs which are not regular.
(Thus: regular languages are a proper subset of CFLs.)

## Proof.

1. Let $L$ be a regular language, and let $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right\rangle$ be a DFA which recognises $L$.
$G_{\mathfrak{A}}:=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ is defined as follows:
$-N:=Q, S:=q_{0}$

- if $\delta(q, a)=q^{\prime}$, then $q \rightarrow a q^{\prime} \in P$
- if $q \in F$, then $q \rightarrow \varepsilon \in P$

Obviously a w-labelled run in $\mathfrak{A}$ from $q_{0}$ to $F$ corresponds to a derivation of $w$ in $G_{\mathfrak{A}}$, and vice versa. Thus $L(\mathfrak{A})=L\left(G_{\mathfrak{A}}\right)$ (example on the following slide).
2. An example is $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ (see Lesson 1).

Intuitive reason for non-regularity: recognising this language requires "unbounded counting" capability.

## From Regular to Context-Free Languages

## Example C. 7
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## Next:

- Decidability of word problem
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## The Word Problem for CFL

## Word Problem for CFL

Given CFG $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ and $w \in \Sigma^{*}$, decide whether $w \in L(G)$ or not.

- Important problem with many applications
- syntax analysis of programming languages
- HTML parsers
- ...
- For regular languages this was easy: just let the corresponding DFA run on w.
- But here: how to decide when to stop a derivation?
- Solution: establish normal form for grammars which guarantees that each nonterminal produces at least one terminal symbol
$\Rightarrow$ Only finitely many combinations to be inspected


## Chomsky Normal Form
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## Definition C. 8

A CFG is in Chomsky Normal Form (Chomsky NF) if every of its productions is of the form

$$
A \rightarrow B C \quad \text { or } \quad A \rightarrow a
$$

## Example C. 9

Consider the grammar $S \rightarrow a b \mid a S b$, which generates $L:=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$. An equivalent grammar in Chomsky NF is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S \rightarrow A B \mid A C & \\
A \rightarrow a & (\text { generates } L) \\
B \rightarrow b & \\
B \rightarrow S B & \\
C \text { generates }\{a\}) \\
C b\}) \\
\left(\text { generates }\left\{a^{n} b^{n+1} \mid n \geq 1\right\}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Conversion to Chomsky Normal Form

## Theorem C. 10

Every CFL L (without $\varepsilon$-productions) can be generated by a CFG in Chomsky NF.
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## Theorem C. 10

Every CFL L (without $\varepsilon$-productions) can be generated by a CFG in Chomsky NF.

## Proof.

Let $L$ be a CFL, and let $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ be some CFG which generates $L$. The transformation of $P$ into rules of the form $A \rightarrow B C$ and $A \rightarrow$ a proceeds in three steps:

1. terminal symbols only in rules of the form $A \rightarrow a$
(thus all other rules have the shape $A \rightarrow A_{1} \ldots A_{n}$ )
2. elimination of "chain rules" of the form $A \rightarrow B$
3. elimination of rules of the form $A \rightarrow A_{1} \ldots A_{n}$ where $n>2$
(see following slides for details)

## Step 1: Only $A \rightarrow a$

## Procedure

1. For every terminal symbol $a \in \Sigma$, introduce a new nonterminal symbol $B_{a} \in N$.
2. Add corresponding productions $B_{a} \rightarrow a$ to $P$.
3. In each original production $A \rightarrow \alpha$, replace every $a \in \Sigma$ with $B_{a}$. This yields $G^{\prime}$.

## Step 1: Only $A \rightarrow a$

## Procedure

1. For every terminal symbol $a \in \Sigma$, introduce a new nonterminal symbol $B_{a} \in N$.
2. Add corresponding productions $B_{a} \rightarrow$ a to $P$.
3. In each original production $A \rightarrow \alpha$, replace every $a \in \Sigma$ with $B_{a}$.

This yields $G^{\prime}$.
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\end{aligned}
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is converted to
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\begin{array}{rlrl}
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## Step 3: Elimination of Rules $A \rightarrow A_{1} \ldots A_{n}$ with $n>2$

## Procedure

Iteratively apply the following transformation:

1. For every $A \rightarrow A_{1} \ldots A_{n}$ with $n>2$, introduce a new nonterminal symbol $B \in N$.
2. Replace original production by $A \rightarrow A_{1} B$.
3. Add new production $B \rightarrow A_{2} \ldots A_{n}$.

This yields $G^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
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## Example C. 13

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime \prime}: S & \rightarrow A B \mid A S B \quad \text { is converted to } \quad G^{\prime \prime \prime}: S \\
A & \rightarrow a \\
B & \rightarrow b \\
& \rightarrow a \mid A C \\
& B \rightarrow b \\
& C \rightarrow S B
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary: Chomsky Normal Form

## Seen:

- Chomsky NF: all productions of the form $A \rightarrow B C$ or $A \rightarrow a$
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## Seen:
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## Next:

- Exploit Chomsky Normal Form to solve word problem for CFL


## Outline of Part C

Context-Free Grammars and Languages
Context-Free vs. Regular Languages
Chomsky Normal Form
The Word Problem for Context-Free Languages
The Emptiness Problem for Context-Free Languages
Closure Properties of Context-Free Languages
Pushdown Automata
Pushdown Automata and Context-Free Languages

## The Word Problem for CFL

Word Problem for $\varepsilon$-free CFL
Given CFG $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ such that $\varepsilon \notin L(G)$ and $w \in \Sigma^{+}$, decide whether $w \in L(G)$ or not.
(If $w=\varepsilon$, then $w \in L(G)$ easily decidable for arbitrary $G$ )
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## Algorithm C. 14 (by Cocke, Younger, Kasami - CYK algorithm)

1. Transform $G$ into Chomsky NF
2. Let $w=a_{1} \ldots a_{n}(n \geq 1)$
3. Let $w[i, j]:=a_{i} \ldots a_{j}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$
4. Consider segments $w[i, j]$ in order of increasing length, starting with $w[i, i]=a_{i}$ (i.e., letters)
5. In each case, determine $N_{i, j}:=\left\{A \in N \mid A \Rightarrow^{*} w[i, j]\right\}$ using a "dynamic programming" approach:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -i=j: N_{i, i}=\left\{A \in N \mid A \rightarrow a_{i} \in P\right\} \\
& -i<j: N_{i, j}=\left\{A \in N \mid \exists B, C \in N, k \in\{i, \ldots, j-1\}: A \rightarrow B C \in P, B \in N_{i, k}, C \in N_{k+1, j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Test whether $S \in N_{1, n}$ (and thus, whether $S \Rightarrow^{*} w[1, n]=w$ )

## Matrix Representation of CYK Algorithm

|  | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i \backslash j$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $n$ |
| 1 | $N_{1,1}$ | $N_{1,2}$ | $N_{1,3}$ | $\cdots$ | $N_{1, n}$ |
| 2 | $X$ | $N_{2,2}$ | $N_{2,3}$ | $\cdots$ | $N_{2, n}$ |
| 3 | $X$ | $X$ | $N_{3,3}$ | $\cdots$ | $N_{3, n}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $n$ | $X$ | $X$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $N_{n, n}$ |
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## Matrix Representation of CYK Algorithm

$$
\begin{array}{rl|l}
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\begin{aligned}
N_{1,1} & =\left\{A \in N \mid A \rightarrow a_{1} \in P\right\} \\
N_{2,2} & =\left\{A \in N \mid A \rightarrow a_{2} \in P\right\} \\
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& \cup\left\{A \in N \mid \exists B, C \in N: A \rightarrow B C \in P, B \in N_{1,2}, C \in N_{3,3}\right\} \\
N_{2,4} & =\left\{A \in N \mid \exists B, C \in N: A \rightarrow B C \in P, B \in N_{2,2}, C \in N_{3,4}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{A \in N \mid \exists B, C \in N: A \rightarrow B C \in P, B \in N_{2,3}, C \in N_{4,4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Applying the CYK Algorithm

## Example C. 15

- $G: S \rightarrow S A \mid a$
$A \rightarrow B S$
$B \rightarrow B B|B S| b \mid c$
- $w=a b a a b a$
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## Emptiness Problem for CFL

Given CFG $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$, decide whether $L(G)=\emptyset$ or not.

- Important problem with many applications
- consistency of context-free language definitions
- correctness properties of recursive programs
- ...
- For regular languages this was easy: check in the corresponding DFA whether some final state is reachable from the initial state.
- Here: test whether start symbol is productive, i.e., whether it generates a terminal word
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    Input: \(G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle\)
Question: \(L(G)=\emptyset\) ?
Procedure: mark every \(a \in \Sigma\) as productive;
    repeat
            if there is \(A \rightarrow \alpha \in P\) such that all symbols in \(\alpha\) productive then
                mark \(A\) as productive
            end
    until no further productive symbols found;
Output: "no" if S productive, otherwise "yes"
```
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$$
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Question: $L(G)=\emptyset$ ?
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## Algorithm C. 16 (Emptiness Test)

Input: $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$
Question: $L(G)=\emptyset$ ?
Procedure: mark every $a \in \Sigma$ as productive; repeat
if there is $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P$ such that all symbols in $\alpha$ productive then mark $A$ as productive
end
until no further productive symbols found;
Output: "no" if S productive, otherwise "yes"

## Example C. 17

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
G: & S \rightarrow A B \mid C A & \text { 1. Initialisation } \\
& A \rightarrow a & \text { 2. 1st iteration } \\
& B \rightarrow B C \mid A B & \text { 3. 2nd iteration } \\
& C \rightarrow a B \mid b & \text { S productive } \Longrightarrow L(G) \neq \emptyset
\end{array}
$$
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For $i=1,2$, let $G_{i}=\left\langle N_{i}, \Sigma, P_{i}, S_{i}\right\rangle$ with $L_{i}:=L\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $N_{1} \cap N_{2}=\emptyset$, and let $S \notin N_{1} \cup N_{2}$ be a fresh nonterminal. Then

- $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ is generated by $G:=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ with $N:=\{S\} \cup N_{1} \cup N_{2}$ and

$$
P:=\left\{S \rightarrow S_{1} S_{2}\right\} \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}
$$

- $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ is generated by $G:=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ with $N:=\{S\} \cup N_{1} \cup N_{2}$ and

$$
P:=\left\{S \rightarrow S_{1} \mid S_{2}\right\} \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}
$$

- $L_{1}^{*}$ is generated by $G:=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ with $N:=\{S\} \cup N_{1}$ and

$$
P:=\left\{S \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid S_{1} S\right\} \cup P_{1}
$$
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## Theorem C. 19

The set of CFLs is not closed under intersection and complement.

## Proof.

- Intersection: both

$$
L_{1}:=\left\{a^{k} b^{k} c^{\prime} \mid k, I \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \quad(\text { generated by } S \rightarrow A C, A \rightarrow a A b|\varepsilon, C \rightarrow C c| \varepsilon)
$$

and

$$
L_{2}:=\left\{a^{k} b^{\prime} c^{\prime} \mid k, l \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \quad \text { (generated by } S \rightarrow A B, A \rightarrow a A|\varepsilon, B \rightarrow b B c| \varepsilon \text { ) }
$$ are CFLs, but not

$$
L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

(without proof).

- Complement: if CFLs were closed under complement, then also under intersection (as $\left.L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\overline{\overline{L_{1}}} \cup \overline{L_{2}}\right)$.
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| Decidability Results |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | $w \in L$ | $L=\emptyset$ | $L_{1}=L_{2}$ |
| Reg | + | + | + |
| CFL | + | + | - |
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| Decidability Results |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | $w \in L$ | $L=\emptyset$ | $L_{1}=L_{2}$ |
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| Closure Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ | $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ | $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ | $\bar{L}$ | $L^{*}$ |  |
| Reg | + | + | + | + | + |  |
| CFL | + | + | - | - | + |  |
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## Pushdown Automata I

- Goal: introduce an automata model which exactly accepts CFLs
- Clear: DFA not sufficient (missing "counting capability", e.g. for $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ )


## Pushdown Automata I

- Goal: introduce an automata model which exactly accepts CFLs
- Clear: DFA not sufficient (missing "counting capability", e.g. for $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ )
- DFA will be extended to pushdown automata by
- adding a pushdown store which stores symbols from a pushdown alphabet and uses a special bottom symbol
- adding push and pop operations to transitions


## Pushdown Automata II

## Definition C. 20

A pushdown automaton (PDA) is of the form $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ where

- $Q$ is a finite set of states
- $\Sigma$ is the (finite) input alphabet
- $\Gamma$ is the (finite) pushdown alphabet
- $\Delta \subseteq\left(Q \times \Gamma \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(Q \times \Gamma^{*}\right)$ is a finite set of transitions
- $q_{0} \in Q$ is the initial state
- $Z_{0}$ is the (pushdown) bottom symbol
- $F \subseteq Q$ is a set of final states

Interpretation of $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$ : if the PDA $\mathfrak{A}$ is in state $q$ where $Z$ is on top of the stack and $x$ is the next input symbol (or empty), then $\mathfrak{A}$ reads $x$, replaces $Z$ by $\delta$, and changes into the state $q^{\prime}$.

## Configurations, Runs, Acceptance

## Definition C. 21

Let $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ be a PDA.

- An element of $Q \times \Gamma^{*} \times \Sigma^{*}$ is called a configuration of $\mathfrak{A}$.
- The initial configuration for input $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is given by $\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, w\right)$.
- The set of final configurations is given by $F \times\{\varepsilon\} \times\{\varepsilon\}$.
- If $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$, then $(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}, w \in \Sigma^{*}$.
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Let $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ be a PDA.

- An element of $Q \times \Gamma^{*} \times \Sigma^{*}$ is called a configuration of $\mathfrak{A}$.
- The initial configuration for input $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is given by $\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, w\right)$.
- The set of final configurations is given by $F \times\{\varepsilon\} \times\{\varepsilon\}$.
- If $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$, then $(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}, w \in \Sigma^{*}$.
- $\mathfrak{A}$ accepts $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ if $\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, w\right) \vdash^{*}(q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ for some $q \in F$.
- The language accepted by $\mathfrak{A}$ is $L(\mathfrak{A}):=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid \mathfrak{A}\right.$ accepts $\left.w\right\}$.
- A language $L$ is called PDA-recognisable if $L=L(\mathfrak{A})$ for some PDA $\mathfrak{A}$.
- Two PDA $\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{2}$ are called equivalent if $L\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1}\right)=L\left(\mathfrak{A}_{2}\right)$.
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## Example C. 22 (PDA for $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ )

$\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
- $q_{0}$ : construction of PD while reading a's
- $q_{1}$ : deconstruction while reading b's
- $q_{2}$ : accepting state
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- $\Gamma=\left\{Z_{0}, Z\right\}$
- $Z_{0}=$ bottom
$-\# Z$ on PD = $\# a-\# b$ read so far
- $F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z_{0}\right)\right) \quad$ read first a $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z\right)\right) \quad$ read following a's $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read first $b$ $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read following $b$ 's $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ change to final state
- Observation: no transitions for
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- $\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ : more b's than a's
- ...

Accepting run of PDA for input $w=a a b b$ :
(remember: if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$, then $(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)$ )
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(remember: if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$, then $(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)$ )
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\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a a b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, z Z_{0}, a b b\right)
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## Example C. 22 (PDA for $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ )

$\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
- $q_{0}$ : construction of PD while reading a's
- $q_{1}$ : deconstruction while reading $b$ 's
- $q_{2}$ : accepting state
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$-\# Z$ on PD = $\# a-\# b$ read so far
- $F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$
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- $\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ : input must start with a
- $\left(q_{1}, Z, a\right)$ : no a's following b's
- $\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ : more b's than a's
- ...

Accepting run of PDA for input $w=a a b b$ :
(remember: if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$, then $(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)$ )
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## Example C. 22 (PDA for $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ )

$\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
- $q_{0}$ : construction of PD while reading a's
- $q_{1}$ : deconstruction while reading b's
- $q_{2}$ : accepting state
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- $\Gamma=\left\{Z_{0}, Z\right\}$
- $Z_{0}=$ bottom
$-\# Z$ on PD = $\# a-\# b$ read so far
- $F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z_{0}\right)\right) \quad$ read first a $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z\right)\right) \quad$ read following a's $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read first $b$ $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read following b's $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ change to final state
- Observation: no transitions for
- $\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ : input must start with a
- $\left(q_{1}, Z, a\right)$ : no a's following b's
- $\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ : more b's than a's
- ...

Accepting run of PDA for input $w=a a b b$ :
(remember: if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$, then $\left.(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)\right)$

$$
\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a a b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, z Z_{0}, a b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, z z Z_{0}, b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, z Z_{0}, b\right)
$$

## Examples of PDA I

## Example C． 22 （PDA for $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ ）

$\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by
－$Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
－$q_{0}$ ：construction of PD while reading a＇s
－$q_{1}$ ：deconstruction while reading b＇s
－$q_{2}$ ：accepting state
－$\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
－$\Gamma=\left\{Z_{0}, Z\right\}$
－$Z_{0}=$ bottom
$-\# Z$ on PD＝$\# a-\# b$ read so far
－$F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$
－$\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z_{0}\right)\right) \quad$ read first a $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z\right)\right) \quad$ read following a＇s $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read first $b$ $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read following b＇s $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ change to final state
－Observation：no transitions for
－$\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ ：input must start with a
－$\left(q_{1}, Z, a\right)$ ：no a＇s following b＇s
－$\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ ：more b＇s than a＇s
－．．．

Accepting run of PDA for input $w=a a b b$ ：
（remember：if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ，then $(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)$ ）

$$
\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, \text { aabb }\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, Z Z_{0}, a b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, Z Z Z_{0}, b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, Z Z_{0}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right)
$$
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## Example C. 22 (PDA for $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ )

$\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
- $q_{0}$ : construction of PD while reading a's
- $q_{1}$ : deconstruction while reading b's
- $q_{2}$ : accepting state
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- $\Gamma=\left\{Z_{0}, Z\right\}$
- $Z_{0}=$ bottom
$-\# Z$ on PD = $\# a-\# b$ read so far
- $F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z_{0}\right)\right) \quad$ read first a $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, a\right),\left(q_{0}, Z Z\right)\right) \quad$ read following a's $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read first $b$ $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z, b\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ read following b's $\left(\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ change to final state
- Observation: no transitions for
- $\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ : input must start with a
- $\left(q_{1}, Z, a\right)$ : no a's following b's
- $\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, b\right)$ : more b's than a's
- ...

Accepting run of PDA for input $w=a a b b$ :
(remember: if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right) \in \Delta$, then $(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, \delta \gamma, w\right)$ )

$$
\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a a b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, z Z_{0}, a b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, z z Z_{0}, b b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, z Z_{0}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \vdash\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\right)
$$

## Examples of PDA II

## Example C. 23 (PDA for $L=\left\{w w^{R} \mid w \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\}$ (palindromes of even length))

Idea: 1. $\mathfrak{A}$ pushes input $w$
2. switches nondeterministically to the $w^{R}$ recognition phase
3. compares $w$ and $w^{R}$ symbol-wise by matching steps
4. accepts with empty pushdown
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Formally: $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$

- $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
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## Examples of PDA II

## Example C. 23 (PDA for $L=\left\{w w^{R} \mid w \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\}$ (palindromes of even length))

Idea: 1. $\mathfrak{A}$ pushes input $w$
2. switches nondeterministically to the $w^{R}$ recognition phase
3. compares $w$ and $w^{R}$ symbol-wise by matching steps
4. accepts with empty pushdown

Formally: $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$

- $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- $\Gamma=\left\{Z_{0}, a, b\right\}$
- $F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$ $\left(\left(q_{0}, c, c\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ for $c \in \Sigma$ $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}\right)\right)$ $\left(\left(q_{1}, c, c\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ for $c \in \Sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accepting run of PDA for input $w=a b b a$ :

$$
\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, a Z_{0}, b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b a Z_{0}, b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, a Z_{0}, a\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \vdash\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\right)
$$

Observation: $\mathfrak{A}$ is nondeterministic - in a configuration of the form ( $\left.q_{0}, c v, c w\right)$ ( $c \in \Sigma, v, w \in \Sigma^{*}$ ), both (1) and (2) are applicable.

## Examples of PDA II

## Example C. 23 (PDA for $L=\left\{w w^{R} \mid w \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\}$ (palindromes of even length))

Idea: 1. $\mathfrak{A}$ pushes input $w$
2. switches nondeterministically to the $w^{R}$ recognition phase
3. compares $w$ and $w^{R}$ symbol-wise by matching steps
4. accepts with empty pushdown

Formally: $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$

- $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- $\Gamma=\left\{Z_{0}, a, b\right\}$
- $F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(q_{0}, c, c\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad \text { for } c \in \Sigma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$ $\left(\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}\right)\right)$ $\left(\left(q_{1}, c, c\right),\left(q_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \quad$ for $c \in \Sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accepting run of PDA for input $w=a b b a$ :

$$
\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, a b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, a Z_{0}, b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b a Z_{0}, b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, a Z_{0}, a\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \vdash\left(q_{2}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\right)
$$

Observation: $\mathfrak{A}$ is nondeterministic - in a configuration of the form ( $\left.q_{0}, c v, c w\right)$ ( $c \in \Sigma, v, w \in \Sigma^{*}$ ), both (1) and (2) are applicable. This yields rejecting runs, e.g.,

$$
\left(q_{0}, Z_{0}, \text { abba }\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, a Z_{0}, b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b a Z_{0}, b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b b a Z_{0}, a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, a b b a Z_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \nvdash
$$

## Deterministic PDA

## Definition C. 24

A PDA $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is called deterministic (DPDA) if for every $q \in Q, Z \in \Gamma$,

1. for every $x \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon}$, there is at most one $(q, Z, x)$-transition in $\Delta$ and
2. if there is a $(q, Z, a)$-transition in $\Delta$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then there is no $(q, Z, \varepsilon)$-transition in $\Delta$.

Remark: this excludes two types of nondeterminism:

1. if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1}\right)\right),\left((q, Z, x),\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2}\right)\right) \in \Delta$ :

$$
\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1} \gamma, w\right) \dashv(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2} \gamma, w\right)
$$

2. if $\left((q, Z, a),\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1}\right)\right),\left((q, Z, \varepsilon),\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2}\right)\right) \in \Delta$ :

$$
\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1} \gamma, w\right) \dashv(q, Z \gamma, a w) \vdash\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2} \gamma, a w\right)
$$

## Deterministic PDA

## Definition C. 24

A PDA $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is called deterministic (DPDA) if for every $q \in Q, Z \in \Gamma$,

1. for every $x \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon}$, there is at most one $(q, Z, x)$-transition in $\Delta$ and
2. if there is a $(q, Z, a)$-transition in $\Delta$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then there is no ( $q, Z, \varepsilon$ )-transition in $\Delta$.

Remark: this excludes two types of nondeterminism:

1. if $\left((q, Z, x),\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1}\right)\right),\left((q, Z, x),\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2}\right)\right) \in \Delta$ :

$$
\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1} \gamma, w\right) \dashv(q, Z \gamma, x w) \vdash\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2} \gamma, w\right)
$$

2. if $\left((q, Z, a),\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1}\right)\right),\left((q, Z, \varepsilon),\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2}\right)\right) \in \Delta$ :

$$
\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1} \gamma, w\right) \dashv(q, Z \gamma, a w) \vdash\left(q_{2}^{\prime}, \delta_{2} \gamma, a w\right)
$$

## Corollary C. 25

In a DPDA, every configuration has at most one $\vdash$-successor.

## Expressiveness of DPDA

One can show: determinism restricts the set of acceptable languages (DPDA-recognisable languages are closed under complement, which is generally not true for PDA-recognisable languages)

## Expressiveness of DPDA

One can show: determinism restricts the set of acceptable languages (DPDA-recognisable languages are closed under complement, which is generally not true for PDA-recognisable languages)

## Example C. 26

The set of palindromes of even length is PDA-recognisable, but not DPDA-recognisable (without proof).

## Summary: Pushdown Automata

## Seen:

- Extension of finite automata by pushdown store
- Enables "counting" (e.g., $\left.\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}\right)$
- Determinism restricts expressivity (in contrast to finite automata)


## Summary: Pushdown Automata

## Seen:

- Extension of finite automata by pushdown store
- Enables "counting" (e.g., $\left.\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}\right)$
- Determinism restricts expressivity (in contrast to finite automata)


## Next:

- Relation between PDA and context-free languages


## Outline of Part C

Context-Free Grammars and Languages
Context-Free vs. Regular Languages
Chomsky Normal Form
The Word Problem for Context-Free Languages
The Emptiness Problem for Context-Free Languages
Closure Properties of Context-Free Languages
Pushdown Automata
Pushdown Automata and Context-Free Languages

## PDA and Context-Free Languages I

## Theorem C. 27

A language is context-free iff it is PDA-recognisable.

## PDA and Context-Free Languages I

## Theorem C. 27

A language is context-free iff it is PDA-recognisable.

## Proof.

" $\Leftarrow$ ": omitted
" $\Rightarrow$ ": let $G=\langle N, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ be a CFG. Construction of PDA $\mathfrak{A}_{G}$ recognising $L(G)$ :

- $\mathfrak{A}_{G}$ simulates a derivation of $G$ where always the leftmost nonterminal of a sentence is replaced ("leftmost derivation")
- begin with $S$ on pushdown
- if nonterminal on top: apply a corresponding production rule
- if terminal on top: match with next input symbol
(cf. formal construction on following slide)


## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Gamma:=N \cup \Sigma$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $F:=Q$


## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Gamma:=N \cup \Sigma$
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$$
\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle \text { with }
$$

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
- $Z_{0}=S$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$


## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- 「:= N $\cup \Sigma$
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :
$\left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right)$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- 「:= N $\cup \Sigma$
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \quad \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right)
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- 「:= N $\cup \Sigma$
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right)
$$

## PDA and Context－Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C． 27 （continued）．

＂$\Rightarrow$＂：Formally， $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by
－$Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
－for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$（＂expansion＂）
－「：＝N $\cup \Sigma$
－for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$（＂matching＂）
－$Z_{0}:=S$
－$F:=Q$

## Example C． 28 （＂Bracket language＂given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ ）

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with
－$Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
－$\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
－$\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
－$Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ ：

$$
\left.\left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle)
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- 「:= N $\cup \Sigma$
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \\
\vdash & \left.\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- 「:= N $\cup \Sigma$
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \\
\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) & \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle\langle \rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle S,\langle \rangle S,\langle \rangle\langle \rangle\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- 「:= N $\cup \Sigma$
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \\
& \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle\langle \rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- 「:= N $\cup \Sigma$
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \\
\vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) & \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \\
\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right\rangle S,\left\langle q_{0}, S\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) & \left.\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\langle\rangle) \\
\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\rangle) & \vdash\left(q_{0}, S,\langle \rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Gamma:=N \cup \Sigma$
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S,\langle \rangle\right) \\
& \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle,\langle \rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- 「:=N $\cup \Sigma$
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\rangle) \vdash\left(q_{0}, S,\langle \rangle\right) \\
& \left.\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle,\langle \rangle\right) \quad \vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## PDA and Context-Free Languages II

## Proof of Theorem C. 27 (continued).

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Formally, $\mathfrak{A}_{G}:=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ is given by

- $Q:=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Gamma:=N \cup \Sigma$
- $Z_{0}:=S$
- for each $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P:\left(\left(q_{0}, A, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, \alpha\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("expansion")
- for each $a \in \Sigma:\left(\left(q_{0}, a, a\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \in \Delta$ ("matching")
- $F:=Q$


## Example C. 28 ("Bracket language" given by $G: S \rightarrow\langle \rangle|\langle S\rangle| S S$ )

$\mathfrak{A}_{G}=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{0}, Z_{0}, F\right\rangle$ with

- $Q=F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
- $\Delta:\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\left\langle,\langle ),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right.\right.$
- $\Sigma=\{\langle\rangle\},, \Gamma=\{S,\langle\rangle$,
$\left.\left.\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle\right)\right) \quad\left(\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right),\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$
- $Z_{0}=S$
$\left(\left(q_{0}, S, \varepsilon\right),\left(q_{0}, S S\right)\right)$

Accepting run for input $w=\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl} 
& \left(q_{0}, S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) & \vdash\left(q_{0}, S S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) & \vdash\left(q_{0},\langle S\rangle S,\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\langle \rangle\right) \\
\left.\vdash\left(q_{0}, S\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) \\
\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle\right\rangle S,\langle \rangle\right\rangle\rangle) & \left.\left.\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle\right\rangle S,,\right\rangle\right\rangle\rangle) & \left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle S,\right\rangle\rangle) & \vdash\left(q_{0}, S,\langle \rangle\right) \\
\vdash\left(q_{0},\langle \rangle,\langle \rangle\right) & \left.\left.\vdash\left(q_{0},\right\rangle,\right\rangle\right) & \vdash\left(q_{0}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\right) & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Summary: Pushdown Automata and Context-Free Languages

## Seen:

- Construction of PDA for given CFG ( $\Rightarrow$ parser generation!)
- Reverse direction also possible
- Thus: PDA and CFG equivalent


## Summary: Pushdown Automata and Context-Free Languages

## Seen:

- Construction of PDA for given CFG ( $\Rightarrow$ parser generation!)
- Reverse direction also possible
- Thus: PDA and CFG equivalent


## Outlook:

- Equivalence problem for CFG and PDA (" $L\left(X_{1}\right)=L\left(X_{2}\right)$ ?"): generally undecidable, but decidable for DPDA
- Pumping Lemma for CFL (e.g., to prove that $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ not context-free)
- Greibach Normal Form for CFG
- Systematic construction of deterministic and efficient parsers for compilers (LL/LR grammars)
- Non-context-free grammars and languages
(e.g., context-sensitive languages such as $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ )

