Compiler Construction 2016 — Series 3 —

Hand in until May 24th before the exercise class.

General Remarks

- Follow the naming convention for the zip file: ex2_MATRNO1_MATRNO2_MATRNO3 and include the complete framework provided to you via our webpage.
- It is allowed to hand your solutions for the theoretical part via email as a separately attached PDF file.
- Please hand in your solutions in groups of 3 or 4.

Exercise 1

Consider the context-free grammar G given by the following rules:

$$S \rightarrow S + S \mid SS \mid (S) \mid S^* \mid d$$

- (a) Provide a leftmost analysis of the string $(a + a)^*a$.
- (b) Provide a rightmost analysis of the string $(a + a)^*a$.
- (c) Prove or disprove: G is unambiguous.

Exercise 2

Complete the correctness proof of Theorem 6.1 by showing the direction omitted in the lecture. More precisely, let $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in CFG_{\Sigma}$ and NTA(G) as in the lecture (lecture 6, slide 5). Show that for each $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $z \in [p]^*$ it holds that

 $(w, S, \varepsilon) \vdash^* (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z)$ implies z is a leftmost analysis of w.

Exercise 3

Show that for each context free grammar G it holds that $G \in LL(1)$ implies that G is unambiguous.

Exercise 4

Two characterizations of LL(1) have been given in the lecture.

First, by Lemma 6.5, a context free grammar $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$ is in LL(1) if and only if for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_{l}^{*} wA\alpha \begin{cases} \Rightarrow_{l} w\beta\alpha \\ \Rightarrow_{l} w\gamma\alpha \end{cases}$$

(2 Points)

(1 Points)

(2 Points)

(2 Points)



(3 Points)

such that $\beta \neq \gamma$, it follows that $first_1(\beta \alpha) \cap first_1(\gamma \alpha) = \emptyset$.

Second, by Theorem 6.10, G is in LL(1) if and only if for all pairs of rules $A \to \beta | \gamma \in P$, where $\beta \neq \gamma$, we have

$$la(A \to \beta) \cap la(A \to \gamma) = \emptyset.$$

- (a) Lift the statement of Theorem 6.10 to LL(k) for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$.
- (b) Show that the two characterizations are not equivalent for k > 1 by showing that the following grammar is in LL(2) according to the first characterization, but not according to your modified version of the second one.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S & \rightarrow & aAab \mid bAbb \\ A & \rightarrow & a \mid \varepsilon \end{array}$$

Exercise 5

Consider the following grammar G:

$$S \rightarrow (L) \mid a$$

$$L \rightarrow L, S \mid L, SS \mid S \mid SS$$

- (a) Show that $G \notin LL(1)$.
- (b) Transform G into an equivalent grammar LL(1), i.e. provide a grammar $G' \in LL(1)$ such that L(G') = L(G).
- (c) Prove that G' has the LL(1) property