

---

## Exercise Sheet 6

**Due date:** December 6<sup>th</sup>. You can hand in your solutions at the start of the exercise class.

**Remark:** We started uploading solutions to previous exercises. The password to access the solutions on our website will be announced in the next exercise class.

**Hint:** Notation is as in the lecture. That is,  $c$  is a program,  $b$  a Boolean expression,  $\sigma$  a program state, etc.

### Task 1: Partial Correctness Properties (2 Points)

Goldbach's conjecture states that every even natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can be written as the sum of two primes  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Such a pair  $(p, q)$  is called a *Goldbach partition* of  $n$ .

- Prove that there exists a partial correctness property  $\{A\}c\{B\}$  of a program  $c$  that computes a Goldbach partition of any given natural number  $n$ ? (You do not have to search for such a program  $c$ , it suffices to find suitable assertions  $A, B$ .)
- Does the existence of a program  $c$  satisfying the partial correctness property from (a) prove Goldbach's conjecture? Justify your answer.

### Task 2: Relative Completeness (4 Points)

Intuitively, the weakest precondition  $wp(c, B)$  of a program  $c$  and a postcondition  $B$  in an expressive assertion language is an assertion  $A_0$  that is implied by all assertions  $A$  such that  $\{A\}c\{B\}$  is a valid partial correctness property (see Definition 10.6 from the lecture).

This exercise takes a more detailed look at the proof of relative completeness of Hoare logic.

- Give a formal *syntactic* definition of the weakest precondition of a **while** program  $c$  and an assertion  $B$ . *Hint:* For simplicity, you may use infinite conjunctions and disjunctions which are not allowed in the assertion language from the lecture.
- Prove that Hoare logic is relatively complete. That is, show for all statements  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and all assertions  $B$  that  $\vdash \{wp(c, B)\}c\{B\}$  holds.

### Task 3: Strongest Postconditions (4 Points)

Intuitively, the *strongest postcondition*  $sp(c, A)$  of a program  $c$  and a precondition  $A$  in an expressive assertion language is the strongest assertion  $B$  that holds when running  $c$  on a state satisfying  $A$ . In contrast to weakest preconditions, we thus apply forwards reasoning.

- Formalize the intuitive definition of strongest postconditions from above, i.e. give an exact definition of the set of states described by  $sp(c, A)$ .

- (b) Give a formal *syntactic* definition of the strongest precondition of a **while** program  $c$  and an assertion  $A$ . *Hint:* For simplicity, you may use infinite conjunctions and disjunctions which are not allowed in the assertion language from the lecture.
- (c) Apply your syntactic definition from (b) to compute the following strongest postcondition:

$$sp(x := 2 * x; y := x + 2; z := y + x, x = 1)$$

- (d) Prove or disprove: For every program  $c \in \text{Cmd}$ ,  $\models \{wp(c, sp(c, false))\}c\{sp(c, wp(c, false))\}$ .