

— Bachelor's or Master's Thesis —

Distribution Transformers for Probabilistic Programs

What is it all about?

Probabilistic programs extend deterministic programs by a **random choice** about which code branch is executed next. They can be defined by the following grammar:

$$c ::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid \{c\}[p]\{c\} \mid c; c \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c \text{ else } c \text{ end} \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c \text{ end.}$$

Distributions over program states describe what values the program variables hold at a certain time, **and with which probability**. Our goal is to reason about distributions as **pre- and postconditions** of probabilistic programs. Consider the following two examples:

$\begin{aligned} // 1 : x \mapsto 1 \\ x := x - 1; [\frac{1}{2}]x := x + 1; \\ // \frac{1}{2} : x \mapsto 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} : x \mapsto 1 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} // 1 : x \geq 42 \\ x := 0; \\ // 1 : x \mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$
---	---

A function mapping an initial distribution to the post distribution that the probabilistic program outputs (or vice-versa!) is called a **distribution transformer**. One such transformer is the denotational semantics of probabilistic programs (see e.g. [dH99]). Currently, I am working on developing more such transformers that vary in their use case (single distributions or sets of distributions) as well as their direction (forwards or backwards). I will present you more details in our initial meeting. Some of those distribution transformers have the interesting property, that they are hard to compute or not definable in a purely inductive manner.

What is to be done?

The goals of this project are:

1. **Understand and apply** a variety of distribution transformers to multiple examples
2. **Explore requirements** under which the distribution transformers are a) computable or b) definable purely by induction
3. Find easy and nice-looking **characterizations/definitions** of the transformers

This list is of course non-exhaustive! The above suggestions may be changed, shortened and/or extended while we work on our project and gain more insights on how difficult the topic is.

What we expect:

- Solid background in theoretical computer science and maths – ideally you have already taken theoretical CS electives
- Passion and endurance for solving theoretical problems

What you can expect:

- Get a chance to work on relevant problems of both theoretical and practical nature
- You can work in the student room at our chair – we have a coffee machine, lots of tea and sometimes cookies :)

Apply

- Daniel Zilken (daniel.zilken@cs.rwth-aachen.de)
Please introduce yourself briefly and say why you're interested in this topic!

References

[dH99] J. I. den Hartog. Verifying probabilistic programs using a hoare like logic. In P. S. Thiagarajan and Roland Yap, editors, *Advances in Computing Science — ASIAN'99*, pages 113–125, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.