

Static Program Analysis

Lecture 6: Dataflow Analysis V (MOP vs. Fixpoint Solution)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2
(Software Modeling and Verification)



noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de

<http://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ws-1415/spa/>

Winter Semester 2014/15

- 1 Recap: The MOP Solution
- 2 Recap: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis
- 4 MOP vs. Fixpoint Solution

The MOP Solution I

- Other **solution method** for dataflow systems
- MOP = **Meet Over all Paths**
- Analysis information for block B^l
 - = **least upper bound over all paths leading to l**
 - = **most precise** information for l (“reference solution”)

Definition (Paths)

Let $S = (Lab, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ be a dataflow system. For every $l \in Lab$, the set of **paths up to l** is given by

$$Path(l) := \{[l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}] \mid k \geq 1, l_1 \in E, \\ (l_i, l_{i+1}) \in F \text{ for every } 1 \leq i < k, l_k = l\}.$$

For a path $\pi = [l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}] \in Path(l)$, we define the **transfer function** $\varphi_\pi : D \rightarrow D$ by

$$\varphi_\pi := \varphi_{l_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{l_1} \circ \text{id}_D$$

(so that $\varphi_{[]} = \text{id}_D$).

Definition (MOP solution)

Let $S = (Lab, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ be a dataflow system where $Lab = \{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$. The **MOP solution** for S is determined by

$$\text{mop}(S) := (\text{mop}(l_1), \dots, \text{mop}(l_n)) \in D^n$$

where, for every $l \in Lab$,

$$\text{mop}(l) := \bigsqcup \{\varphi_\pi(l) \mid \pi \in Path(l)\}.$$

Remark:

- $Path(l)$ is generally infinite

⇒ not clear how to compute $\text{mop}(l)$

- In fact: MOP solution generally undecidable (later)

- 1 Recap: The MOP Solution
- 2 Recap: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis
- 4 MOP vs. Fixpoint Solution

Goal of Constant Propagation Analysis

Constant Propagation Analysis

The goal of **Constant Propagation Analysis** is to determine, for each program point, whether a variable has a constant value whenever execution reaches that point.

Used for **Constant Folding**: replace reference to variable by constant value and evaluate constant expressions

Example (Constant Propagation Analysis)

```
[x := 1]1;  
[y := 1]2;  
[z := 1]3;  
while [z > 0]4 do  
  [w := x+y]5;  
  if [w = 2]6 then  
    [x := y+2]7
```

- $y = z = 1$ at labels 4–7
- w, x not constant at labels 4–7
- possible optimisations:
 $[\text{true}]^4 [w := x+1]^5 [x := 3]^7$

Formalising Constant Propagation Analysis I

The **dataflow system** $S = (Lab, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ is given by

- set of labels $Lab := Lab_c$,
- extremal labels $E := \{init(c)\}$ (forward problem),
- flow relation $F := flow(c)$ (forward problem),
- complete lattice (D, \sqsubseteq) where
 - $D := \{\delta \mid \delta : Var_c \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp, \top\}\}$
 - $\delta(x) = z \in \mathbb{Z}$: x has **constant value** z
 - $\delta(x) = \perp$: x **undefined**
 - $\delta(x) = \top$: x **overdefined** (i.e., several possible values)
 - $\sqsubseteq \subseteq D \times D$ defined by pointwise extension of $\perp \sqsubseteq z \sqsubseteq \top$ (for every $z \in \mathbb{Z}$)

Example

$$Var_c = \{w, x, y, z\},$$

$$\delta_1 = (\underbrace{\perp}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{2}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z), \quad \delta_2 = (\underbrace{3}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{4}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z)$$

$$\implies \delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2 = (\underbrace{3}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{\top}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z)$$

Dataflow system $S = (Lab, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ (continued):

- extremal value $\iota := \delta_{\top} \in D$ where $\delta_{\top}(x) := \top$ for every $x \in Var_c$ (i.e., every x has (unknown) default value)
- transfer functions $\{\varphi_l \mid l \in Lab\}$ defined by

$$\varphi_l(\delta) := \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } B^l = \text{skip or } B^l \in BExp \\ \delta[x \mapsto val_{\delta}(a)] & \text{if } B^l = (x := a) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} val_{\delta}(x) &:= \delta(x) \\ val_{\delta}(z) &:= z \end{aligned} \quad val_{\delta}(a_1 \text{ op } a_2) := \begin{cases} z_1 \text{ op } z_2 & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \perp & \text{if } z_1 = \perp \text{ or } z_2 = \perp \\ \top & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $z_1 := val_{\delta}(a_1)$ and $z_2 := val_{\delta}(a_2)$

Example

If $\delta = (\underbrace{\perp}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{2}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z)$, then

$$\varphi_I(\delta) = \begin{cases} (\underbrace{0}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{2}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z) & \text{if } B^I = (w := 0) \\ (\underbrace{3}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{2}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z) & \text{if } B^I = (w := y+1) \\ (\underbrace{\perp}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{2}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z) & \text{if } B^I = (w := w+x) \\ (\underbrace{\top}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{2}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z) & \text{if } B^I = (w := z+2) \end{cases}$$

- 1 Recap: The MOP Solution
- 2 Recap: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis**
- 4 MOP vs. Fixpoint Solution

Example 6.1

Constant Propagation Analysis for

$c := [x := 1]^1;$	$\varphi_1(a, b, c, d) = (a, 1, c, d)$
$[y := 1]^2;$	$\varphi_2(a, b, c, d) = (a, b, 1, d)$
$[z := 1]^3;$	$\varphi_3(a, b, c, d) = (a, b, c, 1)$
$\text{while } [z > 0]^4 \text{ do}$	$\varphi_4(a, b, c, d) = (a, b, c, d)$
$[w := x+y]^5;$	$\varphi_5(a, b, c, d) = (b + c, b, c, d)$
$\text{if } [w = 2]^6 \text{ then}$	$\varphi_6(a, b, c, d) = (a, b, c, d)$
$[x := y+2]^7$	$\varphi_7(a, b, c, d) = (a, c + 2, c, d)$

(for $\delta = (\delta(w), \delta(x), \delta(y), \delta(z)) \in D$)

- 1 Fixpoint solution (on the board)
- 2 MOP solution (on the board)

- 1 Recap: The MOP Solution
- 2 Recap: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis
- 4 MOP vs. Fixpoint Solution**

Example 6.2 (Constant Propagation)

```
c := if [z > 0]1 then
    [x := 2;]2
    [y := 3;]3
else
    [x := 3;]4
    [y := 2;]5
    [z := x+y;]6
    [..]7
```

Transfer functions

(for $\delta = (\delta(x), \delta(y), \delta(z)) \in D$):

$$\varphi_1(a, b, c) = (a, b, c)$$

$$\varphi_2(a, b, c) = (2, b, c)$$

$$\varphi_3(a, b, c) = (a, 3, c)$$

$$\varphi_4(a, b, c) = (3, b, c)$$

$$\varphi_5(a, b, c) = (a, 2, c)$$

$$\varphi_6(a, b, c) = (a, b, a + b)$$

1 Fixpoint solution:

$$CP_1 = \iota = (T, T, T)$$

$$CP_2 = \varphi_1(CP_1) = (T, T, T)$$

$$CP_3 = \varphi_2(CP_2) = (2, T, T)$$

$$CP_4 = \varphi_1(CP_1) = (T, T, T)$$

$$CP_5 = \varphi_4(CP_4) = (3, T, T)$$

$$CP_6 = \varphi_3(CP_3) \sqcup \varphi_5(CP_5)$$

$$= (2, 3, T) \sqcup (3, 2, T) = (T, T, T)$$

$$CP_7 = \varphi_6(CP_6) = (T, T, T)$$

2 MOP solution:

$$\text{mop}(7) = \varphi_{[1,2,3,6]}(T, T, T) \sqcup$$

$$\varphi_{[1,4,5,6]}(T, T, T)$$

$$= (2, 3, 5) \sqcup (3, 2, 5)$$

$$= (T, T, 5)$$

MOP vs. Fixpoint Solution II

Theorem 6.3 (MOP vs. Fixpoint Solution)

Let $S = (Lab, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ be a dataflow system. Then

$$\text{mop}(S) \sqsubseteq \text{fix}(\Phi_S)$$

Reminder: by Definition 4.9,

$$\Phi_S : D^n \rightarrow D^n : (d_1, \dots, d_n) \mapsto (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$$

where $Lab = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and, for each $l \in Lab$,

$$d'_l := \begin{cases} \iota & \text{if } l \in E \\ \bigsqcup \{\varphi_{l'}(d_{l'}) \mid (l', l) \in F\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

on the board □

Remark: as Example 6.2 shows, $\text{mop}(S) \neq \text{fix}(\Phi_S)$ is possible