

Compiler Construction

Lecture 18: Code Generation IV (Implementation of Dynamic Data Structures)

Summer Semester 2016

Thomas Noll Software Modeling and Verification Group RWTH Aachen University

https://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ss-16/cc/





Outline of Lecture 18

- Recap: Static Data Structures
- Pseudo-Dynamic Data Structures
- Heap Management
- **Memory Deallocation**
- **Garbage Collection**
- **Reference-Counting Garbage Collection**
- Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collection







Modified Syntax of EPL

Definition (Modified syntax of EPL)

The modified syntax of EPL is defined as follows (where $n \ge 1$):





Outline of Lecture 18

- **Recap: Static Data Structures**
- Pseudo-Dynamic Data Structures
- Heap Management
- **Memory Deallocation**
- **Garbage Collection**
- **Reference-Counting Garbage Collection**
- Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collection







Variant Records





Variant Records

Implementation:

- Allocate memory for "biggest" variant
- Share memory between variant fields

5 of 24 Compiler Construction Summer Semester 2016 Lecture 18: Code Generation IV (Implementation of Dynamic Data Structures)





Dynamic Arrays

```
Example 18.2 (Dynamic arrays in Pascal)
FUNCTION Sum(VAR a: ARRAY OF REAL): REAL;
VAR
    i: INTEGER; s: REAL;
BEGIN
    s := 0.0; FOR i := 0 to HIGH(a) do s := s + a[i] END; Sum := s
END
```





Dynamic Arrays

```
Example 18.2 (Dynamic arrays in Pascal)
FUNCTION Sum(VAR a: ARRAY OF REAL): REAL;
VAR
    i: INTEGER; s: REAL;
BEGIN
    s := 0.0; FOR i := 0 to HIGH(a) do s := s + a[i] END; Sum := s
END
```

Implementation:

- Memory requirements unknown at compile time but determined by actual function/procedure parameters no heap required
- Use array descriptor with following fields as parameter value:
 - starting memory address of array
 - size of array
 - lower index of array (possibly fixed by 0)
 - upper index of array (actually redundant)
- Use data stack or index register to access array elements





Outline of Lecture 18

- **Recap: Static Data Structures**
- Pseudo-Dynamic Data Structures
- Heap Management
- **Memory Deallocation**
- Garbage Collection
- **Reference-Counting Garbage Collection**
- Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collection





Dynamic Memory Allocation I

- Dynamically manipulated data structures (lists, trees, graphs, ...)
- So far: creation of (static) objects by declaration
- Now: creation of (dynamic) objects by explicit memory allocation
- Access by (implicit or explicit) pointers
- Deletion by explicit deallocation or garbage collection (= automatic deallocation of unreachable objects)





Dynamic Memory Allocation I

- Dynamically manipulated data structures (lists, trees, graphs, ...)
- So far: creation of (static) objects by declaration
- Now: creation of (dynamic) objects by explicit memory allocation
- Access by (implicit or explicit) pointers
- Deletion by explicit deallocation or garbage collection (= automatic deallocation of unreachable objects)
- Implementation: runtime stack not sufficient (lifetime of objects generally exceeds lifetime of procedure calls)
- \Rightarrow new data structure: heap
 - Simplest form of organisation:

Runtime	e stack $ ightarrow$	\leftarrow	Heap	
0	$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\mathrm{SP}}$	$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\mathrm{HP}}$	max	
(stack pointer)		(heap	(heap pointer)	





Dynamic Memory Allocation II

- New instruction: NEW ("malloc", ...)
 - allocates *n* memory cells
 - (where n = topmost value of runtime stack)
 - returns address of first cell
 - formal semantics (SP = stack pointer, HP = heap pointer, <.> = dereferencing):

if HP - <SP> > SP
then HP := HP - <SP>; <SP> := HP
else error("memory overflow")







Dynamic Memory Allocation II

- New instruction: NEW ("malloc", ...)
 - allocates *n* memory cells
 - (where n = topmost value of runtime stack)
 - returns address of first cell
 - formal semantics (SP = stack pointer, HP = heap pointer, < . > = dereferencing):

```
if HP - <SP> > SP
then HP := HP - <SP>; <SP> := HP
else error("memory overflow")
```

- But: collision check required for every operation which increases SP (e.g., expression evaluations)
- Efficient solution: add extreme stack pointer EP
 - points to topmost SP which will be used in the computation of current procedure
 - statically computable at compile time
 - set by procedure entry code

- modified semantics of NEW: if HP - <SP> > EP

then HP := HP - <SP>; <SP> := HP
else error("memory overflow")







Outline of Lecture 18

- **Recap: Static Data Structures**
- Pseudo-Dynamic Data Structures
- Heap Management

Memory Deallocation

- **Garbage Collection**
- **Reference-Counting Garbage Collection**
- Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collection







Memory Deallocation

Releasing memory areas that have become unused

- explicitly by programmer
- automatically by runtime system (garbage collection)





Memory Deallocation

Releasing memory areas that have become unused

- explicitly by programmer
- automatically by runtime system (garbage collection)

Management of deallocated memory areas by free list

(usually doubly-linked list)

- goal: reduction of fragmentation
 - (= heap memory split in large number of non-contiguous free areas)
- coalescing of contiguous areas
- allocation strategies: first-fit vs. best-fit





Memory Deallocation

Explicit Deallocation

- Manually releasing memory areas that have become unused
 - Pascal: dispose
 - C:free





Explicit Deallocation

- Manually releasing memory areas that have become unused
 - Pascal: dispose
 - -C:free
- Problems with manual deallocation:
 - memory leaks:
 - failing to eventually delete data that cannot be referenced anymore
 - critical for long-running/reactive programs (operating systems, server code, ...)
 - dangling pointer dereference ("use after free"):
 - referencing of deleted data
 - may lead to runtime error (if deallocated pointer reset to nil) or produce side effects (if deallocated pointer keeps value and storage reallocated)





Explicit Deallocation

- Manually releasing memory areas that have become unused
 - Pascal: dispose
 - -C:free
- Problems with manual deallocation:
 - memory leaks:
 - failing to eventually delete data that cannot be referenced anymore
 - critical for long-running/reactive programs (operating systems, server code, ...)
 - dangling pointer dereference ("use after free"):
 - referencing of deleted data
 - may lead to runtime error (if deallocated pointer reset to nil) or produce side effects (if deallocated pointer keeps value and storage reallocated)
- ⇒ Adopt programming conventions (object ownership) or use automatic deallocation





Outline of Lecture 18

- **Recap: Static Data Structures**
- Pseudo-Dynamic Data Structures
- Heap Management
- **Memory Deallocation**
- Garbage Collection
- **Reference-Counting Garbage Collection**
- Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collection







- Garbage = data that cannot be referenced (anymore)
- Garbage collection = automatic deallocation of unreachable data





- Garbage = data that cannot be referenced (anymore)
- Garbage collection = automatic deallocation of unreachable data
- Supported by many programming languages:
 - object-oriented: Java, Smalltalk
 - functional: Lisp (first GC), ML, Haskell
 - logic: Prolog
 - scripting: Perl





- Garbage = data that cannot be referenced (anymore)
- Garbage collection = automatic deallocation of unreachable data
- Supported by many programming languages:
 - object-oriented: Java, Smalltalk
 - functional: Lisp (first GC), ML, Haskell
 - logic: Prolog
 - scripting: Perl
- Design goals for garbage collectors:
 - execution time: no significant increase of application runtime
 - space usage: avoid memory fragmentation
 - pause time: minimise maximal pause time of application program caused by garbage collection (especially in real-time applications)





Preliminaries

- Object = allocated entity
- Object has type known at runtime, defining
 - size of object
 - references to other objects
 - \implies excludes type-unsafe languages that allow manipulation of pointers (C, C++)





Preliminaries

- Object = allocated entity
- Object has type known at runtime, defining
 - size of object
 - references to other objects
 - \implies excludes type-unsafe languages that allow manipulation of pointers (C, C++)
- Reference always to address at beginning of object
 - (\implies all references to an object have same value)







Preliminaries

- Object = allocated entity
- Object has type known at runtime, defining
 - size of object
 - references to other objects
 - \implies excludes type-unsafe languages that allow manipulation of pointers (C, C++)
- Reference always to address at beginning of object
 - $(\implies$ all references to an object have same value)
- Mutator = application program modifying objects in heap
 - creation of objects by acquiring storage
 - introduce/drop references to existing objects
- Objects become garbage when not (indirectly) reachable by mutator





Reachability of Objects

- Root set = heap data that is directly accessible by mutator
 - for Java: static field members and variables on stack
 - yields directly reachable objects
- Every object with a reference that is stored in a reachable object is indirectly reachable







Reachability of Objects

- Root set = heap data that is directly accessible by mutator
 - for Java: static field members and variables on stack
 - yields directly reachable objects
- Every object with a reference that is stored in a reachable object is indirectly reachable
- Mutator operations that affect reachability:
 - object allocation: memory manager returns reference to new object
 - creates new reachable object
 - parameter passing and return values: passing of object references from calling site to called procedure or vice versa
 - propagates reachability of objects
 - reference assignment: assignments p := q with references p and q
 - creates second reference to object referred to by q, propagating reachability
 - destroys orginal reference in p, potentially causing unreachability
 - procedure return: removes local variables
 - potentially causes unreachability of objects







Reachability of Objects

- Root set = heap data that is directly accessible by mutator
 - for Java: static field members and variables on stack
 - yields directly reachable objects
- Every object with a reference that is stored in a reachable object is indirectly reachable
- Mutator operations that affect reachability:
 - object allocation: memory manager returns reference to new object
 - creates new reachable object
 - parameter passing and return values: passing of object references from calling site to called procedure or vice versa
 - propagates reachability of objects
 - reference assignment: assignments p := q with references p and q
 - creates second reference to object referred to by q, propagating reachability
 - destroys orginal reference in p, potentially causing unreachability
 - procedure return: removes local variables
 - potentially causes unreachability of objects
- Objects becoming unreachable can cause more objects to become unreachable







Identifying Unreachable Objects

Principal approaches:

- Catch program steps that turn reachable into unreachable objects
 reference counting
- Periodically locate all reachable objects; others then unreachable
 - \implies mark-and-sweep





Outline of Lecture 18

- **Recap: Static Data Structures**
- **Pseudo-Dynamic Data Structures**
- Heap Management
- **Memory Deallocation**
- **Garbage Collection**
- **Reference-Counting Garbage Collection**
- Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collection







Reference-Counting Garbage Collectors I

Working principle

• Add reference count field to each heap object (= number of references to that object)





Reference-Counting Garbage Collectors I

Working principle

- Add reference count field to each heap object (= number of references to that object)
- Mutator operations maintain reference count:
 - object allocation: set reference count of new object to 1
 - parameter passing: increment reference count of each object passed to procedure
 - reference assignment p := q: decrement/increment reference count of object referred to by p/q
 - procedure return: decrement reference count of each object that a local variable refers to (multiple decrement if sharing)





Reference-Counting Garbage Collectors I

Working principle

- Add reference count field to each heap object (= number of references to that object)
- Mutator operations maintain reference count:
 - object allocation: set reference count of new object to 1
 - parameter passing: increment reference count of each object passed to procedure
 - reference assignment p := q: decrement/increment reference count of object referred to by p/q
 - procedure return: decrement reference count of each object that a local variable refers to (multiple decrement if sharing)
- Moreover: transitive loss of reachability
 - when reference count of object becomes zero
 - → decrement reference count of each object pointed to (and add object storage to free list)





Reference-Counting Garbage Collectors I

Working principle

- Add reference count field to each heap object (= number of references to that object)
- Mutator operations maintain reference count:
 - object allocation: set reference count of new object to 1
 - parameter passing: increment reference count of each object passed to procedure
 - reference assignment p := q: decrement/increment reference count of object referred to by p/q
 - procedure return: decrement reference count of each object that a local variable refers to (multiple decrement if sharing)
- Moreover: transitive loss of reachability
 - when reference count of object becomes zero
 - → decrement reference count of each object pointed to (and add object storage to free list)

Example 18.3

(on the board)

19 of 24 Compiler Construction Summer Semester 2016 Lecture 18: Code Generation IV (Implementation of Dynamic Data Structures)





Reference-Counting Garbage Collectors II

Advantage: Incrementality

- collector operations spread over mutator's computation
 - short pause times (good for real-time/interactive applications)
 - immediate collection of garbage (low space usage)
- exception: transitive loss of reachability (reference removal may produce further garbage)
- but: recursive modification can be deferred







Reference-Counting Garbage Collectors II

Advantage: Incrementality

- collector operations spread over mutator's computation
 - short pause times (good for real-time/interactive applications)
 - immediate collection of garbage (low space usage)
- exception: transitive loss of reachability (reference removal may produce further garbage)
- but: recursive modification can be deferred

Disadvantages

- Incompleteness: cannot collect unreachable cyclic data structures (cf. Example 18.3)
- High overhead:
 - additional operations for assignments and procedure calls/exits
 - proportional to number of mutator steps (and not to number of heap objects)





Reference-Counting Garbage Collectors II

Advantage: Incrementality

- collector operations spread over mutator's computation
 - short pause times (good for real-time/interactive applications)
 - immediate collection of garbage (low space usage)
- exception: transitive loss of reachability (reference removal may produce further garbage)
- but: recursive modification can be deferred

Disadvantages

- Incompleteness: cannot collect unreachable cyclic data structures (cf. Example 18.3)
- High overhead:
 - additional operations for assignments and procedure calls/exits
 - proportional to number of mutator steps (and not to number of heap objects)

Conclusion

Use for real-time/interactive applications

20 of 24 Compiler Construction Summer Semester 2016 Lecture 18: Code Generation IV (Implementation of Dynamic Data Structures)





Outline of Lecture 18

- **Recap: Static Data Structures**
- **Pseudo-Dynamic Data Structures**
- Heap Management
- **Memory Deallocation**
- **Garbage Collection**
- **Reference-Counting Garbage Collection**

Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collection





Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors I

Working principle

- Mutator runs and makes allocation requests
- Collector runs periodically (typically when space exhausted/below critical threshold)
 - computes set of reachable objects
 - reclaims storage for objects in complement set





Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors II

Algorithm 18.4 (Mark-and-sweep garbage collection)

Input: heap Heap, root set Root, free list Free





Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors II

Algorithm 18.4 (Mark-and-sweep garbage collection)

```
Input: heap Heap, root set Root, free list Free
```

```
Procedure: 1. (* Marking phase *)
```

for each o in Heap, let $r_o :=$ true iff o referenced by Root (* initialise r flags *)

- 2. *let* $W := \{o \mid r_o = true\}$ (* working set *)
- 3. while $o \in W \neq \emptyset$ do
 - i. *let* $W := W \setminus \{o\}$

ii. for each o' referenced by o with $r_{o'}$ = false, let $r_{o'}$ = true; $W := W \cup \{o'\}$

4. (* Sweeping phase *)

for each o in Heap with $r_o =$ false, add o to Free





Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors II

Algorithm 18.4 (Mark-and-sweep garbage collection)

Input: heap Heap, root set Root, free list Free

Procedure: 1. (* Marking phase *)

for each o in Heap, let $r_o :=$ true iff o referenced by Root (* initialise r flags *)

- 2. *let* $W := \{o \mid r_o = true\}$ (* working set *)
- 3. while $o \in W \neq \emptyset$ do
 - i. let $\textit{W} := \textit{W} \setminus \{\textit{o}\}$

ii. for each o' referenced by o with $r_{o'}$ = false, let $r_{o'}$ = true; $W := W \cup \{o'\}$

4. (* Sweeping phase *)

for each o in Heap with $r_o = false$, add o to Free

Output: modified free list





Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors II

Algorithm 18.4 (Mark-and-sweep garbage collection)

Input: heap Heap, root set Root, free list Free

Procedure: 1. (* Marking phase *)

for each o in Heap, let $r_o :=$ true iff o referenced by Root (* initialise r flags *)

- 2. *let* $W := \{o | r_o = true\}$ (* working set *)
- 3. while $o \in W \neq \emptyset$ do
 - i. let $W := W \setminus \{o\}$

ii. for each o' referenced by o with $r_{o'}$ = false, let $r_{o'}$ = true; $W := W \cup \{o'\}$

4. (* Sweeping phase *)

for each o in Heap with $r_o = false$, add o to Free

Output: modified free list

Example 18.5

(on the board)

23 of 24 Compiler Construction Summer Semester 2016 Lecture 18: Code Generation IV (Implementation of Dynamic Data Structures)





Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors III

Advantages

- Completeness: identifies all unreachable objects
- Time complexity proportional to number of objects in heap





Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors III

Advantages

- Completeness: identifies all unreachable objects
- Time complexity proportional to number of objects in heap

Disadvantage: "stop-the-world" style

• May introduce long pauses into mutator execution (sweeping inspects complete heap)







Mark-and-Sweep Garbage Collectors III

Advantages

- Completeness: identifies all unreachable objects
- Time complexity proportional to number of objects in heap

Disadvantage: "stop-the-world" style

• May introduce long pauses into mutator execution (sweeping inspects complete heap)

Conclusion: refine to short-pause garbage collection

- Incremental collection: divide work in time by interleaving mutation and collection
- Partial collection: divide work in space by collecting subset of garbage at a time
- see Chapter 7 of A.V. Aho, M.S. Lam, R. Sethi, J.D. Ullman: *Compilers Principles, Techniques, and Tools; 2nd ed.*, Addison-Wesley, 2007



