Overview

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Theoretical Foundations of the UML

Lecture 3: Races

Joost-Pieter Katoen

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2
Software Modeling and Verification Group

moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ss-16/theoretical-foundations-of-the-uml/

18. April 2016

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Summary of Lecture #2

1. A Message Sequence Chart is a partial order
   - between send and receive events
   - totally ordered per process — vertical ordering
   - receive events happen after their send events — horizontal ordering
   - respecting the first-in first out (FIFO) property

2. Linearizations are totally ordered extensions of partial orders
   - all linearizations of an MSC are well-formed
     1. every receive is preceded by a corresponding send
     2. respects the FIFO ordering
     3. no send events without corresponding receive

3. Every well-formed word can be transformed into an MSC
   - two linearizations of the same MSC yield isomorphic MSCs

4. So: there is a 1-to-1 relation between an MSC and its linearizations

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Example



These pictures are formalized using partial orders.

Message Sequence Chart (MSC) (1)

Definition

An MSC $M = (\mathcal{P}, E, \mathcal{C}, l, m, \preceq)$ with:

- $\mathcal{P}$, a finite set of processes $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$ with $n > 1$
- E, a finite set of events

$$E = \biguplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} E_p = E_? \cup E_!$$

- $\mathcal{C}$, a finite set of message contents
- $l : E \to Act$, a labelling function defined by:

$$l(e) = \begin{cases} !(p, q, a) & \text{if} \quad e \in E_p \cap E_! \\ ?(p, q, a) & \text{if} \quad e \in E_p \cap E_? \end{cases}, \text{for } p \neq q \in \mathcal{P},\ a \in \mathcal{C}$$

Message Sequence Chart (MSC) (2)

Definition

- $m : E_! \to E_?$ a bijection ("matching function"), satisfying:

$$m(e) = e' \wedge l(e) = !(p, q, a) \text{ implies } l(e') = ?(q, p, a) \quad (p \neq q,\ a \in \mathcal{C})$$

- $\preceq \subseteq E \times E$ is a partial order ("visual order") defined by:

$$\leqslant \ = \Big(\underbrace{\bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} <_p}_{<_p \text{ is a total order} = \text{"top-to-bottom" order on process } p} \cup \underbrace{\{(e, m(e)) \mid e \in E_!\}}_{\text{communication order } <_c} \Big)^*$$

where for relation R, R^* denotes its reflexive and transitive closure.

Example

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Visual order can be misleading



can e_6 occur before e_2?

If message b takes much shorter than message a, then c might arrive at p_1 before a.

In practice, e_6 might occur before e_2, but $e_2 <_{p_1} e_6$ and thus $e_2 \preceq e_6$.

This is misleading and called a race.

What is a race?

A race condition asserts a particular order of events will occur because of the visual ordering (i.e., the partial order $\preceq$) when, in practice, this order cannot be guaranteed to hold.

Q: When are race conditions possible and how to detect them?

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Causal order

Main principles:

- Send events should happen before their matching receive events
- The ordering of events wrt. sends on same process is unaffected
- Receive events on a process sent from the same process are ordered as their sends

Definition

For MSC $M = (\mathcal{P}, E, \mathcal{C}, l, m, \preceq)$, relation $\ll \subseteq E \times E$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e \ll e' \quad & \text{iff} \quad e' = m(e) \\
& \text{or} \quad e <_p e' \text{ and } E_! \cap \{e, e'\} \neq \varnothing \\
& \text{or} \quad e, e' \in E_p \cap E_? \text{ and } m^{-1}(e) <_q m^{-1}(e')
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ll^*$ is a partial order (referred to as causal order) in which events at the same process are not necessarily ordered.

Causal order: example

Definition

For MSC $M = (\mathcal{P}, E, \mathcal{C}, l, m, \preceq)$, relation $\ll \subseteq E \times E$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
e \ll e' & \text{iff} & e' = m(e) \\
& \text{or} & e <_p e' \text{ and } E_! \cap \{e, e'\} \neq \varnothing \\
& \text{or} & e, e' \in E_p \cap E_? \text{ and } m^{-1}(e) <_q m^{-1}(e')
\end{array}
$$



Example

$e_1 \ll e_2, \quad e_3 \ll e_4, \quad e_5 \ll e_6, \quad e_1 \ll e_3, \quad e_4 \ll e_5, \quad \text{not } (e_2 \ll e_6)$

Races

Definition

MSC M contains a race if for some $e, e' \in E_?$ and process p:

$$e <_p e' \text{ but not } (e \ll^* e')$$

where $\ll^* \subseteq E \times E$ is the reflexive and transitive closure of $\ll$.

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Race: example



Visual order versus causal order

1. $e_1 \preceq e_2,\ e_3 \preceq e_4,\ e_5 \preceq e_6,\ e_1 \preceq e_3,\ e_4 \preceq e_5,\ e_2 \preceq e_6$
2. $e_1 \ll e_2,\ e_3 \ll e_4,\ e_5 \ll e_6,\ e_1 \ll e_3,\ e_4 \ll e_5,$ not $(e_2 \ll e_6)$

As $\ll^* \not\subseteq \preceq$, this MSC contains a race.

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Other examples

On the black board.

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Why are races problematic?

Recall: MSC M has a race if $\preceq \not\subseteq \ll^*$ or equivalently:

$$\exists e, e' \in E_? \,.\, (e <_p e' \text{ and } e \not\ll^* e')$$

Whenever $\preceq \not\subseteq \ll^*$, implementations based on $<_p$ may cause problems:

1. unspecified message reception
   - a process receives a message which by the MSC is not possible
2. deadlocks
   - a process blocking on receipt of an unexpected message may block others too
3. message loss
   - unexpectedly received messages may be ignored
4. exploiting wrong message content

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Checking whether an MSC has a race

- MSC M has a race if $\preceq \not\subseteq \ll^*$
- How to check whether MSC M has a race?

  compute $\ll^*$ *and check whether* $\preceq \subseteq \ll^*$
- transitive closure $\ll^*$ is computed using Floyd-Warshall's algorithm
  - algorithm for finding shortest paths in a weighted digraph with positive or negative edge weights[1]
  - easily adapted for computing the transitive closure of digraphs
  - worst-case time complexity $\mathcal{O}(|E|^3)$
  - by using some specifics of MSC, this is reduced to $\mathcal{O}(|E|^2)$
- So: race checking can be done quadratically in the number of events

[1] for digraphs without negative cycles.

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Computing $\ll^*$: Warshall's algorithm

Algorithm

$\underbrace{\text{compute } \ll^*}_{\text{Warshall's Algorithm}}$ and compare with $\preceq$

Warshall's Algorithm: input: $R \subseteq X \times X$ where X is a set
output: R^*

Idea:

Consider R and R^* as directed graphs

There is an edge $x \Rightarrow y$ in R^* iff there is a (possibly empty) sequence

$$x = x_0 \to x_1 \to x_2 \to \ldots \to x_n = y \text{ in } R$$

(our setting: $X = E, R = \ll,\ R^* = \ll^*$)

Warshall's algorithm: preliminaries

- assume: graph vertices are numbered $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ where $n = |E|$
- for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ define relation $\overset{j}{\Longrightarrow}$ as follows:
  $x \overset{j}{\Longrightarrow} y$ iff $\exists$ path in R from x to y such that all vertices on the path $(\neq x, y)$ have a smaller number than j
- Then:
  (1) $x \Longrightarrow y$ iff $x \overset{n+1}{\Longrightarrow} y$
  (2) $x \overset{1}{\Longrightarrow} y$ iff $x = y$ or $x \ll y$
  (3) $x \overset{y+1}{\Longrightarrow} z$ iff $x \overset{y}{\Longrightarrow} z$ or $x \overset{y}{\Longrightarrow} y \overset{y}{\Longrightarrow} z$
- Algorithm: determine the relations $\overset{1}{\Longrightarrow}, \ldots, \overset{n}{\Longrightarrow}, \overset{n+1}{\Longrightarrow}$ iteratively using properties (2) + (3); Result is then given by (1).
- Store $\overset{i}{\Longrightarrow}$ in a boolean matrix C
- Postcondition: $C[x, y] = \texttt{true}$ iff $(x, y) \in R^*$
- Precondition: $\forall x, y \in X \,.\, C[x, y] = \texttt{false}$

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Warshall's algorithm

/* first compute $x \overset{1}{\Longrightarrow} y$ */
for $x := 1$ to n do
    for $y := 1$ to n do
        $C[x, y] := (x = y \text{ or } \underbrace{(x, y) \in R}_{x \ll y})$
/* loop invariant: after the j-th iteration of */
/* outermost loop it holds: $C[x, y] = \texttt{true}$ iff $x \overset{j+1}{\Longrightarrow} y$ */
for $y := 1$ to n do
    for $x := 1$ to n do
        if $C[x, y]$ then
            for $z := 1$ to n do
                if $C[y, z]$ then
                    $C[x, z] := \texttt{true}$

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Correctness and complexity

Lemma: correctness

After j iterations: $x \overset{j+1}{\Longrightarrow} y$ iff $C[x, y] = \texttt{true}$.

Proof.

if: trivial; *only if*: by induction on j. □

Complexity

Worst-case time complexity of Warshall's algorithm : $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ with $n = |X|$

Proof.

follows from the facts that there is a triple-nested loop of which each loop has at most n iterations. □

Efficiency improvement [Alur et al. '96]

Warshall's algorithm computes R^* for every binary relation $R \subseteq X \times X$.

Recall: our interest is in determining R^* for $R = \ll$

Using some properties of $\ll$ the complexity can be improved.

Exploit that for $\ll$:

1. $\ll$ is acyclic (as it is a partial order)
2. number of immediate predecessors of $e \in E$ under $\ll$ is at most two (why?)

   Recall that e is an immediate predecessor of e' (under $\ll$) if:

$$e \ll e' \text{ and } \neg(\exists e'' \notin \{e, e'\}.\ e \ll e'' \wedge e'' \ll e')$$

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Efficiency improvement [Alur et al. '96]

The main loop of Warshall's algorithm:

```
for e := 1 to n do
    for e' := 1 to n do
        if C[e', e] then
            for e'' := 1 to n do
                if C[e, e''] then
                    C[e', e''] := true
```

The main loop of Alur *et. al.*'s algorithm for detecting races in MSCs:

```
for e := 1 to n do
    for e' := e − 1 downto 1 do
        if (not C[e', e] and e' ≪ e) then
            C[e', e] := true
            for e'' := 1 to e' − 1 do
                if C[e'', e'] then
                    C[e'', e] := true
```

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Detecting races in MSCs

Theorem

Let M be an MSC with set E of events and $n = |E|$. Checking whether M has a race can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

Proof.

Since $\ll$ is acyclic, we number the events such that the numbering defines a total order that is consistent with visual order $\preceq$. This can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ using a standard topological sort. Then observe that the innermost loop:

$$\begin{array}{l}\text{for } e'' := 1 \text{ to } e' - 1 \text{ do} \\ \quad \text{if } C[e'', e'] \text{ then } C[e'', e] := \texttt{true}\end{array}$$

of the triple-nested main loop is executed for (e, e') only if e' is an immediate predecessor of e under $\ll$. As for MSCs, an event can have at most two immediate predecessors, the innermost loop is executed at most $2 \cdot n$ times. This yields a total worst-case time complexity of $n^2 + 2 \cdot n$. □

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY