

Compiler Construction

Lecture 7: Syntax Analysis III ($LL(1)$ Parsing)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2
(Software Modeling and Verification)



noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de

<http://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ss-14/cc14/>

Summer Semester 2014

- 1 Recap: $LL(k)$ Grammars
- 2 Characterization of $LL(1)$
- 3 Computing Lookahead Sets
- 4 Deterministic Top-Down Parsing

LL(k): reading of input from **L**eft to right with **k**-lookahead, computing a **L**eftmost analysis

Definition (LL(k) grammar)

Let $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in \text{CFG}_\Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then G has the **LL(k) property** (notation: $G \in \text{LL}(k)$) if for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_j^* wA\alpha \begin{cases} \Rightarrow_l w\beta\alpha \Rightarrow_j^* wx \\ \Rightarrow_l w\gamma\alpha \Rightarrow_j^* wy \end{cases}$$

such that $\beta \neq \gamma$, it follows that $\text{first}_k(x) \neq \text{first}_k(y)$ (i.e., different productions must not yield the same lookahead).

Motivation:

- $k = 1$ sufficient to resolve nondeterminism in “most” practical applications
- Implementation of $LL(k)$ parsers for $k > 1$ rather involved (cf. ANTLR [ANOther Tool for Language Recognition; formerly PCCTS] at <http://www.antlr.org/>)

Abbreviations: $fi := first_1$, $fo := follow_1$, $\Sigma_\epsilon := \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$

Corollary

- ① For every $\alpha \in X^*$,

$$fi(\alpha) = \{a \in \Sigma \mid \text{ex. } w \in \Sigma^* : \alpha \Rightarrow^* aw\} \cup \{\epsilon \mid \alpha \Rightarrow^* \epsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma_\epsilon$$

- ② For every $A \in N$,

$$fo(A) = \{x \in fi(\alpha) \mid \text{ex. } w \in \Sigma^*, \alpha \in X^* : S \Rightarrow_j^* wA\alpha\} \subseteq \Sigma_\epsilon.$$

Definition (Lookahead set)

Given $\pi = A \rightarrow \beta \in P$,

$$\text{la}(\pi) := \text{fi}(\beta \cdot \text{fo}(A)) \subseteq \Sigma_\varepsilon$$

is called the **lookahead set** of π (where $\text{fi}(\Gamma) := \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{fi}(\gamma)$).

Corollary

① For all $a \in \Sigma$,

$$a \in \text{la}(A \rightarrow \beta) \text{ iff } a \in \text{fi}(\beta) \text{ or } (\beta \Rightarrow^* \varepsilon \text{ and } a \in \text{fo}(A))$$

② $\varepsilon \in \text{la}(A \rightarrow \beta)$ iff $\beta \Rightarrow^* \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \in \text{fo}(A)$

- 1 Recap: $LL(k)$ Grammars
- 2 Characterization of $LL(1)$
- 3 Computing Lookahead Sets
- 4 Deterministic Top-Down Parsing

Characterization of $LL(1)$

Theorem 7.1 (Characterization of $LL(1)$)

$G \in LL(1)$ iff for all pairs of rules $A \rightarrow \beta \mid \gamma \in P$ (where $\beta \neq \gamma$):

$$\text{la}(A \rightarrow \beta) \cap \text{la}(A \rightarrow \gamma) = \emptyset.$$

Proof.

on the board □

Remark: the above theorem generally does not hold if $k > 1$
(cf. exercises)

- 1 Recap: $LL(k)$ Grammars
- 2 Characterization of $LL(1)$
- 3 Computing Lookahead Sets
- 4 Deterministic Top-Down Parsing

Computing Lookahead Sets I

(see Waite/Goos: *Compiler Construction*, p. 164f)

Lemma 7.2 (Computation of fi/fo)

The sets $\text{fi}(\alpha) \subseteq \Sigma_\varepsilon$ (for $\alpha \in X^*$) and $\text{fo}(A) \subseteq \Sigma_\varepsilon$ (for $A \in N$) are the least sets such that:

- ① $\text{fi}(Y)$ for $Y \in X$:
 - $Y \in \Sigma \implies \text{fi}(Y) = \{Y\}$
 - $Y \rightarrow A_1 \dots A_k Z \alpha \in P, k \in \mathbb{N}, Z \in X, \varepsilon \in \text{fi}(A_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{fi}(A_k), a \in \text{fi}(Z) \implies a \in \text{fi}(Y)$
 - $Y \rightarrow A_1 \dots A_k \in P, k \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in \text{fi}(A_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{fi}(A_k) \implies \varepsilon \in \text{fi}(Y)$
- ② $\text{fi}(Y_1 \dots Y_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}, Y_i \in X$:
 - $\varepsilon \in \text{fi}(Y_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{fi}(Y_{k-1}), a \in \text{fi}(Y_k), k \in [n] \implies a \in \text{fi}(Y_1 \dots Y_n)$
 - $\varepsilon \in \text{fi}(Y_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{fi}(Y_n) \implies \varepsilon \in \text{fi}(Y_1 \dots Y_n)$
- ③ $\text{fo}(A)$ for $A \in N$:
 - $\varepsilon \in \text{fo}(S)$
 - $A \rightarrow \alpha B \beta \in P, a \in \text{fi}(\beta) \implies a \in \text{fo}(B)$
 - $A \rightarrow \alpha B \beta \in P, \varepsilon \in \text{fi}(\beta), x \in \text{fo}(A) \implies x \in \text{fo}(B)$

Computing Lookahead Sets II

Corollary 7.3

- 1 $A \rightarrow a\alpha \in P \implies a \in \text{fi}(A)$
- 2 $A \rightarrow B\alpha \in P, a \in \text{fi}(B) \implies a \in \text{fi}(A)$
- 3 $A \rightarrow \varepsilon \in P \implies \varepsilon \in \text{fi}(A)$
- 4 $\text{fi}(\varepsilon) = \{\varepsilon\}$
- 5 $a \in \text{fi}(A) \implies a \in \text{fi}(A\alpha)$
- 6 $A \rightarrow \alpha B \in P, x \in \text{fo}(A) \implies x \in \text{fo}(B)$

Example 7.4

Grammar for
arithmetic expressions
(cf. Example 5.10):

$$G_{AE} : \begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow E+T \mid T \\ T \rightarrow T*F \mid F \\ F \rightarrow (E) \mid a \mid b \end{array}$$

- $F \rightarrow a \in P \implies a \in \text{fi}(F)$
- $T \rightarrow F \in P, a \in \text{fi}(F) \implies a \in \text{fi}(T)$
- $a \in \text{fi}(T) \implies \text{la}(T \rightarrow T*F) = \text{fi}(T*F \cdot \text{fo}(T)) \ni a$
- $a \in \text{fi}(F) \implies \text{la}(T \rightarrow F) = \text{fi}(F \cdot \text{fo}(T)) \ni a$
- $\implies a \in \text{la}(T \rightarrow T*F) \cap \text{la}(T \rightarrow F) \neq \emptyset$
- $\implies G_{AE} \notin LL(1)$

Fixing the Problem

(general methods later)

Example 7.5 (continuing Example 7.4)

Restructuring (such that $L(G'_{AE}) = L(G_{AE})$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'_{AE}: \quad & E \rightarrow TE' \\
 & E' \rightarrow +TE' \mid \varepsilon \\
 & T \rightarrow FT' \\
 & T' \rightarrow *FT' \mid \varepsilon \\
 & F \rightarrow (E) \mid a \mid b
 \end{aligned}$$

$A \in N$	$\text{fi}(A)$	$\text{fo}(A)$
E	$\{(, a, b)\}$	$\{\varepsilon,)\}$
E'	$\{+, \varepsilon\}$	$\{\varepsilon,)\}$
T	$\{(, a, b)\}$	$\{+, \varepsilon,)\}$
T'	$\{*, \varepsilon\}$	$\{+, \varepsilon,)\}$
F	$\{(, a, b)\}$	$\{*, +, \varepsilon,)\}$

$A \rightarrow \beta \in P$	$\text{la}(A \rightarrow \beta) = \text{fi}(\beta \cdot \text{fo}(A))$
$E \rightarrow TE'$	$\{(, a, b)\}$
$E' \rightarrow +TE'$	$\{+\}$
$E' \rightarrow \varepsilon$	$\{\varepsilon,)\}$
$T \rightarrow FT'$	$\{(, a, b)\}$
$T' \rightarrow *FT'$	$\{*\}$
$T' \rightarrow \varepsilon$	$\{+, \varepsilon,)\}$
$F \rightarrow (E)$	$\{($
$F \rightarrow a$	$\{a\}$
$F \rightarrow b$	$\{b\}$

$\Rightarrow G'_{AE} \in LL(1)$

- 1 Recap: $LL(k)$ Grammars
- 2 Characterization of $LL(1)$
- 3 Computing Lookahead Sets
- 4 Deterministic Top-Down Parsing

Deterministic Top-Down Parsing

Approach: given $G \in CFG_{\Sigma}$,

- 1 Verify that $G \in LL(1)$ by computing the lookahead sets and checking alternatives for disjointness
- 2 Start with nondeterministic top-down parsing automaton $NTA(G)$
- 3 Use **1-symbol lookahead** to control the choice of expanding productions:
 - $(aw, A\alpha, z) \vdash (aw, \beta\alpha, zi)$
if $\pi_i = A \rightarrow \beta$ and $a \in \text{la}(\pi_i)$
 - $(\varepsilon, A\alpha, z) \vdash (\varepsilon, \beta\alpha, zi)$
if $\pi_i = A \rightarrow \beta$ and $\varepsilon \in \text{la}(\pi_i)$
 - [matching steps as before: $(aw, a\alpha, z) \vdash (w, \alpha, z)$] \implies **deterministic top-down parsing automaton** $DTA(G)$

Remarks:

- $DTA(G)$ is actually **not a pushdown automaton** (a is read but not consumed). But: can be simulated using the finite control.
- Advantage of using lookahead is **twofold**:
 - Removal of nondeterminism
 - Earlier detection of syntax errors
(in configurations $(aw, A\alpha, z)$ where $a \notin \bigcup_{A \rightarrow \beta \in P} \text{la}(A \rightarrow \beta)$)

The Deterministic Top-Down Automaton I

Definition 7.6 (Deterministic top-down parsing automaton)

Let $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in LL(1)$. The **deterministic top-down parsing automaton** of G , $DTA(G)$, is defined by the following components.

- **Input alphabet** Σ , **pushdown alphabet** X , **output alphabet** $[p]$
- **Configurations** $\Sigma^* \times X^* \times [p]^*$, **initial configuration** (w, S, ε) , **final configurations** $\{\varepsilon\} \times \{\varepsilon\} \times [p]^*$ (as $NTA(G)$)
- **Action function**
$$\text{act} : \Sigma_\varepsilon \times X_\varepsilon \rightarrow \{(\alpha, i) \mid \pi_i = A \rightarrow \alpha\} \cup \{\text{pop}, \text{accept}, \text{error}\}$$

with $\text{act}(x, A) := (\alpha, i)$ if $\pi_i = A \rightarrow \alpha$ and $x \in \text{la}(\pi_i)$
$$\begin{aligned} \text{act}(a, a) &:= \text{pop} \\ \text{act}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) &:= \text{accept} \\ \text{act}(x, y) &:= \text{error} \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$
- **Transitions** for $x \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$, $w \in \Sigma^*$, $Y \in X$, $\beta \in X^*$, and $z \in [p]^*$:
$$(xw, Y\beta, z) \vdash \begin{cases} (xw, \alpha\beta, zi) & \text{if } \text{act}(x, Y) = (\alpha, i) \\ (w, \beta, z) & \text{if } \text{act}(x, Y) = \text{pop} \end{cases}$$

The Deterministic Top-Down Automaton II

Example 7.7 (cf. Example 7.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'_{AE} : \quad & E \rightarrow TE' && (1) \\
 & E' \rightarrow +TE' \mid \varepsilon && (2, 3) \\
 & T \rightarrow FT' && (4) \\
 & T' \rightarrow *FT' \mid \varepsilon && (5, 6) \\
 & F \rightarrow (E) \mid a \mid b && (7, 8, 9)
 \end{aligned}$$

$A \rightarrow \beta \in P$	$la(A \rightarrow \beta)$
$E \rightarrow TE'$	$\{ (, a, b \}$
$E' \rightarrow +TE'$	$\{ + \}$
$E' \rightarrow \varepsilon$	$\{ \varepsilon,) \}$
$T \rightarrow FT'$	$\{ (, a, b \}$
$T' \rightarrow *FT'$	$\{ * \}$
$T' \rightarrow \varepsilon$	$\{ +, \varepsilon,) \}$
$F \rightarrow (E)$	$\{ (\}$
$F \rightarrow a$	$\{ a \}$
$F \rightarrow b$	$\{ b \}$

act : $\Sigma_\varepsilon \times X_\varepsilon \rightarrow \{(\alpha, i) \mid \pi_i = A \rightarrow \alpha\} \cup \{\text{pop, accept, error}\}$ (empty = error)

act	E	E'	T	T'	F	a	b	()	*	+	ε
a	$(TE', 1)$		$(FT', 4)$		$(a, 8)$	pop						
b	$(TE', 1)$		$(FT', 4)$		$(b, 9)$	pop						
($(TE', 1)$		$(FT', 4)$		$((E), 7)$			pop				
)		$(\varepsilon, 3)$		$(\varepsilon, 6)$					pop			
*				$(*FT', 5)$						pop		
+		$(+TE', 2)$		$(\varepsilon, 6)$							pop	
ε		$(\varepsilon, 3)$		$(\varepsilon, 6)$								accept

The Deterministic Top-Down Automaton III

Example 7.7 (continued)

act	E	E'	T	T'	F	a	b	()	*	+	ϵ
a	$(TE', 1)$		$(FT', 4)$		$(a, 8)$	pop						
b	$(TE', 1)$		$(FT', 4)$		$(b, 9)$		pop					
($(TE', 1)$		$(FT', 4)$		$((E), 7)$			pop				
)		$(\epsilon, 3)$		$(\epsilon, 6)$					pop			
*				$(*FT', 5)$						pop		
+		$(+TE', 2)$		$(\epsilon, 6)$							pop	
ϵ		$(\epsilon, 3)$		$(\epsilon, 6)$								accept

Leftmost analysis of $(a)*b$:

$((a)*b, E, \epsilon)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, E')T'E', 1471486)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, TE', 1)$	$\vdash ((a)*b,)T'E', 14714863)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, FT'E', 14)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, T'E', 14714863)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, (E)T'E', 147)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, *FT'E', 147148635)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, E)T'E', 147)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, b, FT'E', 147148635)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, TE')T'E', 1471)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, b, bT'E', 1471486359)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, FT'E')T'E', 14714)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, \epsilon, T'E', 1471486359)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, aT'E')T'E', 147148)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, \epsilon, E', 14714863596)$
$\vdash ((a)*b, T'E')T'E', 147148)$	$\vdash ((a)*b, \epsilon, \epsilon, 147148635963)$