Compiler Construction

Lecture 12: Semantic Analysis I (Attribute Grammars)

Summer Semester 2016

Thomas Noll
Software Modeling and Verification Group
RWTH Aachen University

https://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ss-16/cc/

Overview

Conceptual Structure of a Compiler







attribute grammars

Beyond Syntax

To generate (efficient) code, the compiler needs to answer many questions:

- Are there identifiers that are not declared? Declared but not used?
- Is `x` a scalar, an array, or a procedure? Of which type?
- Which declaration of `x` is used by each reference?
- Is `x` defined before it is used?
- Is the expression `3 * x + y` type consistent?
- Where should the value of `x` be stored (register/stack/heap)?
- Do `p` and `q` refer to the same memory location (aliasing)?
- ...

These cannot be expressed using context-free grammars!

(For example, $\{ww \mid w \in \Sigma^*\} \notin CFL_\Sigma$)

Static Semantics

Static semantics

Static semantics refers to properties of program constructs

- which are true for every occurrence of this construct in every program execution (static) and
- can be decided at compile time
- but are context-sensitive and thus not expressible using context-free grammars (semantics).

Example properties

Static: type or declaredness of an identifier, number of registers required to evaluate an expression, ...

Dynamic: value of an expression, size of runtime stack, ...

Attribute Grammars I

Goal: compute context-dependent but runtime-independent properties of a given program

Idea: enrich context-free grammar by semantic rules which annotate syntax tree with attribute values

$\Longrightarrow$ Semantic analysis = attribute evaluation

Result: attributed syntax tree

In greater detail:

- With every nonterminal a set of attributes is associated.
- Two types of attributes are distinguished:
  Synthesized: bottom-up computation (from the leaves to the root)
  Inherited: top-down computation (from the root to the leaves)
- With every production a set of semantic rules is associated.

Attribute Grammars II

Advantage: attribute grammars provide a very flexible and broadly applicable mechanism for transporting information throught the syntax tree (“syntax-directed translation”)

- Attribute values: symbol tables, data types, code, error flags, ...
- Application in Compiler Construction:
  - static semantics
  - program analysis for optimization
  - code generation
  - error handling
- Automatic attribute evaluation by compiler generators
  (cf. `yacc`’s synthesized attributes)
- Originally designed by D. Knuth for defining the semantics of context-free languages
  (Math. Syst. Theory 2 (1968), pp. 127–145)

Example: Knuth's Binary Numbers I

Example 12.1 (only synthesized attributes)

Binary numbers (with fraction):

G_B :	Numbers	$S \to L$	$d.0$	$=$	$d.1$
		$S \to L.L$	$d.0$	$=$	$d.1 + d.3/2^{l.3}$
	Lists	$L \to B$	$d.0$	$=$	$d.1$
			$l.0$	$=$	1
		$L \to LB$	$d.0$	$=$	$2 * d.1 + d.2$
			$l.0$	$=$	$l.1 + 1$
	Bits	$B \to 0$	$d.0$	$=$	0
	Bits	$B \to 1$	$d.0$	$=$	1

Synthesized attributes of S, L, B: d (decimal value; domain: $V^d := \mathbb{Q}$)
of L: l (length; domain: $V^l := \mathbb{N}$)

Semantic rules: equations with attribute variables (index = position; 0 = LHS)

Example: Knuth's Binary Numbers II

Example 12.1 (continued)

Syntax tree for 1101.01:



$$B \to 0 : d.0 = 0 \quad B \to 1 : d.0 = 1 \quad L \to B : d.0 = d.1 \quad L \to B : l.0 = 1 \quad L \to LB : d.0 = 2 * d.1 + d.2 \quad L \to LB : l.0 = l.1 + 1 \quad S \to L.L : d.0 = d.1 + d.3/2^{l.3}$$

Adding Inherited Attributes I

Example 12.2 (synthesized + inherited attributes)

Binary numbers (with fraction):

G'_B :	Numbers	$S \to L$	$d.0$	$=$	$d.1$		$p.1$	$=$	0
		$S \to L.L$	$d.0$	$=$	$d.1 + d.3$				
			$p.1$	$=$	0		$p.3$	$=$	$-\,l.3$
	Lists	$L \to B$	$d.0$	$=$	$d.1$		$l.0$	$=$	1
			$p.1$	$=$	$p.0$				
		$L \to LB$	$d.0$	$=$	$d.1 + d.2$		$l.0$	$=$	$l.1 + 1$
			$p.1$	$=$	$p.0 + 1$		$p.2$	$=$	$p.0$
	Bits	$B \to 0$	$d.0$	$=$	0				
	Bits	$B \to 1$	$d.0$	$=$	$2^{p.0}$				

Synthesized attributes of S, L, B: d (decimal value; domain: $V^d := \mathbb{Q}$)
of L: l (length; domain: $V^l := \mathbb{N}$)

Inherited attribute of L, B: p (position; domain: $V^p := \mathbb{Z}$)

Adding Inherited Attributes II

Example 12.2 (continued)

Syntax tree for 10.1:



$$L \to B : l.0 = 1 \quad L \to LB : l.0 = l.1 + 1 \quad S \to L.L : p.1 = 0 \quad S \to L.L : p.3 = -l.3 \quad L \to LB : p.1 = p.0 + 1 \quad L \to LB : p.2 = p.0 \quad L \to B : p.1 = p.0 \quad B \to 0 : d.0 = 0 \quad B \to 1 : d.0 = 2^{p.0} \quad L \to B : d.0 = d.1 \quad L \to LB : d.0 = d.1 + d.2 \quad S \to L.L : d.0 = d.1 + d.3$$

Formal Definition of Attribute Grammars I

Definition 12.3 (Attribute grammar)

Let $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in CFG_\Sigma$ with $X := N \uplus \Sigma$.

- Let $Att = Syn \uplus Inh$ be a set of (synthesized or inherited) attributes, and let $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Att} V^\alpha$ be a union of value sets.
- Let $\mathrm{att} : X \to 2^{Att}$ be an attribute assignment, and let $\mathrm{syn}(Y) := \mathrm{att}(Y) \cap Syn$ and $\mathrm{inh}(Y) := \mathrm{att}(Y) \cap Inh$ for every $Y \in X$.
- Every production $\pi = Y_0 \to Y_1 \ldots Y_r \in P$ determines the set
$$Var_\pi := \{\alpha.i \mid \alpha \in \mathrm{att}(Y_i), i \in \{0, \ldots, r\}\}$$
of attribute variables of π with the subsets of inner and outer variables:
$$In_\pi := \{\alpha.i \mid (i = 0, \alpha \in \mathrm{syn}(Y_i)) \text{ or } (i \in [r], \alpha \in \mathrm{inh}(Y_i))\}, \qquad Out_\pi := Var_\pi \setminus In_\pi$$
- A semantic rule of π is an equation of the form
$$\alpha.i = f(\alpha_1.i_1, \ldots, \alpha_n.i_n)$$
where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha.i \in In_\pi$, $\alpha_j.i_j \in Out_\pi$, and $f : V^{\alpha_1} \times \ldots \times V^{\alpha_n} \to V^\alpha$.
- For each $\pi \in P$, let E_π be a set with exactly one semantic rule for every inner variable of π, and let $E := (E_\pi \mid \pi \in P)$.

Then $\mathfrak{A} := \langle G, E, V \rangle$ is called an attribute grammar: $\mathfrak{A} \in AG$.

Formal Definition of Attribute Grammars II

Example 12.4 (cf. Example 12.2)

$\mathfrak{A}_B \in AG$ for binary numbers:

- Attributes: $Att = Syn \uplus Inh$ with $Syn = \{d, l\}$ and $Inh = \{p\}$
- Value sets: $V^d = \mathbb{Q}$, $V^l = \mathbb{N}$, $V^p = \mathbb{Z}$
- Attribute assignment:

$Y \in X$	S	L	B	0	1	.
$\mathrm{syn}(Y)$	$\{d\}$	$\{d, l\}$	$\{d\}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$\mathrm{inh}(Y)$	$\emptyset$	$\{p\}$	$\{p\}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

- Attribute variables:

$\pi \in P$	$S \to L$	$S \to L.L$	$L \to B$
In_π	$\{d.0, p.1\}$	$\{d.0, p.1, p.3\}$	$\{d.0, l.0, p.1\}$
Out_π	$\{d.1, l.1\}$	$\{d.1, l.1, d.3, l.3\}$	$\{d.1, p.0\}$

$\pi \in P$	$L \to LB$	$B \to 0$	$B \to 1$
In_π	$\{d.0, l.0, p.1, p.2\}$	$\{d.0\}$	$\{d.0\}$
Out_π	$\{d.1, d.2, l.1, p.0\}$	$\{p.0\}$	$\{p.0\}$

- Semantic rules: see Example 12.2 (e.g., $E_{S \to L} = \{d.0 = d.1, p.1 = 0\}$)

Attribution of Syntax Trees I

Definition 12.5 (Attribution of syntax trees)

Let $\mathfrak{A} = \langle G, E, V \rangle \in AG$, and let t be a syntax tree of G with the set of nodes K.

- K determines the set of attribute variables of t:

$$Var_t := \{\alpha.k \mid k \in K \text{ labelled with } Y \in X, \alpha \in \mathrm{att}(Y)\}.$$

- Let $k_0 \in K$ be an (inner) node where production $\pi = Y_0 \to Y_1 \dots Y_r \in P$ is applied, and let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in K$ be the corresponding successor nodes. The attribute equation system E_{k_0} of k_0 is obtained from E_π by substituting every attribute index $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ by k_i.
- The attribute equation system of t is given by

$$E_t := \bigcup \{E_k \mid k \text{ inner node of } t\}.$$

Attribution of Syntax Trees II

Example 12.6 (cf. Example 12.2)

Attributed syntax tree for `10.1`:



Attribution of Syntax Trees III

Corollary 12.7

For each $\alpha.k \in Var_t$ except the inherited attribute variables at the root and the synthesized attribute variables at the leaves of t, E_t contains exactly one equation with left-hand side $\alpha.k$.

Assumptions:

- The start symbol does not have inherited attributes: $\mathrm{inh}(S) = \emptyset$.
- Synthesized attributes of terminal symbols are provided by the scanner.

Solvability of Attribute Equation System I

Definition 12.8 (Solution of attribute equation system)

Let $\mathfrak{A} = \langle G, E, V \rangle \in AG$, and let t be a syntax tree of G. A solution of E_t is a mapping

$$v : Var_t \to V$$

such that, for every $\alpha.k \in Var_t$ and $\alpha.k = f(\alpha.k_1, \ldots, \alpha.k_n) \in E_t$,

$$v(\alpha.k) = f(v(\alpha.k_1), \ldots, v(\alpha.k_n)).$$

In general, the attribute equation system E_t of a given syntax tree t can have

- no solution,
- exactly one solution, or
- several solutions.

Solvability of Attribute Equation System II

Example 12.9

- $A \to aB, B \to b \in P$
- $\alpha \in \mathrm{syn}(B)$, $\beta \in \mathrm{inh}(B)$
- $\beta.2 = f(\alpha.2) \in E_{A \to aB}$
- $\alpha.0 = \beta.0 \in E_{B \to b}$

$\Longrightarrow$ for $V^\alpha := V^\beta := \mathbb{N}$ and

- $f(x) := x + 1$: no solution
- $f(x) := 2x$: exactly one solution
  ($v(\alpha.k) = v(\beta.k) = 0$)
- $f(x) := x$: infinitely many solutions
  ($v(\alpha.k) = v(\beta.k) = y$ for any $y \in \mathbb{N}$)

$\Longrightarrow$ cyclic dependency:



E_t : $\beta.k = f(\alpha.k)$
$\alpha.k = \beta.k$

Circularity of Attribute Grammars

Goal: unique solvability of equation system

$\Longrightarrow$ avoid cyclic dependencies

Definition 12.10 (Circularity)

An attribute grammar $\mathfrak{A} = \langle G, E, V \rangle \in AG$ is called circular if there exists a syntax tree t such that the attribute equation system E_t is recursive (i.e., some attribute variable of t depends on itself). Otherwise it is called noncircular.

Remark: because of the division of Var_π into In_π and Out_π, cyclic dependencies cannot occur at production level.