

Verifying Probabilistic Programs Using a Hoare like Logic

Tim Quatmann

Seminar on Probabilistic Programs WS 2014/15

RWTH Aachen, Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2
Supervisor: Nils Jansen

February 03, 2015

Motivation

- Aim: Verify claims regarding the variables of a program

Motivation

- Aim: Verify claims regarding the variables of a program
- For non-probabilistic programs:

Hoare Logic (1969)

- Formulate *Hoare triples* of the form

$$\{ \text{precondition} \} \text{program} \{ \text{postcondition} \}$$

where the conditions are first order predicate formulae

- Check whether a Hoare triple holds, i.e.:
 - For every variable assignment satisfying the *precondition*
 - ...the resulting assignment after executing the *program*
 - ...satisfies the *postcondition*
- The *derivation system* H can be used for this purpose

Motivation

The derivation system H:

$$\{p\} \text{ skip } \{p\} \quad (\text{Skip}) \quad \frac{\{p \wedge c\} s \{q\} \quad \{p \wedge \neg c\} s' \{q\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{q\}} \quad (\text{If})$$

$$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\} \quad (\text{Assign}) \quad \frac{\{p \wedge c\} s \{p\}}{\{p\} \text{ while } c \text{ do } s \text{ od } \{p \wedge \neg c\}} \quad (\text{While})$$

$$\frac{\{p\} s \{p'\} \quad \{p'\} s' \{q\}}{\{p\} s; s' \{q\}} \quad (\text{Seq}) \quad \frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} s \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} s \{q'\}} \quad (\text{Cons})$$

Motivation

$\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \rangle$

if $(y = 2)$ then

$x := 3 \cdot x$

else

$x := 2 \cdot x$

fi

$\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$

Motivation

```

 $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \rangle$ 
if (y = 2) then
     $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \wedge y = 2 \rangle$ 

    x := 3 · x
     $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
else
     $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \wedge y \neq 2 \rangle$ 

    x := 2 · x
     $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
fi
 $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
```

Motivation

```

 $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \rangle$ 
if (y = 2) then
   $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \wedge y = 2 \rangle$ 
   $\langle 3 \cdot x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
   $x := 3 \cdot x$ 
   $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
else
   $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \wedge y \neq 2 \rangle$ 

   $x := 2 \cdot x$ 
   $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
fi
 $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
```

Motivation

```

 $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \rangle$ 
if (y = 2) then
   $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \wedge y = 2 \rangle$ 
   $\langle 3 \cdot x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
   $x := 3 \cdot x$ 
   $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
else
   $\langle x \bmod y = 0 \wedge (y = 2 \vee y = 3) \wedge y \neq 2 \rangle$ 
   $\langle 2 \cdot x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
   $x := 2 \cdot x$ 
   $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
fi
 $\langle x \bmod 6 = 0 \rangle$ 
```

Motivation

Is this feasible for *probabilistic programs*?

Motivation

Is this feasible for *probabilistic programs*?

- The value of variables depends on probabilistic choices
- Preconditions and postconditions are only satisfied with a certain probability
- Hence, it is not clear whether a Hoare triple holds

Motivation

Is this feasible for *probabilistic programs*?

- The value of variables depends on probabilistic choices
- Preconditions and postconditions are only satisfied with a certain probability
- Hence, it is not clear whether a Hoare triple holds

We need to extend the occurring notions!

Motivation

Is this feasible for *probabilistic programs*?

- The value of variables depends on probabilistic choices
- Preconditions and postconditions are only satisfied with a certain probability
- Hence, it is not clear whether a Hoare triple holds

We need to extend the occurring notions!

- This is the topic of this talk
- Based on the paper of *den Hartog* (1999):

Verifying Probabilistic Programs using a Hoare like Logic

Overview

- 1 Probabilistic Programs
 - The Language \mathcal{L}_{pw}
 - Probabilistic States
 - Semantics for \mathcal{L}_{pw}
- 2 Probabilistic Predicates
- 3 Hoare Logic for Probabilistic Programs
 - Hoare Triples
 - The Derivation System pH
 - Loops

The Language \mathcal{L}_{pw}

- The language of *probabilistic programs* (\mathcal{L}_{pw}) is given by:

$$s ::= \text{skip} \mid x := e \mid s; s \mid s \oplus_r s \mid \text{if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s \text{ fi} \\ \mid \text{while } c \text{ do } s \text{ od}$$

- $s \oplus_r s'$ can be seen as a (biased) coin flip
 - s is executed with probability r
 - s' is executed with probability $1 - r$
- Semantics will be discussed later

Example: Probabilistic Program

Consider the Program $s \in \mathcal{L}_{pw}$:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  

if ( $x = 0$ ) then  

     $y := 1$   

else  

     $y := 0$   

fi
```

Probabilistic States

- The value of variables depends on probabilistic choices
- The value of two variables might depend on each other

Probabilistic States

- The value of variables depends on probabilistic choices
- The value of two variables might depend on each other

Example

Consider the program:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  
if ( $x = 0$ ) then  
   $y := 1$   
else  
   $y := 0$   
fi
```

After execution we obtain:

- $x = 0$ with probability 0.3
- $y = 0$ with probability 0.7

Probabilistic States

- The value of variables depends on probabilistic choices
- The value of two variables might depend on each other

Example

Consider the program:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  

if ( $x = 0$ ) then  

   $y := 1$   

else  

   $y := 0$   

fi
```

After execution we obtain:

- $x = 0$ with probability 0.3
- $y = 0$ with probability 0.7
- But:
 $x = y = 0$ with probability 0

Probabilistic States

- The value of variables depends on probabilistic choices
- The value of two variables might depend on each other

Example

Consider the program:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  

if ( $x = 0$ ) then  

   $y := 1$   

else  

   $y := 0$   

fi
```

After execution we obtain:

- $x = 0$ with probability 0.3
- $y = 0$ with probability 0.7
- But:
 $x = y = 0$ with probability 0

We need a notion to capture this information!

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables

Example

Consider the program:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  
if ( $x = 0$ ) then  
   $y := 1$   
else  
   $y := 0$   
fi
```

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables

Example

Consider the program:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  

if ( $x = 0$ ) then  

   $y := 1$   

else  

   $y := 0$   

fi
```

After execution the variable assignment is either σ_1 or σ_2 , where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(x) &= 1 & \sigma_1(y) &= 0 \text{ and} \\ \sigma_2(x) &= 0 & \sigma_2(y) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables

Example

Consider the program:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  

if ( $x = 0$ ) then  

   $y := 1$   

else  

   $y := 0$   

fi
```

After execution the variable assignment is either σ_1 or σ_2 , where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(x) &= 1 & \sigma_1(y) &= 0 \text{ and} \\ \sigma_2(x) &= 0 & \sigma_2(y) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Yielding the probabilistic state θ with

$$\theta(\sigma_1) = 0.7 \quad \theta(\sigma_2) = 0.3$$

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables
- A variable assignment (= *deterministic state*) can be seen as a mapping $\sigma: \text{Var} \rightarrow \text{Val}$
- The set of all deterministic states is denoted by \mathcal{S}

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables
- A variable assignment (= *deterministic state*) can be seen as a mapping $\sigma: \text{Var} \rightarrow \text{Val}$
- The set of all deterministic states is denoted by \mathcal{S}
- A probabilistic state is a mapping $\theta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \theta(\sigma) \leq 1$

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables
- A variable assignment (= *deterministic state*) can be seen as a mapping $\sigma: \text{Var} \rightarrow \text{Val}$
- The set of all deterministic states is denoted by \mathcal{S}
- A probabilistic state is a mapping $\theta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \theta(\sigma) \leq 1$

Example

Let: $\theta(\sigma_1) = 0.7$ $\theta(\sigma_2) = 0.3$

$$\sigma_1(x) = 1 \quad \sigma_1(y) = 0$$

$$\sigma_2(x) = 0 \quad \sigma_2(y) = 1$$

Probabilistic States

- A *probabilistic state* assigns a probability ratio to every possible assignment of all variables
- A variable assignment (= *deterministic state*) can be seen as a mapping $\sigma: \text{Var} \rightarrow \text{Val}$
- The set of all deterministic states is denoted by \mathcal{S}
- A probabilistic state is a mapping $\theta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \theta(\sigma) \leq 1$

Example

Let: $\theta(\sigma_1) = 0.7$ $\theta(\sigma_2) = 0.3$

$$\sigma_1(x) = 1 \quad \sigma_1(y) = 0$$

$$\sigma_2(x) = 0 \quad \sigma_2(y) = 1$$

θ can also be depicted by:

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.7	0.3
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1

Operations on Probabilistic States

To define the *semantics* of \mathcal{L}_{pw} as well as *probabilistic predicates*, we need to define the following operations on probabilistic states:

Operations on Probabilistic States

To define the *semantics* of \mathcal{L}_{pw} as well as *probabilistic predicates*, we need to define the following operations on probabilistic states:

Scaling

$$(r \cdot \theta)(\sigma) = r \cdot \theta(\sigma)$$

Merging

$$(\theta + \theta')(\sigma) = \theta(\sigma) + \theta'(\sigma)$$

Restricting

$$c?\theta(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \theta(\sigma) & \text{if } c \text{ is true with respect to } \sigma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example: Scaling and Merging

- Consider the probabilistic states θ and θ' :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	0.2	0.1	$\theta'(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.2
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0	$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

Example: Scaling and Merging

- Consider the probabilistic states θ and θ' :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	0.2	0.1	$\theta'(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.2
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0	$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

- The *scaled* state $0.5 \cdot \theta'$ is given by:

$(0.5 \cdot \theta')(\sigma_i)$	0.1	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

Example: Scaling and Merging

- Consider the probabilistic states θ and θ' :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	0.2	0.1	$\theta'(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.2
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0	$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

- The *scaled* state $0.5 \cdot \theta'$ is given by:

$(0.5 \cdot \theta')(\sigma_i)$	0.1	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

- The *merged* state $\theta + \theta'$ is given by:

$(\theta + \theta')(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0	1	0

Example: Restricting

- Consider the probabilistic state θ :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0

and the condition $c := (x = 1)$

Example: Restricting

- Consider the probabilistic state θ :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0

and the condition $c := (x = 1)$

- The *restricted* states $c?\theta$ and $\neg c?\theta$ are given by:

$c?\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	$\neg c?\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	$\sigma_i(x)$	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

Example: Restricting

- Consider the probabilistic state θ :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0

and the condition $c := (x = 1)$

- The *restricted* states $c?\theta$ and $\neg c?\theta$ are given by:

$c?\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	$\neg c?\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	$\sigma_i(x)$	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

- It holds that $c?\theta + \neg c?\theta = \theta$

Semantics for \mathcal{L}_{pw}

- The semantics for \mathcal{L}_{pw} is given by a mapping

$$\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{L}_{\text{pw}} \rightarrow (\Pi \rightarrow \Pi)$$

where Π is the set of all probabilistic states

- $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(\theta) \in \Pi$ is obtained when starting in θ and executing \mathbf{s}

Semantics for \mathcal{L}_{pw}

- The semantics for \mathcal{L}_{pw} is given by a mapping

$$\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{L}_{pw} \rightarrow (\Pi \rightarrow \Pi)$$

where Π is the set of all probabilistic states

- $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(\theta) \in \Pi$ is obtained when starting in θ and executing \mathbf{s}

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{skip})(\theta) = \theta$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s}')(\theta) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}')(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(\theta))$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s} \oplus_r \mathbf{s}')(\theta) = \left(r \cdot \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(\theta) \right) + \left((1 - r) \cdot \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}')(\theta) \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

Probabilistic Predicates

- We need to express conditions on probabilistic states
- Evaluation to either *true* or *false* is desired
- **Deterministic predicates** as used for non-probabilistic programs are not feasible

Probabilistic Predicates

- We need to express conditions on probabilistic states
- Evaluation to either *true* or *false* is desired
- **Deterministic predicates** as used for non-probabilistic programs are not feasible

Example

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.7	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0

• $\theta \models x = 0 \wedge y \leq 1$?

Probabilistic Predicates

- We need to express conditions on probabilistic states
- Evaluation to either *true* or *false* is desired
- **Deterministic predicates** as used for non-probabilistic programs are not feasible

Example

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.7	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0

- $\theta \models x = 0 \wedge y \leq 1$
 ...only holds with probability 0.3

Probabilistic Predicates

- We need to express conditions on probabilistic states
- Evaluation to either *true* or *false* is desired
- **Deterministic predicates** as used for non-probabilistic programs are not feasible

Example

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.7	0.2	0.1
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0

- $\theta \models x = 0 \wedge y \leq 1$
 ...only holds with probability 0.3

Solution: Probabilistic predicates

- Consider the probability that a deterministic predicate holds

Probabilistic Predicates

- We need to express conditions on probabilistic states
- Evaluation to either *true* or *false* is desired
- **Deterministic predicates** as used for non-probabilistic programs are not feasible

Example

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.7	0.2	0.1	• $\theta \models x = 0 \wedge y \leq 1$
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0	... only holds with probability 0.3
$\sigma_i(y)$	0	1	0	• $\theta \models \mathbb{P}(x = 0 \wedge y \leq 1) = 0.3$

Solution: Probabilistic predicates

- Consider the probability that a deterministic predicate holds

Probabilistic Predicates

- $\mathbb{P}(dp) \prec r$ is a probabilistic predicate for $\prec \in \{<, \leq, =, \geq, >\}$

$$\theta \models \mathbb{P}(dp) \prec r \iff \sum_{\sigma \models dp} \theta(\sigma) \prec r$$

Probabilistic Predicates

- $\mathbb{P}(dp) \prec r$ is a probabilistic predicate for $\prec \in \{<, \leq, =, \geq, >\}$

$$\theta \models \mathbb{P}(dp) \prec r \iff \sum_{\sigma \models dp} \theta(\sigma) \prec r$$

More probabilistic predicates:

- $\neg p, \quad p \wedge q, \quad \exists i: p, \dots$ (common logical operators)
- $p[x/e]$ (replace $x \in \text{Var}$ with $e \in \text{Exp}$)
- $r \cdot p, \quad p + q, \quad c?p$ (describe scaled, merged, restricted versions of states satisfying p, q)

Scaled States

$\theta \models r \cdot p$ if θ is a scaled state $r \cdot \theta'$ for some state θ' that satisfies p

Scaled States

$\theta \models r \cdot p$ if θ is a scaled state $r \cdot \theta'$ for some state θ' that satisfies p

Example

- Consider the state θ :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.1	0.2
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	1	0

and the predicate $p := (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.6) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(y = 1) = 0.6)$

- $\theta \models 0.5 \cdot p$?

Scaled States

$\theta \models r \cdot p$ if θ is a scaled state $r \cdot \theta'$ for some state θ' that satisfies p

Example

- Consider the state θ :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.1	0.2
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	1	0

$\theta'(\sigma_i)$	0.4	0.2	0.4
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	1	0

and the predicate $p := (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.6) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(y = 1) = 0.6)$

- $\theta \models 0.5 \cdot p$ since $\theta = 0.5 \cdot \theta'$ and $\theta' \models p$

Merged States

$\theta \models p + q$ if θ is a merged state $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ for some states θ_1, θ_2
with $\theta_1 \models p$ and $\theta_2 \models q$

Merged States

$\theta \models p + q$ if θ is a merged state $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ for some states θ_1, θ_2
 with $\theta_1 \models p$ and $\theta_2 \models q$

Example

- Consider the state θ and the predicates p and q :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0	1	0

$$p := \mathbb{P}(x = 0 \wedge y = 1) = 0.1$$

$$q := \mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.2$$

- $\theta \models p + q$?

Merged States

$\theta \models p + q$ if θ is a merged state $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ for some states θ_1, θ_2
 with $\theta_1 \models p$ and $\theta_2 \models q$

Example

- Consider the state θ and the predicates p and q :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0	1	0

$$p := \mathbb{P}(x = 0 \wedge y = 1) = 0.1$$

$$q := \mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.2$$

- $\theta \models p + q$ since $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$, $\theta_1 \models p$ and $\theta_2 \models q$ with

$\theta_1(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0	1	0

$\theta_2(\sigma_i)$	0.2
$\sigma_i(x)$	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	0

Restricted States

$\theta \models c?p$ if θ is a restricted state $c?\theta'$ for some state θ' that satisfies p

Restricted States

$\theta \models c?p$ if θ is a restricted state $c?\theta'$ for some state θ' that satisfies p

Example

- Consider the states θ and θ' :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.1	$\theta'(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	1	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0	1	0

- For $c := (y = 1)$ it holds that $\theta = c?\theta'$

Restricted States

$\theta \models c?p$ if θ is a restricted state $c?\theta'$ for some state θ' that satisfies p

Example

- Consider the states θ and θ' :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.1	$\theta'(\sigma_i)$	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4
$\sigma_i(x)$	1	0	$\sigma_i(x)$	1	1	0	0
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	1	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0	1	0

- For $c := (y = 1)$ it holds that $\theta = c?\theta'$

$\Rightarrow \theta \models c?p$ for all predicates p that are satisfied by θ'

$\Rightarrow \theta \models \mathbb{P}(\neg c)=0$

$\Rightarrow \theta \models \left(\mathbb{P}(\text{true})=r \right) \leftrightarrow \left(\mathbb{P}(c)=r \right)$

Shorthand Notations

- $[dp] := \mathbb{P}(dp)=1$
- $p \oplus_r q := (r \cdot p) + ((1 - r) \cdot q)$

Shorthand Notations

- $[dp] := \mathbb{P}(dp)=1$
- $p \oplus_r q := (r \cdot p) + ((1 - r) \cdot q)$

Example

- Consider the state θ and the predicate p :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3	0.7
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	0

$$p = [y = 1] \vee [y = 0]$$

- $\theta \not\models p$

Shorthand Notations

- $[dp] := \mathbb{P}(dp)=1$
- $p \oplus_r q := (r \cdot p) + ((1 - r) \cdot q)$

Example

- Consider the state θ and the predicate p :

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \theta(\sigma_i) & 0.3 & 0.7 \\ \hline \sigma_i(y) & 1 & 0 \end{array} \quad p = [y = 1] \vee [y = 0]$$

- $\theta \not\models p$
- $\theta \models p \oplus_{0.3} p$ since $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \theta_1(\sigma_i) & 0.3 & \\ \hline \sigma_i(y) & 1 & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \theta_2(\sigma_i) & 0.7 & \\ \hline \sigma_i(y) & 0 & \end{array}$$

Shorthand Notations

- $[dp] := \mathbb{P}(dp)=1$
- $p \oplus_r q := (r \cdot p) + ((1 - r) \cdot q)$

Example

- Consider the state θ and the predicate p :

$\theta(\sigma_i)$	0.3 0.7
$\sigma_i(y)$	1 0

$$p = [y = 1] \vee [y = 0]$$

- $\theta \not\models p$
- $\theta \models p \oplus_{0.3} p$ since $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0.3 \cdot \theta'_1 + 0.7 \cdot \theta'_2$ where

$\theta_1(\sigma_i)$	0.3	$\theta_2(\sigma_i)$	0.7	$\theta'_1(\sigma_i)$	1	$\theta'_2(\sigma_i)$	1
$\sigma_i(y)$	1	$\sigma_i(y)$	0	$\sigma_i(y)$	1	$\sigma_i(y)$	0

Note that: $\theta'_1, \theta'_2 \models p$

Hoare Triples for Probabilistic Programs

- Almost similar to the non-probabilistic case

Hoare Triples for Probabilistic Programs

- Almost similar to the non-probabilistic case
- A *Hoare triple* is of the form $\{p\} s \{q\}$
 - p and q are probabilistic predicates called **precondition** and **postcondition**
 - s is a probabilistic program
- $\{p\} s \{q\}$ is said to *hold* if:
 - For all probabilistic states satisfying the precondition p
 - ...the resulting state after executing the program s
 - ...satisfies the postcondition q
- In this case we write $\models \{p\} s \{q\}$

Example: Hoare triples

Consider the Program **s**:

```
( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );  

if ( $x = 0$ ) then  

     $y := 1$   

else  

     $y := 0$   

fi
```


Example: Hoare triples

Consider the Program **s**:

```

(x := 0  $\oplus_{0.3}$  x := 1);
if (x = 0) then
  y := 1
else
  y := 0
fi
    
```

- $\not\models \{ [true] \} \text{ s } \{ \mathbb{P}(x = 0 \wedge y = 0) > 0 \}$

Example: Hoare triples

Consider the Program s :

```

    ( $x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1$ );
    if ( $x = 0$ ) then
         $y := 1$ 
    else
         $y := 0$ 
    fi
    
```

- $\not\models \{ [true] \} s \{ \mathbb{P}(x = 0 \wedge y = 0) > 0 \}$
- $\not\models \{ \mathbb{P}(true) \geq 0 \} s \{ \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \}$

Example: Hoare triples

Consider the Program s :

```

    (x := 0  $\oplus_{0.3}$  x := 1);
    if (x = 0) then
        y := 1
    else
        y := 0
    fi
    
```

- $\not\models \{ [true] \} s \{ \mathbb{P}(x = 0 \wedge y = 0) > 0 \}$
- $\not\models \{ \mathbb{P}(true) \geq 0 \} s \{ \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \}$
- $\models \{ [true] \} s \{ \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \}$

The Derivation System pH

- The derivation system H needs to be adjusted to work for probabilistic programs:
 - Handling of probabilistic choices: $s \oplus_r s'$
 - Handling of probabilistic states where a Boolean condition $c \in BC$ evaluates to *true* (or *false*) with probability < 1
- This yields the new derivation system pH

The Derivation System pH

$$\{p\} \text{ skip } \{p\} \quad (\text{Skip})$$

$$\frac{\{p\} \text{ s } \{q\} \quad \{p'\} \text{ s } \{q\}}{\{p \vee p'\} \text{ s } \{q\}} \quad (\text{Or})$$

$$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\} \quad (\text{Assign})$$

$$\frac{\{p[j]\} \text{ s } \{q\} \quad j \notin p, q}{\{\exists i: p[i]\} \text{ s } \{q\}} \quad (\text{Exists})$$

$$\frac{\{p\} \text{ s } \{p'\} \quad \{p'\} \text{ s}' \{q\}}{\{p\} \text{ s; s}' \{q\}} \quad (\text{Seq})$$

$$\frac{\{p\} \text{ s } \{q[j]\} \quad j \notin p, q}{\{p\} \text{ s } \{\forall i: q[i]\}} \quad (\text{Forall})$$

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} \text{ s } \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} \text{ s } \{q'\}} \quad (\text{Cons})$$

$$\frac{\{c?p\} \text{ s } \{q\} \quad \{\neg c?p\} \text{ s}' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then s else s' fi } \{q + q'\}} \quad (\text{If})$$

$$\frac{\{p\} \text{ s } \{q\} \quad \{p\} \text{ s}' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ s } \oplus_r \text{ s}' \{q \oplus_r q'\}} \quad (\text{Prob})$$

$$\frac{p \text{ invariant for } \langle c, s \rangle}{\{p\} \text{ while } c \text{ do s od } \{p \wedge \mathbb{P}(c)=0\}} \quad (\text{While})$$

The Derivation System pH

pH is correct:

- Only valid Hoare triples can be derived from pH
- This can be shown by induction on the depth of a proof tree
- Consider the last used rule and conclude that the obtained Hoare triple holds

The Derivation System pH

pH is correct:

- Only valid Hoare triples can be derived from pH
- This can be shown by induction on the depth of a proof tree
- Consider the last used rule and conclude that the obtained Hoare triple holds

Is pH complete?

- Can we derive every valid Hoare triple from pH?
- This question is still open

Example: Proof

Consider again the Program s :

```

    (x := 0  $\oplus_{0.3}$  x := 1);
    if (x = 0) then
        y := 1
    else
        y := 0
    fi
    
```

We want to show that

$$\models \{ [true] \} s \{ \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \}$$

Example: Proof

Consider again the Program s :

```

    (x := 0  $\oplus_{0.3}$  x := 1);
    if (x = 0) then
        y := 1
    else
        y := 0
    fi
    
```

We want to show that

$$\models \{ [true] \} s \{ \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \}$$

Auxiliary statement:

$$\models \{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \}$$

Example: Proof

$$\frac{}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \}}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} s \oplus_r s' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

$$\frac{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \}}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \}} \text{ (Cons)}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} s \oplus_r s' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

Show that

$$[x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \text{ implies } (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\models [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &\models 0.3 \cdot [x = 0] + 0.7 \cdot [x \neq 0] \end{aligned}$$

Example: Proof

Show that

$$[x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \text{ implies } (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\models [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &\models 0.3 \cdot [x = 0] + 0.7 \cdot [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \text{ with } \theta_1 \models 0.3 \cdot ([x = 0]) \\ &\quad \theta_2 \models 0.7 \cdot ([x \neq 0]) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Proof

Show that

$$[x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \text{ implies } (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\models [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &\models 0.3 \cdot [x = 0] + 0.7 \cdot [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \text{ with } \theta_1 \models 0.3 \cdot ([x = 0] \wedge \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0) \\ &\quad \theta_2 \models 0.7 \cdot ([x \neq 0] \wedge \mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Proof

Show that

$$[x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \text{ implies } (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\models [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &\models 0.3 \cdot [x = 0] + 0.7 \cdot [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \text{ with } \theta_1 \models 0.3 \cdot ([x = 0] \wedge \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0) \\ &\quad \theta_2 \models 0.7 \cdot ([x \neq 0] \wedge \mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0) \\ \implies \theta &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \text{ with } \theta_1 \models (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0) \\ &\quad \theta_2 \models (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Proof

Show that

$$[x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \text{ implies } (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\models [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &\models 0.3 \cdot [x = 0] + 0.7 \cdot [x \neq 0] \\ \implies \theta &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \text{ with } \theta_1 \models 0.3 \cdot ([x = 0] \wedge \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0) \\ &\quad \theta_2 \models 0.7 \cdot ([x \neq 0] \wedge \mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0) \\ \implies \theta &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \text{ with } \theta_1 \models (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0) \\ &\quad \theta_2 \models (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0) \\ \implies \theta &\models (\mathbb{P}(x = 0)=0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0)=0.7) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Proof

$$\frac{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \}}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \}} \text{ (Cons)}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \} \text{ (Assign)}$$

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} s \oplus_r s' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \}} \text{ (Prob)} \\
 \frac{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \}}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \}} \text{ (Cons)}
 \end{array}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \} \text{ (Assign)}$$

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} s \oplus_r s' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\{ [0 = 0] \} x := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{}{\{ [true] \} x := 1 \{ [x \neq 0] \}} \text{ (Prob)} \\
 \hline
 \{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \} \\
 \hline
 \{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \} \text{ (Cons)}
 \end{array}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \} \text{ (Assign)}$$

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} s \oplus_r s' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\{ [0 = 0] \} \textcolor{blue}{x} := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}} \text{ (Assign)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ [\textcolor{brown}{true}] \} \textcolor{blue}{x} := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{}{\{ [\textcolor{brown}{true}] \} \textcolor{blue}{x} := 1 \{ [x \neq 0] \}} \text{ (Prob)} \\
 \hline
 \{ [\textcolor{brown}{true}] \} \textcolor{blue}{x} := 0 \oplus_{0.3} \textcolor{blue}{x} := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \} \\
 \hline
 \{ [\textcolor{brown}{true}] \} \textcolor{blue}{x} := 0 \oplus_{0.3} \textcolor{blue}{x} := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(\textcolor{brown}{x} = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(\textcolor{brown}{x} \neq 0) = 0.7) \} \text{ (Cons)}
 \end{array}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$$\{ p[x/e] \} \textcolor{blue}{x} := e \{ p \} \text{ (Assign)}$$

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} \textcolor{blue}{s} \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} \textcolor{blue}{s} \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} \textcolor{blue}{s} \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} \textcolor{blue}{s}' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} \textcolor{blue}{s} \oplus_r \textcolor{blue}{s}' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\{ [0 = 0] \} x := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}} \text{ (Assign)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}} \text{ (Cons)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ [1 \neq 0] \} x := 1 \{ [x \neq 0] \}} \text{ (Cons)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ [true] \} x := 1 \{ [x \neq 0] \}} \text{ (Cons)} \\
 \hline
 \{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \} \text{ (Prob)} \\
 \hline
 \{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \} \text{ (Cons)}
 \end{array}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \} \text{ (Assign)}$$

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} s \oplus_r s' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\{ [0 = 0] \} x := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}} \text{ (Assign)} \quad \frac{}{\{ [1 \neq 0] \} x := 1 \{ [x \neq 0] \}} \text{ (Assign)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ [true] \} x := 0 \{ [x = 0] \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{}{\{ [true] \} x := 1 \{ [x \neq 0] \}} \text{ (Cons)} \\
 \hline
 \{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ [x = 0] \oplus_{0.3} [x \neq 0] \} \text{ (Prob)} \\
 \hline
 \{ [true] \} x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1 \{ (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \} \text{ (Cons)}
 \end{array}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \} \text{ (Assign)}$$

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} s \oplus_r s' \{ q \oplus_r q' \}} \text{ (Prob)}$$

Example: Proof

$(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$

if $(x = 0)$ then

$y := 1$

else

$y := 0$

fi

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} s \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} s \{q'\}} \text{ (Cons)}$$

$$\frac{\{c?p\} s \{q\} \quad \{\neg c?p\} s' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{q + q'\}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$

$(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$

if $(x = 0)$ then

$y := 1$

else

$y := 0$

fi

$\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} s \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} s \{q'\}} \text{ (Cons)}$$

$$\frac{\{c?p\} s \{q\} \quad \{\neg c?p\} s' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{q + q'\}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$ $(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$ $\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$ if $(x = 0)$ then $y := 1$	else $y := 0$ fi $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
---	---

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} s \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} s \{q'\}} \text{ (Cons)} \qquad
 \frac{\{c?p\} s \{q\} \quad \{\neg c?p\} s' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{q + q'\}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$	else
$(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$	
$\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$	
$\langle \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7 \rangle$	$y := 0$
if $(x = 0)$ then	fi
$y := 1$	$\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} s \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} s \{q'\}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{c?p\} s \{q\} \quad \{\neg c?p\} s' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{q + q'\}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$
 $(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$
 $\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 if $(x = 0)$ then
 $\langle (x = 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$

 $y := 1$

else
 $\langle (x \neq 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$

 $y := 0$

 fi

 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} s \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} s \{q'\}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{c?p\} s \{q\} \quad \{\neg c?p\} s' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{q + q'\}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$
 $(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$
 $\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 if $(x = 0)$ then
 $\langle (x = 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(1 = 0) = 0 \rangle$
 $y := 1$

else
 $\langle (x \neq 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $y := 0$
 fi
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ c?p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ \neg c?p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{ q + q' \}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$
 $(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$
 $\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 if $(x = 0)$ then
 $\langle (x = 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(1 = 0) = 0 \rangle$
 $y := 1$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0 \rangle$

else
 $\langle (x \neq 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $y := 0$
 fi
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ c?p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ \neg c?p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{ q + q' \}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$
 $(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$
 $\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 if $(x = 0)$ then
 $\langle (x = 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(1 = 0) = 0 \rangle$
 $y := 1$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0 \rangle$

else
 $\langle (x \neq 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(0 = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 $y := 0$
 fi

 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ c?p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ \neg c?p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{ q + q' \}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle [true] \rangle \\
 &(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1); \\
 &\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle \\
 &\langle \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7 \rangle \\
 &\text{if } (x = 0) \text{ then} \\
 &\quad \langle (x = 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle \\
 &\quad \langle \mathbb{P}(1 = 0) = 0 \rangle \\
 &\quad y := 1 \\
 &\quad \langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{else} \\
 &\quad \langle (x \neq 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle \\
 &\quad \langle \mathbb{P}(0 = 0) = 0.7 \rangle \\
 &\quad y := 0 \\
 &\quad \langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle \\
 &\text{fi} \\
 &\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{p[x/e]\} x := e \{p\}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{p\} s \{q\} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{p'\} s \{q'\}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{c?p\} s \{q\} \quad \{\neg c?p\} s' \{q'\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{q + q'\}} \text{ (If)}$$

Example: Proof

$\langle [true] \rangle$
 $(x := 0 \oplus_{0.3} x := 1);$
 $\langle (\mathbb{P}(x = 0) = 0.3) \wedge (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 if $(x = 0)$ then
 $\langle (x = 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(1 = 0) = 0 \rangle$
 $y := 1$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0 \rangle$

else
 $\langle (x \neq 0) ? (\mathbb{P}(x \neq 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(0 = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 $y := 0$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$
 fi
 $\langle (\mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0) + (\mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7) \rangle$
 $\langle \mathbb{P}(y = 0) = 0.7 \rangle$

Recall the derivation rules:

$\{ p[x/e] \} x := e \{ p \}$ (Assign)

$$\frac{p' \Rightarrow p \quad \{ p \} s \{ q \} \quad q \Rightarrow q'}{\{ p' \} s \{ q' \}} \text{ (Cons)} \quad \frac{\{ c?p \} s \{ q \} \quad \{ \neg c?p \} s' \{ q' \}}{\{ p \} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else } s' \text{ fi } \{ q + q' \}} \text{ (If)}$$

While Loops

Recall:
$$\frac{p \text{ invariant for } \langle c, s \rangle}{\{p\} \text{ while } c \text{ do } s \text{ od } \{p \wedge \mathbb{P}(c)=0\}} \text{ (While)}$$

When is a predicate p invariant for $\langle c, s \rangle$?

While Loops

Recall:
$$\frac{p \text{ invariant for } \langle c, s \rangle}{\{p\} \text{ while } c \text{ do } s \text{ od } \{p \wedge \mathbb{P}(c)=0\}} \text{ (While)}$$

When is a predicate p invariant for $\langle c, s \rangle$?

- If `while c do s od` always terminates (independent of probabilistic choices), it suffices to show

$$\models \{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else skip fi } \{p\}$$

While Loops

Recall:
$$\frac{p \text{ invariant for } \langle c, s \rangle}{\{p\} \text{ while } c \text{ do } s \text{ od } \{p \wedge \mathbb{P}(c)=0\}} \text{ (While)}$$

When is a predicate p invariant for $\langle c, s \rangle$?

- If `while c do s od` always terminates (independent of probabilistic choices), it suffices to show

$$\models \{p\} \text{ if } c \text{ then } s \text{ else skip fi } \{p\}$$

- Otherwise, it is additionally required that p is $\langle c, s \rangle$ -closed (Definition not trivial and therefore omitted in this talk)

Conclusion

- The idea of *Hoare triples* can be applied to probabilistic programs by using *probabilistic states* and *probabilistic predicates*
- Proving correctness of Hoare triples can be challenging
- A new *derivation system* pH can be used for this purpose

Conclusion

- The idea of *Hoare triples* can be applied to probabilistic programs by using *probabilistic states* and *probabilistic predicates*
- Proving correctness of Hoare triples can be challenging
- A new *derivation system* pH can be used for this purpose
- The approach uses already existing ideas
- Increasing the potential for other approaches and tools to be adapted to work on probabilistic programs

Conclusion

- The idea of *Hoare triples* can be applied to probabilistic programs by using *probabilistic states* and *probabilistic predicates*
- Proving correctness of Hoare triples can be challenging
- A new *derivation system* pH can be used for this purpose
- The approach uses already existing ideas
- Increasing the potential for other approaches and tools to be adapted to work on probabilistic programs

Thank you for your attention!

Semantics for \mathcal{L}_{pw}

- The semantics for \mathcal{L}_{pw} is given by a mapping

$$\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{L}_{\text{pw}} \rightarrow (\Pi \rightarrow \Pi)$$

where Π is the set of all probabilistic states

- $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(\theta) \in \Pi$ is obtained when starting in θ and executing \mathbf{s}

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{skip})(\theta) = \theta$$

$$\mathcal{D}(x := e)(\theta) = \theta[x/\text{eval}(e)]$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s}')(\theta) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}')(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(\theta))$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s} \oplus_r \mathbf{s}')(\theta) = \left(r \cdot \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(\theta) \right) + \left((1 - r) \cdot \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}')(\theta) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{if } c \text{ then } \mathbf{s} \text{ else } \mathbf{s}' \text{ fi})(\theta) = \left(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(c?\theta) \right) + \left(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}')(\neg c?\theta) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{while } c \text{ do } \mathbf{s} \text{ od})(\theta) = \text{the least fixed point of } \Psi_{\langle c, \mathbf{s} \rangle}$$

$$\Psi_{\langle c, \mathbf{s} \rangle}(\psi)(\theta) = \psi\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s})(c?\theta)\right) + \neg c?\theta$$

$\langle c, s \rangle$ -closeness: Intuition

- Consider sequences of states $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where
 - θ_n corresponds to the state reached after n iterations of `while c do s od`
 - $\theta_n \models p$ for the considered predicate p

$\langle c, s \rangle$ -closeness: Intuition

- Consider sequences of states $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where
 - θ_n corresponds to the state reached after n iterations of `while c do s od`
 - $\theta_n \models p$ for the considered predicate p

Example

- Consider: `while $(x = 0)$ do $x := 1 \oplus_{0.5}$ skip od`
 $\underbrace{(x = 0)}_{=:c} \quad \underbrace{x := 1 \oplus_{0.5} \text{skip}}_{=:s}$

- One of the sequences might be:

$\theta_n(\sigma_i)$	0.5^n	$1 - 0.5^n$
$\sigma_i(x)$	0	1

$\langle c, s \rangle$ -closeness: Intuition

- Consider sequences of states $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where
 - θ_n corresponds to the state reached after n iterations of `while c do s od`
 - $\theta_n \models p$ for the considered predicate p
- The limit of the sequence $(\neg c ? \theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ also has to satisfy p

Example

- Consider: `while $\underbrace{(x = 0)}_{=:c}$ do $\underbrace{x := 1 \oplus_{0.5} \text{skip}}_{=:s}$ od`

- One of the sequences might be:

$\theta_n(\sigma_i)$	0.5^n	$1 - 0.5^n$
$\sigma_i(x)$	0	1

$\langle c, s \rangle$ -closeness: Intuition

- Consider sequences of states $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where
 - θ_n corresponds to the state reached after n iterations of `while c do s od`
 - $\theta_n \models p$ for the considered predicate p
- The limit of the sequence $(\neg c ? \theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ also has to satisfy p

Example

- Consider: `while $\underbrace{(x = 0)}_{=:c}$ do $\underbrace{x := 1 \oplus_{0.5} \text{skip}}_{=:s}$ od`

- One of the sequences might be:
$$\frac{\theta_n(\sigma_i) \mid 0.5^n \quad 1 - 0.5^n}{\sigma_i(x) \mid 0 \quad 1}$$

- The limit of $\frac{\neg c ? \theta_n(\sigma_i) \mid 1 - 0.5^n}{\sigma_i(x) \mid 1}$ is $\frac{\theta(\sigma_i) \mid 1}{\sigma_i(x) \mid 1}$

$\langle c, s \rangle$ -closeness: Definition

Consider a program `while c do s od` and a probabilistic predicate p

- A $\langle c, s \rangle$ -sequence within p is a sequence of probabilistic states $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that
 - $\theta_n \models p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$
 - $(\neg c ? \theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending chain
 - the probability for $\neg c$ in the state θ_n is at least the n -step termination ratio, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\sigma \models \neg c} \theta_n(\sigma) \geq r_{\langle c, s \rangle}^n.$$

where $r_{\langle c, s \rangle}^n$ is the minimum probability that, starting from a state satisfying p , the loop terminates within n steps

$\langle c, s \rangle$ -closeness: Definition

Consider a program `while c do s od` and a probabilistic predicate p

- A $\langle c, s \rangle$ -sequence within p is a sequence of probabilistic states $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that
 - $\theta_n \models p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$
 - $(\neg c ? \theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending chain
 - the probability for $\neg c$ in the state θ_n is at least the n -step termination ratio, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\sigma \models \neg c} \theta_n(\sigma) \geq r_{\langle c, s \rangle}^n.$$

where $r_{\langle c, s \rangle}^n$ is the minimum probability that, starting from a state satisfying p , the loop terminates within n steps

- The predicate p is $\langle c, s \rangle$ -closed if for all $\langle c, s \rangle$ -sequences $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ within p the least upper bound of the chain $(\neg c ? \theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies p .