**Probabilistic Programming** Lecture #1: Introduction

Joost-Pieter Katoen



#### RWTH Lecture Series on Probabilistic Programming 2018

## **Overview**

1 What is probabilistic programming?

- 2 What is probabilistic programming good for?
- 3 Which probabilistic programming languages do exist?
- Why are probabilistic programs intricate?
- 5 What are we going to do in this course?
- 6 What do we expect from you?

## Theme of this course

Principles of Probabilistic Programming

#### **Overview**

#### 1 What is probabilistic programming?

- 2 What is probabilistic programming good for?
- 3 Which probabilistic programming languages do exist?
- Why are probabilistic programs intricate?
- 5 What are we going to do in this course?
- 6 What do we expect from you?



"There are several reasons why probabilistic programming could prove to be revolutionary for machine intelligence and scientific modelling."<sup>1</sup>

REVIEW

doi:10.1038/nature14541

# Probabilistic machine learning and artificial intelligence

Zoubin Ghahramani<sup>1</sup>

Joost-Pieter Katoen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Zoubin Ghahramani leads the Cambridge Machine Learning Group, and holds positions at CMU, UCL, and the Alan Turing Institute.



#### What?

They are programs with random assignments and conditioning Why?

- Random assignments: to describe randomised algorithms
- Conditioning: to describe stochastic decision making

## What is probabilistic programming?

The crux of probabilistic programming is to consider normal-looking programs as if they were probability distributions.



The Programming Languages Enthusiast

Michael Hicks, Univ. of Maryland

#### **Overview**

- What is probabilistic programming?
- 2 What is probabilistic programming good for?
  - 3 Which probabilistic programming languages do exist?
- Why are probabilistic programs intricate?
- 5 What are we going to do in this course?
- 6 What do we expect from you?

# Applications



# **Randomised algorithms**



What? Some decisions are based on coin flips

#### ► Why?

- Their conceptual simplicity
- Their speed
  - mostly faster than their deterministic counterpart
  - no particular input elicits worst-case behaviour
- Their existence
  - many solve problems that have no deterministic solution



- Types
  - 1. Las Vegas: always produces correct results, random runtime
  - 2. Monte Carlo: may produce wrong results, deterministic runtime

## Famous randomised algorithms

- Randomised Quicksort
- Rabin-Miller's Primality Test (1980)
- Freivald's Matrix Multiplication (1977)
- Lehmann Rabin's Randomised Mutual Exclusion (1981)
- Hermann's Randomised Self-Stabilising Algorithm (1990)
- The Coupon's Collector Algorithm



# Las Vegas: Sorting by flipping coins

```
Quicksort:

QS(A) =

if |A| <= 1 { return A; }

i := ceil(|A|/2);

A< := {a in A | a < A[i]};

A> := {a in A | a > A[i]};

return QS(A<) ++ A[i] ++ QS(A>)
```

#### Worst case complexity: O(N<sup>2</sup>) comparisons



#### **Randomised Quicksort:**

```
rQS(A) =
    if |A| <= 1 { return A; }
    i := Unif[1...|A|];
    A< := {a in A | a < A[i]};
    A> := {a in A | a > A[i]};
    return rQS(A<) ++ A[i] ++ rQS(A>)
```

#### Worst case complexity: O(N log N) expected comparisons



# Monte Carlo: Matrix multiplication

Input: three  $N^2$  square matrices A, B, and C

**Output**: yes, if  $A \cdot B = C$ ; no, otherwise

Time complexity over the years:

- until end 1960s: cubic (= 3)
- ▶ 1969: 2.808
- ▶ 1978: 2.**796**
- ▶ 1979: 2.7<mark>80</mark>
- ▶ 1981: 2.<mark>522</mark>
- ▶ 1984: 2.**496**
- ▶ 1989: 2.376
- 2014: 2.373
- ▶ 2100: .....

# Monte Carlo: Freivald's matrix multiplication

Input: three  $O(N^2)$  square matrices A, B, and COutput: yes, if  $A \times B = C$ ; no, otherwise

Deterministic: compute  $A \times B$  and compare with C Complexity: in  $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ , best known complexity  $\mathcal{O}(N^{2.37})$ 



Randomised: 1. take a random bit-vector  $\vec{x}$  of size N 2. compute  $A \times (B\vec{x}) - C\vec{x}$ 

- 3. output yes if this yields the null vector; no otherwise
- 4. repeat these steps k times

Complexity: in  $\mathcal{O}(k \cdot N^2)$ , with false positive with probability  $\leq 2^{-k}$ 

#### Coupon collector's problem

#### ON A CLASSICAL PROBLEM OF PROBABILITY THEORY



Joost-Pieter Katoen

**Probabilistic Programming** 

37 40 AT

50

EM 250

#### Coupon collector's problem

```
cp := [0,...,0]; // no coupons yet
i := 1; // coupon to be collected next
x := 0: // number of coupons collected
while (x < N) {
   while (cp[i] != 0) {
        i := uniform(1..N) // next coupon
   }
   cp[i] := 1; // coupon i obtained
   x++; // one coupon less to go
}
```

# Applications



## Security



Turing Award Winners 2013

"Goldwasser and Micali proved (1982) that encryption schemes must be **random** rather than deterministic [...] an insight that revolutionised the study of encryption and laid the foundation for the theory of cryptographic security."

used in almost all communication protocols, Internet transactions and cloud computing

# The famous RSA-OAEP protocol

Oracle 
$$\operatorname{Enc}_{pk}(m)$$
:Game IND-CCA2: $r \notin [0,1]^{k_0}$ ; $s \leftarrow G(r) \oplus (m \parallel 0^{k_1})$ ; $(sk, pk) \leftarrow \mathcal{KG}()$ ; $s \leftarrow G(r) \oplus (m \parallel 0^{k_1})$ ; $t \leftarrow H(s) \oplus r$ ; $return f_{pk}(s \parallel t)$  $b \notin [0,1]$ ;Oracle  $\operatorname{Dec}_{sk}(c)$ : $(s, t) \leftarrow f_{sk}^{-1}(c)$ ; $(s, t) \leftarrow f_{sk}^{-1}(c)$ ; $r \leftarrow t \oplus H(s)$ ;if  $[s \oplus G(r)]_{k_1} = 0^{k_1}$  then return  $[s \oplus G(r)]^n$ else return  $\bot$ Oracle  $G(x)$ :Game POW :if  $x \notin \operatorname{dom}(L_G)$  then  $L_G[x] \notin \{0,1\}^{n+k_1}$ ;return  $L_G[x]$ Oracle  $H(x)$ : $(o,1]^{n+k_1}$ ;if  $x \notin \operatorname{dom}(L_H)$  then  $L_H[x] \notin \{0,1\}^{k_0}$ ;return  $L_H[x]$ 

Joost-Pieter Katoen

# The inventor of Bayesian networks





Photo-Essay BIRTH: September 4, 1936, Tel Aviv. EDUCATION:



United States - 2011

#### CITATION

For fundamental contributions to artificial intelligence through the development of a calculus for probabilistic and causal reasoning.

SHORT A ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY LEC

ACM TURING AWARD LECTURE VIDEO

RESEARCH SUBJECTS



Judea Pearl created the representational and computational foundation for the processing of information under uncertainty.

He is credited with the invention of Bayesian networks, a mathematical formalism for defining complex

# Probabilistic graphical models





#### Student's mood after an exam



How likely does a student end up with a bad mood after getting a bad grade for an easy exam, **given that** she is well prepared?

## Printer troubleshooting in Windows 95



How likely is it that your print is garbled **given that** the ps-file is not and the page orientation is portrait?

[Ramanna et al., Emerging Paradigms in Machine Learning, 2013]

# How Statisticians Found Air France Flight 447 Two Years After It Crashed Into Atlantic

[MIT Technology Review, May 2014]

## Air France flight AF-447



Airbus A-330 flight AF-447



June 1, 2009

## AF447: Last position



## AF447: Failed search attempts



June 6, 2009



June 7, 2009

[Stone et al., Statistical Science, 2013]

## Where is the wreckage?



#### East-west cross section Atlantic

70,000  $\text{km}^2$  were searched, up to 4500 m depth

#### AF447: Guessed position



This guided the acoustic search in April 2010.

#### How statisticians came into the play



# The priors







Reverse drift prior (ocean and wind drift)<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Currents hard to estimate close to equator.

#### Two posteriors for location wreckage







Posterior pdf assuming pingers of black boxes failed

[Daniel Roy, 2011]<sup>a</sup>

# Rethinking the Bayesian approach



"In particular, the graphical model formalism that ushered in an era of rapid progress in AI has proven inadequate in the face of [these] new challenges.

A promising new approach that aims to bridge this gap is probabilistic programming, which marries probability theory, statistics and programming languages"

<sup>a</sup>MIT/EECS George M. Sprowls Doctoral Dissertation Award

## **Overview**

- What is probabilistic programming?
- 2) What is probabilistic programming good for?
- Which probabilistic programming languages do exist?
- Why are probabilistic programs intricate?
- 5 What are we going to do in this course?
- 6 What do we expect from you?

#### Languages

#### Languages:

Probabilistic C ProbLog Church webPPL Figaro PyMC Tabular R2



A. Pfeffer



N. Goodman

#### probabilistic-programming.org



# **Probabilistic Python**



Journal of Statistical Software http://www.jstatsoft.org/

July 2010, Volume 35, Issue 4.

#### PyMC: Bayesian Stochastic Modelling in Python

Anand Patil University of Oxford

David Huard McGill University Christopher J. Fonnesbeck Vanderbilt University
### **Probabilistic Scala**



## **Probabilistic Prolog**

### The Language. Probabilistic Logic Programming

ProbLog makes it easy to express complex, probabilistic models.

```
0.3::stress(X) := person(X).
0.2::influences(X,Y) := person(X), person(Y).
smokes(X) := stress(X).
smokes(X) := friend(X,Y), influences(Y,X), smokes(Y).
0.4::asthma(X) := smokes(X).
person(angelika).
person(joris).
person(joris).
person(jonis).
person(joris, jonas).
friend(joris, jonas).
friend(joris, dimitar).
friend(joris, dimitar).
```

## Probabilistic C

### Probabilistic programming in C.

Probabilistic generative models can be written in pure C, with only two added keywords: **observe**, to condition on data, and **predict**, to output samples from the program's posterior distribution. #include "probabilistic.h"
int main(int argc, char \*\*argv) {
 double var = 2;
 double mu = normal\_rng(1, 5);
 observe(normal\_lnp(9, mu, var));
 observe(normal\_lnp(8, mu, var));
 predictf("mu %f\n", mu);
 return 0;
}

### Hakaru



### Hakaru example: Tug-of-war

```
def pulls(strength real):
    normal(strength, 1)
def winner(a real, b real):
    a_pull <~ pulls(a)
    b_pull <~ pulls(b)
    return (a_pull > b_pull)
alice <~ normal(0,1)
bob <~ normal(0,1)
carol <~ normal(0,1)
match1 <~ winner(alice, bob)
match2 <~ winner(bob, carol)
match3 <~ winner(alice, carol)</pre>
```



see: http://hakaru-dev.github.io/intro/probprog/

### Venture

# MIT Probabilistic Computing Project



### Scenic

### Scenic: Language-Based Scene Generation

Daniel Fremont, Xiangyu Yue, Tommaso Dreossi, Shromona Ghosh, Alberto L. Sangiovanni-Vincentelli and Sanjit A. Seshia

EECS Department University of California, Berkeley Technical Report No. UCB/EECS-2018-8 April 18, 2018

#### http://www2.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2018/EECS-2018-8.pdf

Synthetic data has proved increasingly useful in both training and testing machine learning models such as neural networks. The major problem in synthetic data generation is producing meaningful data that is not simply random but reflects properties of real-world data or covers particular cases of interest. In this paper, we show how a probabilistic programming language can be used to guide data synthesis by encoding domain knowledge about what data is useful. Specifically, we focus on data sets arising from scenes, configurations of physical objects: for example, images of cars on a road. We design a domain-specific language, Scenic, for describing scenarios that are distributions over scenes. The syntax of Scenic makes it easy to specify complex relationships between the positions and orientations of objects. As a

### Scenic example: a badly parked car



Figure 4: A scene of a badly parked car.

1 spot = OrientedPoint on visible curb
2 badAngle = Uniform(1.0, -1.0) \* (10, 20) deg
3 Car left of (spot offset by -0.5 @ 0), \
4 facing badAngle relative to roadDirection

### A bit of Scenic's syntax

Syntax	Distribution
<pre>(low, high) Uniform(value,) Discrete({value: wt,})</pre>	uniform on interval of ℝ uniform over given values discrete with given weights
Normal(mean, stdDev)	normal with given $\mu$ , $\sigma$

### **Scenic operators**



### **Example scenes**



### Edward

### DEEP PROBABILISTIC PROGRAMMING

Dustin Tran Columbia University Matthew D. Hoffman Adobe Research Rif A. Saurous Google Research

Eugene Brevdo Google Brain Kevin Murphy Google Research David M. Blei Columbia University

#### ABSTRACT

We propose Edward, a Turing-complete probabilistic programming language. Edward defines two compositional representations—random variables and inference.

### WebPPL

The Design and Implementation of Probabilistic Programming Languages

# The WebPPL language

WebPPL (pronounced 'web people'), is a small probabilistic programming language built on top of a (purely functional) subset of Javascript. The language is intended to be simple to implement, fairly pleasant to write models in, and a good intermediate target for other languages (such as Church). This page documents the language, illustrating with some very simple examples. Further examples are presented in the examples pages.

#### webppl.org

### **Overview**

- What is probabilistic programming?
- 2 What is probabilistic programming good for?
- 3 Which probabilistic programming languages do exist?
- Why are probabilistic programs intricate?
- 5 What are we going to do in this course?
- 6 What do we expect from you?

### Three elementary issues

- 1. Program correctness
- 2. Termination
- 3. The runtime of a program

### **Issue 1: Program correctness**

#### Classical programs:

- A program is correct with respect to a (formal) specification "for input array A, the output array B is sorted and contains all elements contained in A"
- Defines a deterministic input-output relation
- Partial correctness: if an output is produced, it is correct
- Total correctness: in addition, the program terminates

#### Probabilistic programs:

- They do not always generate the same output
- They generate a probability distribution over possible outputs

### **Issue 2: Termination**

#### Classical programs:

- They terminate (on a given/all inputs), or they do not
- If they terminate, they take finitely many steps to do so
- Showing program termination is undecidable (halting problem)

#### Probabilistic programs:

- They terminate (or not) with a certain likelihood
- They may have diverging runs whose likelihood is zero
- They may take infinitely many steps (on average) to terminate even if they terminate with probability one!
- Showing "probability-one" termination is "more" undecidable
  - and showing they do in finite time on average, even more!

### Issue 3: The program's runtime

### Classical programs:

- They have a deterministic, fixed run-time for a given input
- Runtimes of terminating programs in sequence are compositional:
  - if P and Q terminate in *n* and *k* steps, then P;Q halts in n+k steps
- Analysis techniques: recurrence equations, tree analysis, etc.

#### Probabilistic programs:

- Every runtime has a probability; their runtime is a distribution
- Runtimes of "probability-one" terminating programs may not sum up

if P and Q terminate in n and k steps on average,

then P;Q may need infinitely many steps on average

Analysis techniques: involve reasoning about expected values etc.

### **Overview**

- What is probabilistic programming?
- 2 What is probabilistic programming good for?
- 3 Which probabilistic programming languages do exist?
- 4 Why are probabilistic programs intricate?
- 5 What are we going to do in this course?
- 6 What do we expect from you?

# This course's topics (1)

### Probabilistic programming in webPPL

- examples, recursion, plots, conditioning
- The probabilistic guarded command language pGCL
  - examples, syntax, semantics (Markov chains), conditioning, [recursion]
- Formal reasoning about probabilistic programs
  - weakest pre-conditions, loop invariants, post-conditions, conditioning

# This course's topics (2)

#### Almost-sure termination

- positive a.s.-termination, hardness, stochastic ranking functions
- Analysing runtimes of probabilistic programs
  - examples, finite versus infinite expected runtime, wp-reasoning

#### Bayesian networks

examples, BNs as programs, BN analysis by program verification

### **Course material**

- Lecture material = the slides + the lectures + recent papers
- webPPL and its accompanying book: Noah Goodman and Andreas Stuhlmüller: The Design and Implementation of Probabilistic Programming Languages, 2016. Available from dippl.org
- Course is based on (very recent) papers and the book: Annabelle Mclver and Carroll Morgan: Abstraction, Refinement and Proof for Probabilistic Systems, 2005. Available from http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~carrollm/arp/ARP1-54.pdf

### Lectures

#### Lecture

- Tue 14:30–16:00 (5052), Thu 14:30–16:00 (5055)
- Oct 11, 18, 19, 23, 25, 30
- Nov 6, 9, 13, 15, 20, 27, 29
- Dec 4, 6, 11, 13, 18, 20
- January 8, 10, 31
- Check regularly course web page for possible "no shows"

#### Website

http://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ws-1819/probabilistic-programming/

### **Overview**

- What is probabilistic programming?
- 2 What is probabilistic programming good for?
- 3 Which probabilistic programming languages do exist?
- Why are probabilistic programs intricate?
- 5) What are we going to do in this course?



### Exercises

You are expected to hand in homework exercises

- typically on an almost weekly basis
- working in groups of maximally three students
- practical exercises and theory exercises
- solutions discussed at the exercise classes

#### Start:

- First exercise series: Fri Oct 19
- First exercise class: Fri Oct 26
- The lecture and exercise class are swapped on Nov 8 and 9

#### Assistant: Christoph Matheja

### Examination

- Form: written exam
- ▶ Qualification:  $\geq$  40% of points in exercise series
- Dates:
  - **February 25, 2019** (10:00-12:00)
  - March 27, 2019 (10:00-12:00)
- All slides may be brought to the exam