



Semantics and Verification of Software

Summer Semester 2015

Lecture 15: Nondeterminism and Parallelism I
(Shared-Variables Communication)

Thomas Noll

Software Modeling and Verification Group

RWTH Aachen University

<http://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ss-15/sv-sw/>



SOMMER FEST

26. Juni

Informatikzentrum

2015

Karriere

13³⁰ Firmenkontaktmesse
Science Tunnel

Ort: Foyer und Korridor Hauptbau

Kluge Köpfe

15³⁰ Festveranstaltung
Absolventenfeier

Ort: Aula 2 Hauptbau

Cooler Party

19³⁰ Eröffnung des Buffets
20³⁰-02⁰⁰ Party mit Live-Band und DJ

Ort: Foyer E2 und Parkplatz

Motivation

- Essential question: what is the meaning of

$$c_1 \parallel c_2$$

(**parallel** execution of $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Cmd}$)?

- Easy to answer when state spaces are **disjoint**:

$$\langle x := 1 \parallel y := 2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 2]$$

(no interaction \Rightarrow corresponds to sequential execution)

- But what if variables are **shared**?

$$(x := 1 \parallel x := 2); \text{if } x = 1 \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}$$

(runs c_1 or c_2 depending on execution order of initial assignments)

- Even more complicated for **non-atomic assignments**...

Introduction

Non-Atomic Assignments

Observation: **parallelism** introduces new phenomena

Example 15.1

$$\begin{array}{c} x := 0; \\ (x := x + 1 \parallel x := x + 2) \end{array} \quad \text{value of } x: 0123$$

132

- At first glance: x is assigned 3
- But: both parallel components could read x before it is written
- Thus: x is assigned 2, 1, or 3
- If **exclusive (write) access** to shared memory and **atomic execution** of assignments guaranteed
⇒ only possible outcome: 3

Introduction

Parallelism and Interaction

The problem arises due to the combination of

- **parallelism** and
- **interaction** (here: via shared memory)

Conclusion

When defining the semantics of parallel systems, the precise description of the mechanisms of both **parallelism** and **interaction** is crucially important.

Reactive Systems

- Thus: “classical” model for sequential systems

System : Input \rightarrow Output

(**transformational systems**) is not adequate

- Missing: aspect of **interaction**
- Rather: **reactive systems** which interact with environment and among themselves
- Main interest: not terminating computations but **infinite behaviour**
(system maintains ongoing interaction with environment)
- Examples:
 - operating systems
 - embedded systems controlling mechanical or electrical devices
(planes, cars, home appliances, ...)
 - power plants, production lines, ...

Introduction

Overview

Here: study of parallelism in connection with two different kinds of interaction

1. Shared-variables communication (ParWHILE)
2. Channel communication (CSP)

Essential principle:

- Reduction of parallelism to **nondeterminism + sequential execution**
(similar to multitasking on sequential computers)

Preparatory step:

- Semantic description of **nondeterminism**

Nondeterminism

The NdWHILE Language

Definition 15.2 (Syntax of NdWHILE)

$$\begin{aligned} a &::= z \mid x \mid a_1 + a_2 \mid a_1 - a_2 \mid a_1 * a_2 \in AExp \\ b &::= t \mid a_1 = a_2 \mid a_1 > a_2 \mid \neg b \mid b_1 \wedge b_2 \mid b_1 \vee b_2 \in BExp \\ c &::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid c_1 ; c_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end} \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end} \mid \\ &\quad c_1 \square c_2 \in Cmd \end{aligned}$$

Here, $c_1 \square c_2$ stands for the **nondeterministic** choice between statements c_1 and c_2 .

Nondeterminism

Big-Step Semantics

Definition 15.3 (Big-step execution relation for NdWHILE)

For $c \in \text{Cmd}$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$, the **execution relation** $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$ is defined by:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \text{(skip)} \\ \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \text{(seq)} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false} \quad \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \text{(if-f)} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \text{(wh-t)} \\ \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle c_1 \square c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \text{(alt-1)} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z} \\ \frac{}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto z]} \text{(asgn)} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \text{(if-t)} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \\ \frac{}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \text{(wh-f)} \\ \frac{\langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle c_1 \square c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \text{(alt-1)} \end{array}$$

Small-Step Semantics I

- Description of parallelism will require **small-step execution relation** \rightarrow_1 for statements
- Introduces explicit representation of **intermediate configurations**
- To minimize number of rules: uniform treatment of configurations of the form $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \in \mathit{Cmd} \times \Sigma$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$:
 - σ interpreted as $\langle \downarrow, \sigma \rangle$ with **“terminated” command** \downarrow
 - \downarrow satisfies $\downarrow; c = c$
 - thus: read $\langle \downarrow; x := 0, \sigma \rangle$ as $\langle x := 0, \sigma \rangle$

Small-Step Semantics II

Definition 15.4 (Small-step execution relation for NdWHILE)

The **small-step execution relation**, $\rightarrow_1 \subseteq (Cmd \times \Sigma) \times (Cmd \times \Sigma)$, is defined by the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1; c_2, \sigma' \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{}{\langle c_1 \square c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma[x \mapsto z] \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{}{\langle c_1 \square c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle} \end{array}$$

Small-Step Semantics III

Remarks:

- Possible to show: big-step and small-step semantics are **equivalent**, i.e., for all $c \in \mathit{Cmd}$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$:

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \iff \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^* \langle \downarrow, \sigma' \rangle$$

- Alternative (equivalent) formalisation of choice:

$$\frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_1 \square c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1, \sigma' \rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_2, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_1 \square c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_2, \sigma' \rangle}$$

Shared-Variables Communication

The ParWHILE Language

Definition 15.5 (Syntax of ParWHILE)

$$\begin{aligned} a &::= z \mid x \mid a_1 + a_2 \mid a_1 - a_2 \mid a_1 * a_2 \in AExp \\ b &::= t \mid a_1 = a_2 \mid a_1 > a_2 \mid \neg b \mid b_1 \wedge b_2 \mid b_1 \vee b_2 \in BExp \\ c &::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid c_1 ; c_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end} \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end} \mid \\ &\quad c_1 \parallel c_2 \in Cmd \end{aligned}$$

Semantics of ParWHILE I

- Approach for defining semantics:
 - assignments are executed **atomically**
 - parallelism is modeled by **interleaving**, i.e., the actions of parallel statements are merged
- ⇒ Reduction of parallelism to **nondeterminism + sequential execution**
(similar to multitasking on sequential computers)
- Requires **small-step execution relation** for statements (cf. Definition 15.4)
- Again: **“terminated” command** \downarrow
 - \downarrow additionally satisfies $\downarrow \parallel c = c \parallel \downarrow = c$
 - Thus: read $\langle \downarrow ; x := 0 \parallel \downarrow, \sigma \rangle$ as $\langle x := 0, \sigma \rangle$

Semantics of ParWHILE II

Definition 15.6 (Small-step execution relation for ParWHILE)

The **small-step execution relation**, $\rightarrow_1 \subseteq (Cmd \times \Sigma) \times (Cmd \times \Sigma)$, is defined by the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma \rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma[x \mapsto z] \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1; c_2, \sigma' \rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end}, \sigma \rangle} \\ \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_1 \parallel c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_1 \parallel c_2, \sigma' \rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c'_2, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_1 \parallel c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle c_1 \parallel c'_2, \sigma' \rangle} \end{array}$$

Semantics of ParWHILE III

Example 15.7

Let $c := (x := 1 \parallel x := 2); \text{if } x = 1 \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle x := 2; \text{if } x = 1 \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{\langle 1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow 1}{\langle x := 1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma[x \mapsto 1] \rangle} \\ & \text{since} \qquad \frac{\langle x := 1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma[x \mapsto 1] \rangle}{\langle x := 1 \parallel x := 2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow \parallel x := 2, \sigma[x \mapsto 1] \rangle} \\ & \rightarrow_1 \langle \text{if } x = 1 \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{\langle 2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow 2}{\langle x := 2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle} \\ & \text{since} \qquad \frac{\langle x := 2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1 \langle \downarrow, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle}{\langle c_2, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle} \\ & \rightarrow_1 \langle c_2, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle \\ & \text{since} \qquad \frac{\langle x, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle \rightarrow 2 \quad \langle 1, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle \rightarrow 1}{\langle x = 1, \sigma[x \mapsto 2] \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}} \end{aligned}$$

Analogously: $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow_1^3 \langle c_1, \sigma[x \mapsto 1] \rangle$