THAACHEN UNVERSITY Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2 Software Modeling and Verification Model Checking 2019 Exercise Sheet 1

Prof. Dr. Ir. Dr. h. c. Joost-Pieter Katoen

Model Checking (Winter Term 2019/2020) — Exercise Sheet 1 (due October 25th) —

General Remarks

- The exercises are to be solved in groups of *three* students. For sheet one, it is acceptable to form groups of two, but for the remaining sheets, we require you to form groups of three. You may use the moodle forum to search for group members.
- You may hand in your solutions for the exercises just before the exercise class starts at 10:30 or by dropping them into the "Introduction to Model Checking" box at our chair *before 10:20*. Do *not* hand in your solutions via moodle or via e-mail.
- The solution for the first exercise sheet will be presented in the first exercise class on October 25th.
- Unlike previous years, everybody who registered for the exam will be admitted. The exercise sheets are hence not mandatory anymore but highly recommended. The *marked*[★] *exercises* are very similar to exam questions.

Exercise 1

We call a transition system $TS = (S, Act, \rightarrow, I, AP, L)$

- action-deterministic if $|I| \leq 1$ and $|Post(s, \alpha)| \leq 1$ for all $s \in S$ and $\alpha \in Act$, and
- AP-deterministic if $|I| \leq 1$ and $|Post(s) \cap \{s' \in S \mid L(s') = A\}| \leq 1$ for all $s \in S$ and $A \in 2^{AP}$,

where $\operatorname{Post}(s, \alpha) = \{s' \in S \mid \exists (s, \alpha, s') \in \rightarrow\}$ and $\operatorname{Post}(s) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Act}} \operatorname{Post}(s, \alpha).$

Let the transition system TS_1 be as follows.



- (a) Give the formal definition of TS_1 .
- (b) Specify a finite and an infinite execution of TS_1 .
- (c) Decide whether TS_1 is (i) AP-deterministic, and/or (ii) action-deterministic. Justify your answer.



Exercise 2^{\star}

In the lecture we have seen techniques in order to deal with interleaving. A different approach to deal with interleaving is the parallel composition of transition systems via *handshaking*. The handshaking composition of two transition systems is defined as follows:

Let $TS_i = (S_i, \operatorname{Act}_i, \rightarrow_i, I_i, \operatorname{AP}_i, L_i), i = 1, 2 \text{ and } H \subseteq \operatorname{Act}_1 \cap \operatorname{Act}_2.$

$$TS_1 \parallel_H TS_2 := (S_1 \times S_2, \operatorname{Act}_1 \cup \operatorname{Act}_2, \rightarrow, I_1 \times I_2, \operatorname{AP}_1 \uplus \operatorname{AP}_2, L)$$

where $L(\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle) = L_1(s_1) \cup L_2(s_2)$ and with \rightarrow defined by:

$$\begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \frac{s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1 s'_1}{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle s'_1, s_2 \rangle} & \displaystyle \frac{s_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 s'_2}{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle s_1, s'_2 \rangle} & \text{ interleaving for } \alpha \not\in H \\ \\ \displaystyle \frac{s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1 s'_1}{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle s'_1, s'_2 \rangle} & \text{ handshaking for } \alpha \in H. \end{array}$$

In all following tasks, whenever transition systems are compared via = or \neq , this means (in)equality **up** to isomorphism.

(a) Show that the handshaking \parallel_H operator is not associative, i.e. that in general

$$(\mathrm{TS}_1 \parallel_H \mathrm{TS}_2) \parallel_{H'} \mathrm{TS}_3 \neq \mathrm{TS}_1 \parallel_H (\mathrm{TS}_2 \parallel_{H'} \mathrm{TS}_3)$$

(b) The handshaking operator $\| := \|_H$ for $H = Act_1 \cap Act_2$, that forces transition systems to synchronize over all common actions is associative. Consider the following three transition systems:



Build the composition $(TS_1 \parallel TS_2) \parallel TS_3$.

(c) Show that for arbitrary transition systems $TS_i = (S_i, Act_i, \rightarrow_i, S_0^i, AP_i, L_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, it is

$$\underbrace{(\operatorname{TS}_1 \parallel \operatorname{TS}_2) \parallel \operatorname{TS}_3}_L \, = \, \underbrace{\operatorname{TS}_1 \parallel (\operatorname{TS}_2 \parallel \operatorname{TS}_3)}_R.$$

To this end, show that the bijective function f_{\approx} : $((S_1 \times S_2) \times S_3) \rightarrow (S_1 \times (S_2 \times S_3))$ given by $f_{\approx}(\langle \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle, s_3 \rangle) = \langle s_1, \langle s_2, s_3 \rangle \rangle$ preserves the transition relation in the sense that for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Act}_1 \cup \operatorname{Act}_2 \cup \operatorname{Act}_3$ we have

$$\ell \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow}_{L} \ell' \iff f_{\approx}(\ell) \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow}_{R} f_{\approx}(\ell') \tag{1.1}$$

where $\ell, \ell' \in S_L, S_L$ is the state space of transition system L and $\longrightarrow_L, \longrightarrow_R$ are the transition relations of L and R, respectively.

Hint: When considering an action α , you need only distinguish the cases

- (i) $\alpha \in \operatorname{Act}_1 \setminus (\operatorname{Act}_2 \cup \operatorname{Act}_3)$
- (ii) $\alpha \in (Act_1 \cap Act_2) \setminus Act_3$
- (iii) $\alpha \in \operatorname{Act}_1 \cap \operatorname{Act}_2 \cap \operatorname{Act}_3$

as all other cases are symmetric. Also, for simplicity, it suffices to show the direction " \Longrightarrow " of condition (1.1). However, keep in mind that L and R are not necessarily action-deterministic.

Exercise 3

In the following we show that LT properties are not solely a theoretical concept but have a wide range of practical applications. As proof, we apply the concept of LT properties to movie/TV series quotes.

- (a) We assume each following quote informally describes some property. Formulate these properties as LT properties over the given set AP of atomic propositions:
 - (i) "Winter is coming."AP = {winter}.winter will eventually by reached.
 - (ii) "Everything is awesome."AP = {awesome}.awesome always holds.
 - (iii) "I'll be back."

 $\mathbf{AP} = \{here\}.$

I am currently here but at some point I will not be here. However, I will be here again at a later time.

(iv) "You either die as a hero, or you live long enough to see yourself become the villain." $AP = \{live, hero\}.$

In the beginning, you *live* and are a *hero*. You either cease to *live* and die, still being a *hero*, or you *live* but become the villain, i.e., you are not a *hero* anymore.

(v) "By night one way, by day another Thus shall be the norm Till you receive true love's kiss then, take love's true form." AP = {day, form₁, form₂, true form, kiss}.

You start by having $form_1$ at night, i.e., not day. You alternate between $form_1$ at night and $form_2$ by day. This alternation goes on till at some point you receive true love's kiss and from there on have love's $true_form$.

(vi) "A Lannister always pays his debts."

 $AP = \{in_debt\}.$ Whenever a Lannister is in_debt , he will be in_debt as long as he has not payed back his debt. If he has payed back his debt, he is no longer in_debt . A Lannister can be in_debt arbitrarily (but finitely) many times.

- (vii) "Anything is possible [if you just believe]." $AP = \{ap_1, \dots, ap_n\}.$ We don't consider the second part and just concentrate on the fact, that everything is possible.
- (viii) "It's gonna be legen... wait for it... dary!" AP = {legen, wait_for_it, dary}. In the beginning it is legen, then we have to wait_for_it for some time, and then it is dary at some point.
- (b) Determine for all LT properties of (a) whether they are
 - (i) safety properties and/or
 - (ii) liveness properties.

Justify your answers.