



# Semantics and Verification of Software

Summer Semester 2019

Lecture 3: Operational Semantics of WHILE II (Execution of Statements)

Thomas Noll

Software Modeling and Verification Group

RWTH Aachen University

<https://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ss-19/sv-sw/>

# Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

---

## Outline of Lecture 3

Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

Execution of Statements

Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

# Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

## Evaluation of Arithmetic Expressions

**Remember:**  $a ::= z \mid x \mid a_1 + a_2 \mid a_1 - a_2 \mid a_1 * a_2 \in AExp$

Definition (Evaluation relation for arithmetic expressions)

If  $a \in AExp$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , then  $\langle a, \sigma \rangle$  is called a **configuration**.

Expression  $a$  **evaluates to**  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  in state  $\sigma$  (notation:  $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z$ ) if this relationship is derivable by means of the following rules:

Axioms:

$$\frac{}{\langle z, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z} \quad \frac{}{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma(x)}$$

Rules:

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_1 \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_2}{\langle a_1 + a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z} \quad \text{where } z := z_1 + z_2$$

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_1 \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_2}{\langle a_1 - a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z} \quad \text{where } z := z_1 - z_2$$

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_1 \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_2}{\langle a_1 * a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z} \quad \text{where } z := z_1 \cdot z_2$$

# Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

---

## Excursus: Proof by Structural Induction I

### Proof principle

**Given:** an inductive set, i.e., a set  $S$  whose elements are either

- atomic or
- obtained from atomic elements by (finite) application of certain operations

**To show:** property  $P(s)$  applies to every  $s \in S$

**Proof:** we verify:

**Induction base:**  $P(s)$  holds for every atomic element  $s$

**Induction hypothesis:** assume that  $P(s_1)$ ,  $P(s_2)$  etc.

**Induction step:** then also  $P(f(s_1, \dots, s_n))$  holds for every operation  $f$  of arity  $n$

**Remark:** structural induction is a special case of **well-founded induction**

# Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

---

## Free Variables II

### Lemma

Let  $a \in AExp$  and  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$  such that  $\sigma(x) = \sigma'(x)$  for every  $x \in FV(a)$ . Then, for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z \iff \langle a, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow z.$$

### Proof.

by **structural induction** on  $a$  (on the board) □

# Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

## Evaluation of Boolean Expressions I

Definition ((Strict) evaluation relation for Boolean expressions)

For  $b \in BExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{B}$ , the **evaluation relation**  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow t$  is defined by:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z}{\langle a_1 = a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_1 \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_2}{\langle a_1 = a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}} \quad \text{if } z_1 \neq z_2 \\ \frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_1 \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_2}{\langle a_1 > a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \text{if } z_1 > z_2 \quad \frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_1 \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z_2}{\langle a_1 > a_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}} \quad \text{if } z_1 \leq z_2 \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \neg b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}}{\langle \neg b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}} \\ \frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}}{\langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}} \\ \frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false} \quad \langle b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}}{\langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}} \quad \frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false} \quad \langle b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle b_1 \wedge b_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}} \end{array}$$

( $\vee$  analogously)

# Execution of Statements

---

## Outline of Lecture 3

Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

Execution of Statements

Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

# Execution of Statements

---

## Meaning of Statements

Effect of statement = **modification of program state**



# Execution of Statements

---

## Meaning of Statements

Effect of statement = **modification of program state**

### Example 3.1

**Goal:** define **execution relation**  $\rightarrow$  such that, e.g.,

$$\langle x := 5, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto 5]$$

where for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $x, y \in Var$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\sigma[x \mapsto z](y) := \begin{cases} z & \text{if } y = x \\ \sigma(y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Execution of Statements

---

## Execution of Statements

### Remember:

$c ::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid c_1; c_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end} \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end} \in \text{Cmd}$

# Execution of Statements

## Execution of Statements

### Remember:

$c ::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid c_1; c_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end} \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end} \in \text{Cmd}$

### Definition 3.2 (Execution relation for statements)

For  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ , the **execution relation**  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  is defined by:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \text{(skip)} \qquad \frac{}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto z]} \text{(asgn)} \\ \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \text{(seq)} \qquad \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \text{(if-t)} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false} \quad \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \text{(if-f)} \qquad \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \text{(wh-f)} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \text{(wh-t)} \end{array}$$

# Execution of Statements

## An Execution Example

### Example 3.3

•  $c := y := 1; \text{ while } \underbrace{\neg(x=1)}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{y := y*x}_{c_1}; \underbrace{x := x-1}_{c_2} \text{ end}$

$c_0$

- Claim:  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_{1,6}$  for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  with  $\sigma(x) = 3$
- Notation:  $\sigma_{i,j}$  means  $\sigma(x) = i, \sigma(y) = j$
- Derivation tree: on the board

# Execution of Statements

---

## Non-Terminating Statements

### Corollary 3.4

*The execution relation for statements is not **total**, i.e., there exist  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  for no  $\sigma' \in \Sigma$ .*

# Execution of Statements

---

## Non-Terminating Statements

### Corollary 3.4

*The execution relation for statements is not **total**, i.e., there exist  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  for no  $\sigma' \in \Sigma$ .*

### Proof.

Example:  $c = \text{while true do skip end}$  (proof by contradiction; on the board) □

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Outline of Lecture 3

Recap: Evaluation Relations & Structural Induction

Execution of Statements

Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation I

This operational semantics is well defined in the following sense:

### Theorem 3.5

*The execution relation for statements is **deterministic**, i.e., whenever  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \Sigma$  such that  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$ , then  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .*



# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation I

This operational semantics is well defined in the following sense:

### Theorem 3.5

*The execution relation for statements is **deterministic**, i.e., whenever  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \Sigma$  such that  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$ , then  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .*

The proof is based on the corresponding result for expressions.

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Evaluation Relations

### Lemma 3.6

1. For every  $a \in AExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $z, z' \in \mathbb{Z}$ :  
 $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z$  and  $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z'$  implies  $z = z'$ .
2. For every  $b \in BExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $t, t' \in \mathbb{B}$ :  
 $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow t$  and  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow t'$  implies  $t = t'$ .

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

## Determinism of Evaluation Relations

### Lemma 3.6

1. For every  $a \in AExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $z, z' \in \mathbb{Z}$ :  
 $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z$  and  $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z'$  implies  $z = z'$ .
2. For every  $b \in BExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $t, t' \in \mathbb{B}$ :  
 $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow t$  and  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow t'$  implies  $t = t'$ .

### Remarks:

- Lemma 3.6(1) is **not** implied by Lemma 2.6

(“ $\sigma|_{FV(a)} = \sigma'|_{FV(a)} \Rightarrow (\forall z \in \mathbb{Z} : \langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z \iff \langle a, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow z)$ ”)!)

The latter just implies

$$\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z\} = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \langle a, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow z\}$$

while Lemma 3.6(1) states that

$$|\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z\}| \leq 1.$$

- Lemma 3.6 can easily be shown by **induction on the structure of expressions**.

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Excursus: Proof by Structural Induction V

### Application: Boolean expressions (Def. 1.2)

Definition:  $BExp$  is the least set which

- contains the truth values  $t \in \mathbb{B}$  and, for every  $a_1, a_2 \in AExp$ ,  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $a_1 > a_2$ , and
- contains  $\neg b_1$ ,  $b_1 \wedge b_2$  and  $b_1 \vee b_2$  whenever  $b_1, b_2 \in BExp$

Induction base:  $P(t)$ ,  $P(a_1 = a_2)$  and  $P(a_1 > a_2)$  holds

(for every  $t \in \mathbb{B}$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in AExp$ )

Induction hypothesis:  $P(b_1)$  and  $P(b_2)$  holds

Induction step:  $P(\neg b_1)$ ,  $P(b_1 \wedge b_2)$  and  $P(b_1 \vee b_2)$  holds

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

## Excursus: Proof by Structural Induction V

### Application: Boolean expressions (Def. 1.2)

Definition:  $BExp$  is the least set which

- contains the truth values  $t \in \mathbb{B}$  and, for every  $a_1, a_2 \in AExp$ ,  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $a_1 > a_2$ , and
- contains  $\neg b_1$ ,  $b_1 \wedge b_2$  and  $b_1 \vee b_2$  whenever  $b_1, b_2 \in BExp$

Induction base:  $P(t)$ ,  $P(a_1 = a_2)$  and  $P(a_1 > a_2)$  holds  
(for every  $t \in \mathbb{B}$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in AExp$ )

Induction hypothesis:  $P(b_1)$  and  $P(b_2)$  holds

Induction step:  $P(\neg b_1)$ ,  $P(b_1 \wedge b_2)$  and  $P(b_1 \vee b_2)$  holds

### Proof (Lemma 3.6).

1. by structural induction on  $a$  (omitted)
2. by structural induction on  $b$  (omitted)



## Determinism of Execution Relation II

- How to prove that  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  is deterministic (Theorem 3.5)?
- Idea: use **induction on the syntactic structure** of  $c$

## Excursus: Proof by Structural Induction VI

### Application: syntax of WHILE statements (Def. 1.2)

Definition:  $Cmd$  is the least set which

- contains `skip` and, for every  $x \in Var$  and  $a \in AExp$ ,  $x := a$ , and
- contains  $c_1 ; c_2$ , `if  $b$  then  $c_1$  else  $c_2$  end` and `while  $b$  do  $c_1$  end` whenever  $b \in BExp$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in Cmd$

Induction base:  $P(\text{skip})$  and  $P(x := a)$  holds (for every  $x \in Var$  and  $a \in AExp$ )

Induction hypothesis:  $P(c_1)$  and  $P(c_2)$  holds

Induction step:  $P(c_1 ; c_2)$ ,  $P(\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end})$  and  $P(\text{while } b \text{ do } c_1 \text{ end})$  holds (for every  $b \in BExp$ )

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation III

- But: proof of Theorem 3.5 fails!



# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation III

- But: **proof of Theorem 3.5 fails!**
- Problematic case:

$c = \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0 \text{ end}$  where  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}$

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation III

- But: **proof of Theorem 3.5 fails!**
- Problematic case:

$c = \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0 \text{ end}$  where  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}$

- Here  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$  require existence of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle c, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\text{(wh-t)} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

and

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \langle c, \sigma_2 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\text{(wh-t)} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$$

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation III

- But: **proof of Theorem 3.5 fails!**
- Problematic case:

$c = \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0 \text{ end}$  where  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}$

- Here  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$  require existence of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle c, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \text{(wh-t)}$$

and

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \langle c, \sigma_2 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \text{(wh-t)}$$

- $c_0$  proper substatement of  $c$   
 $\Rightarrow$  induction hypothesis yields  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation III

- But: **proof of Theorem 3.5 fails!**
- Problematic case:

$c = \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0 \text{ end}$  where  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}$

- Here  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$  require existence of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle c, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\text{(wh-t)} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

and

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \langle c, \sigma_2 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\text{(wh-t)} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$$

- $c_0$  proper substatement of  $c$   
 $\Rightarrow$  induction hypothesis yields  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$
- $c$  **not** proper substatement of  $c \Rightarrow$  **conclusion  $\sigma' = \sigma''$  invalid!**

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

## Excursus: Proof by Structural Induction VII

### Application: derivation trees of execution relation (Def. 3.2)

(skip): for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma$

(asgn): if  $s$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z$  (Def. 2.2), then  $\frac{s}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto z]}$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto z]$

(seq): if  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are derivation trees for  $\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and, respectively,  $\langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$ , then  $\frac{s_1 \quad s_2}{\langle c_1 ; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle c_1 ; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$

(if-t): if  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are derivation trees for  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}$  (Def. 2.7) and, respectively,  $\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$ , then  $\frac{s_1 \quad s_2}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

(if-f): analogously

(wh-t): if  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  and  $s_3$  are derivation trees for  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}$  (Def. 2.7),  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$ , respectively, then  $\frac{s_1 \quad s_2 \quad s_3}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$

(wh-f): if  $s$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}$  (Def. 2.7), then  $\frac{s}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$  is a derivation tree for  $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma$

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

## Excursus: Proof by Structural Induction VIII

### Application: derivation trees of execution relation (continued)

Induction base:  $P \left( \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \right)$  holds for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $P(s)$  holds for every derivation tree  $s$  for an arithmetic or Boolean expression.

Induction hypothesis:  $P(s_1)$ ,  $P(s_2)$  und  $P(s_3)$  hold.

Induction step: it also holds that

$$\bullet P \left( \frac{s_1}{\text{(asgn)} \langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto z]} \right)$$

$$\bullet P \left( \frac{s_1 \quad s_2}{\text{(seq)} \langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \right)$$

$$\bullet P \left( \frac{s_1 \quad s_2}{\text{(if-t)} \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \right)$$

$$\bullet P \left( \frac{s_1 \quad s_2}{\text{(if-f)} \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \right)$$

$$\bullet P \left( \frac{s_1 \quad s_2 \quad s_3}{\text{(wh-t)} \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \right)$$

$$\bullet P \left( \frac{s_1}{\text{(wh-f)} \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \right)$$

# Determinism of Evaluation/Execution

---

## Determinism of Execution Relation IV

Proof (Theorem 3.5).

To show:

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma', \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'' \Rightarrow \sigma' = \sigma''$$

(by structural induction on derivation trees; on the board)

