

#### Exercise Sheet 2

**Due date:** May 3<sup>rd</sup>. Please hand in your solutions at the start of the exercise class.

# Task 1: Big-Step Operational Semantics (10 points)

Extend the rule system defining the big-step execution relation  $\rightarrow$  from the lecture (cf. Definition 3.2) to incorporate a statement repeat  $\{c\}$  until (b). Your rules may *not* depend on the existence of the while statements.

### Task 2: Termination (15 points)

Show that  $\langle \mathsf{while}\,(b)\,\mathsf{do}\,\{c\},\sigma\rangle \to \sigma'$  implies that  $\langle b,\sigma'\rangle \to \mathsf{false}.$ 

## Task 3: Decomposition Lemma for AM programs (20 points)

The following statement is known as the *decomposition lemma* for AM programs: Let  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathsf{Cmd}$  and  $pc \in \{0, ..., |\mathfrak{T}_c[[c_1]]| - 1\}$ . If

$$\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{1}\rrbracket;\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{2}\rrbracket\vdash\langle pc,e,\sigma\rangle\rhd^{k}\langle|\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{1}\rrbracket;\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{2}\rrbracket|,e'',\sigma''\rangle,$$

then there exists a configuration  $\langle pc', e', \sigma' \rangle$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k = k_1 + k_2$  such that

 $\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{1}\rrbracket \vdash \langle pc, e, \sigma \rangle \rhd^{k_{1}} \langle |\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{1}\rrbracket |, e', \sigma' \rangle$ 

and

$$\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket; \mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket \vdash \langle |\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket|, e', \sigma' \rangle \rhd^{k_{2}} \langle |\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket; \mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket|, e'', \sigma'' \rangle.$$

Prove that the decomposition lemma is correct. You may use the following proposition without giving an explicit proof by structural induction:

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N} : \mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c \rrbracket \vdash \langle pc, e, \sigma \rangle \rhd^{j} \langle pc', e', \sigma' \rangle \text{ implies } pc' \in \{0, 1, ..., |\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c \rrbracket|\},$$

where  $pc \in \{0, ..., |\mathfrak{T}_c[[c_1]]| - 1\}.$ 

### Task 4: Soundness of Command Translation (30 points)

Prove Lemma 5.12 from the lecture. That is, show that, for every  $c \in \mathsf{Cmd}$ ,  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ , and  $e \in Stk$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c \rrbracket \vdash \langle 0, \varepsilon, \sigma \rangle \vartriangleright^{*} \langle |\mathfrak{T}_{c}\llbracket c \rrbracket |, e, \sigma' \rangle \quad \text{implies} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma' \text{ and } e = \varepsilon.$$

# Task 5: AM Semantics (25 points)

- a) Extend the translation function  $\mathfrak{T}_c$  such that programs containing statements of the form repeat  $\{c\}$  until (b) can be translated directly into AM code.
- b) Prove that for all for all  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\begin{split} & \langle \mathsf{repeat} \; \{c\} \; \mathsf{until} \; (b), \sigma \rangle \to \sigma' \\ \mathrm{implies} \quad & \mathfrak{T}_{\mathsf{repeat} \; \{c\} \; \mathsf{until} \; (b)} \llbracket c \rrbracket \vdash \langle 0, \varepsilon, \sigma \rangle \; \vartriangleright^* \; \langle |\mathfrak{T}_c \llbracket \mathsf{repeat} \; \{c\} \; \mathsf{until} \; (b) \rrbracket |, e, \sigma' \rangle \end{split}$$