

**Exercise 1 (Characterisation of LL(1)):**

**(3 Points)**

In the lecture two characterizations of  $LL(1)$  have been given:

- $G \in LL(1)$  iff for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_i^* wA\alpha \begin{cases} \Rightarrow_i w\beta\alpha \\ \Rightarrow_i w\gamma\alpha \end{cases}$$

such that  $\beta \neq \gamma$ , it follows that  $\text{fi}(\beta\alpha) \cap \text{fi}(\gamma\alpha) = \emptyset$ .

- $G \in LL(1)$  iff for all pairs of rules  $A \rightarrow \beta \mid \gamma \in P$  (where  $\beta \neq \gamma$ ):

$$\text{la}(A \rightarrow \beta) \cap \text{la}(A \rightarrow \gamma) = \emptyset$$

- Lift the second definition to  $LL(k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . (The first definition was given for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  in the lecture.)
- Show that the definitions are not equivalent by showing that the following grammar is in  $LL(2)$  according to the first definition but not according to the second definition (also referred to as *strong*  $LL(2)$  property).

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow aAab \mid bAbb \\ A &\rightarrow a \mid \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Explain (in a few words) why the definitions are not equivalent.

**Exercise 2 (Find an equivalent LL(1) grammar):**

**(3 Points)**

Consider the following grammar  $G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow (L) \mid a \\ L &\rightarrow L, S \mid L, SS \mid S \mid SS \end{aligned}$$

- Show that  $G$  is not an  $LL(1)$  grammar.
- Transform  $G$  into an equivalent grammar satisfying the  $LL(1)$  property.
- Prove that  $G$  has the  $LL(1)$  property.

**Exercise 3 (LL(1) grammars are never ambiguous):**

**(1 Points)**

Show that for every context-free grammar  $G$  the following holds:

$$G \text{ ambiguous} \Rightarrow G \notin LL(1)$$

**Exercise 4 (Deterministic Top-Down Automaton):**

**(3 Points)**

Consider the grammar  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, start)$  covering some boolean expressions:

- $N := \{start, guard, rel\}$
- $\Sigma := \{AND, OR, ID, EQ, LEQ\}$



- $start \rightarrow guard$
- $guard \rightarrow rel \mid guard \text{ AND } guard \mid guard \text{ OR } guard$
- $rel \rightarrow ID \text{ EQ } ID \mid ID \text{ LEQ } ID$

- a) Construct  $NTA(G)$ . (Either give a transition table or depict the automaton and specify what the edge labelling means. Do not forget to give a numbering to the grammar rules.)
- b) Provide a run of  $NTA(G)$  on the input  $ID \text{ EQ } ID \text{ AND } ID \text{ LEQ } ID$ .
- c) Construct an equivalent grammar  $G'$  with  $G' \in LL(1)$ .
- d) Specify the deterministic top-down parsing automaton of  $DTA(G')$ . (Again, either give a transition table as in the lecture or depict the automaton and specify what the edge labelling means. As before, do not forget to give a numbering to the grammar rules of  $G'$ .)
- e) Provide a run of  $DTA(G')$  on the input  $ID \text{ EQ } ID \text{ AND } ID \text{ LEQ } ID$ .