

Introduction to Model Checking (Summer Term 2018)

— Exercise Sheet 4 (due 28th May) —

General Remarks

- The exercises are to be solved in groups of *three* students.
- You may hand in your solutions for the exercises just before the exercise class starts at 12:15 or by dropping them into the “Introduction to Model Checking” box at our chair *before 12:00*. Do *not* hand in your solutions via L2P or via e-mail.

Exercise 1

(6 Points)

Let $AP = \{a, b\}$ and let

$$E = \left\{ \sigma = A_0 A_1 A_2 \dots \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid (\exists n \geq 0. \forall 0 \leq i < n. a \in A_i \wedge A_n = \{a, b\}) \wedge (\forall j \geq 0. \exists i \geq j. b \in A_i) \right\}$$

be an LT property. Provide a decomposition $E = S \cap L$ into a safety property S and a liveness property L . For this, give ω -regular expressions s and l over the alphabet 2^{AP} such that $S = \mathcal{L}_\omega(s)$ and $L = \mathcal{L}_\omega(l)$.

Hint: For a regular expression δ over the alphabet Σ , we let $\mathcal{L}(\delta) \subseteq \Sigma^*$ denote the language of finite words induced δ . An ω -regular expression γ over the alphabet Σ is of the form

$$\gamma = \alpha_1 \cdot \beta_1^\omega + \dots + \alpha_n \cdot \beta_n^\omega$$

where $n \geq 1$, α_i, β_i are regular expressions over Σ such that $\epsilon \notin \mathcal{L}(\beta_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The semantics of an ω -regular expression γ is a language of infinite words defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega(\gamma) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha_1)\mathcal{L}(\beta_1)^\omega \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{L}(\alpha_n)\mathcal{L}(\beta_n)^\omega$$

where

- for $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ it is $L^\omega = \{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \dots \mid \forall i \geq 1. \sigma_i \in L\}$, and
- for $L_1 \subseteq \Sigma^*, L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$ it is $L_1 L_2 = \{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \in L_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \in L_2\} \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$.

Exercise 2★

(1 + 2 + 2 + 3 Points)

Let $TS_i = (S_i, Act, \rightarrow_i, S_0^i, AP_i, L_i)$ be transition systems for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Note that TS_1 and TS_2 have the same action set.

Prove or disprove the following statements under the assumption $AP_2 = \emptyset$.

- $Traces(TS_1) \subseteq Traces(TS_1 \parallel TS_2)$,
- $Traces(TS_1 \parallel TS_2) \subseteq Traces(TS_1)$.

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{F} = (\emptyset, \mathcal{F}_s, \mathcal{F}_w)$ be a fairness assumption.

Prove or disprove the following statements (for arbitrary AP_2).

- (c) $Traces(TS_1) \subseteq Traces(TS_2) \implies FairTraces_{\mathcal{F}}(TS_1) \subseteq FairTraces_{\mathcal{F}}(TS_2)$, and
 (d) if E is a liveness property and $TS_2 \models_{\mathcal{F}} E$, then

$$Traces(TS_1) \subseteq Traces(TS_2) \implies TS_1 \models_{\mathcal{F}} E.$$


Exercise 3★

(1+3+3 Points)

Consider the transition system TS given below. Let $B_1 = \{\alpha\}$, $B_2 = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $B_3 = \{\beta\}$ be sets of actions. Further, let E_a , E_b and E' be the following LT properties:

- E_a = the set of all words $A_0A_1A_2 \dots \in (2^{\{a,b\}})^{\omega}$ with $A_i \in \{\{a, b\}, \{a\}\}$ for infinitely many i (i.e., infinitely often a).
- E_b = the set of all words $A_0A_1A_2 \dots \in (2^{\{a,b\}})^{\omega}$ with $A_i \in \{\{a, b\}, \{b\}\}$ for infinitely many i (i.e., infinitely often b).
- E' = the set of all words $A_0A_1A_2 \dots \in (2^{\{a,b\}})^{\omega}$ for which there does *not* exist an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $A_i = \{a\}$, $A_{i+1} = \{a, b\}$ and $A_{i+2} = \emptyset$.

TS :



- (a) For which LT properties $E \in \{E_a, E_b, E'\}$ does it hold that $TS \models E$?
 (b) For which sets of actions B_i ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) and LT properties $E \in \{E_a, E_b, E'\}$ does it hold that $TS \models_{\mathcal{F}_{strong}^i} E$? Here, \mathcal{F}_{strong}^i is a strong fairness condition with respect to B_i that does not impose any unconditional or weak fairness conditions (i.e., $\mathcal{F}_{strong}^i = (\emptyset, \{B_i\}, \emptyset)$).
 (c) Answer the questions in (b) for weak fairness instead of strong fairness (i.e., $\mathcal{F}_{weak}^i = (\emptyset, \emptyset, \{B_i\})$).

Exercise 4


(2+3 Points)

Consider the transition system TS depicted below and the regular safety property

$$P_{safe} = \text{“always if } a \text{ is valid and } b \wedge \neg c \text{ was valid somewhere before, then neither } a \text{ nor } b \text{ holds thereafter at least until } c \text{ holds”}$$

As an example, it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \{b\} \emptyset \{a, b\} \{a, b, c\} &\in \text{pref}(P_{safe}) \\ \{a, b\} \{a, b\} \emptyset \{b, c\} &\in \text{pref}(P_{safe}) \\ \{b\} \{a, c\} \{a\} \{a, b, c\} &\in \text{BadPref}(P_{safe}) \\ \{b\} \{a, c\} \{a, c\} \{a\} &\in \text{BadPref}(P_{safe}) \end{aligned}$$



- (a) Define an NFA \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{MinBadPref}(P_{safe})$.
- (b) Decide whether $\text{TS} \models P_{safe}$ using the $\text{TS} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ construction.
Provide a counterexample if $\text{TS} \not\models P_{safe}$.