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## Outline

(1) Recap: Taking Conditional Branches into Account
(2) Interval Analysis with Assertions
(3) The Java Virtual Machine

4 The Java Bytecode Verifier
(5) The Type-Level Abstract Interpreter

- Solution: introduce transfer functions for branches
- First approach: attach (negated) conditions as labels to control flow edges
- advantage: no language modification required
- disadvantage: entails extension of DFA framework
- will not further be considered here
- Second approach: encode conditions as assertions (statements)
- advantage: DFA framework can be reused
- disadvantage: entails extension of WHILE language
- the way we will follow


## Extending the Syntax of WHILE Programs

## Definition (Labelled WHILE programs with assertions)

The syntax of labelled WHILE programs with assertions is defined by the following context-free grammar:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a::= & z|x| a_{1}+a_{2}\left|a_{1}-a_{2}\right| a_{1} * a_{2} \in A E x p \\
b::= & t\left|a_{1}=a_{2}\right| a_{1}>a_{2}|\neg b| b_{1} \wedge b_{2} \mid b_{1} \vee b_{2} \in B E x p \\
c::= & {\left[\text { skip] }\left|[x:=a]^{\prime}\right| c_{1} ; c_{2} \mid\right.} \\
& \text { if }[b]^{\prime} \text { then } c_{1} \text { else } c_{2} \mid \text { while }[b]^{\prime} \text { do } c \mid[\text { assert } b]^{\prime} \in C m d
\end{aligned}
$$

## To be done:

- Definition of transfer functions for assert blocks (depending on analysis problem)
- Idea: assertions as filters that let only "valid" information pass


## Outline

(1) Recap: Taking Conditional Branches into Account
(2) Interval Analysis with Assertions
(3) The Java Virtual Machine

4 The Java Bytecode Verifier
(5) The Type-Level Abstract Interpreter

## Interval Analysis with Assertions I

## So far:

- The domain (Int, $\subseteq$ ) of intervals over $\mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$
\text { Int } \left.:=\left\{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right] \mid z_{1} \in \mathbb{Z} \cup\{-\infty\}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \cup\{+\infty\}\right\}, z_{1} \leq z_{2}\right\} \cup\{\emptyset\}
$$

where

- $-\infty \leq z, z \leq+\infty$, and $-\infty \leq+\infty($ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z})$
- $\emptyset \subseteq J$ (for all $J \in \operatorname{Int}$ )
- $\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \subseteq\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$ iff $y_{1} \geq z_{1}$ and $y_{2} \leq z_{2}$
- Transfer functions $\left\{\varphi_{I} \mid I \in L a b\right\}$ are defined by

$$
\varphi_{I}(\delta):= \begin{cases}\delta & \text { if } B^{\prime}=\text { skip or } B^{\prime} \in B E x p \\ \delta\left[x \mapsto \operatorname{val}_{\delta}(a)\right] & \text { if } B^{\prime}=(x:=a)\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{val}_{\delta}(x):=\delta(x) & \operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right):=\operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{val}_{\delta}(z):=[z, z] & \operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right):=\operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{1}\right) \ominus \operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{1} * a_{2}\right):=\operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{1}\right) \odot \operatorname{val}_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

with

$$
\emptyset \oplus J:=J \oplus \emptyset:=\emptyset \ominus J:=\ldots:=\emptyset
$$

$\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \oplus\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]:=\left[y_{1}+z_{1}, y_{2}+z_{2}\right]$
$\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \ominus\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]:=\left[y_{1}-z_{2}, y_{2}-z_{1}\right]$
$\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \odot\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]:=\left[\prod\left\{y_{1} z_{1}, y_{1} z_{2}, y_{2} z_{1}, y_{2} z_{2}\right\}, \bigsqcup\left\{y_{1} z_{1}, y_{1} z_{2}, y_{2} z_{1}, y_{2} z_{2}\right\}\right]$

## Interval Analysis with Assertions II

Additionally for $B^{\prime}=(\operatorname{assert} b), \delta: \operatorname{Var}_{c} \rightarrow \operatorname{Int}$ and $x \in \operatorname{Var}_{c}$ :

$$
\varphi_{\prime}(\delta)(x):= \begin{cases}\emptyset & \text { if } Z=\emptyset \\ {\left[\prod_{\mathbb{Z} \cup\{-\infty\}} Z, \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{Z} \cup\{+\infty\}} Z\right]} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where

- $Z:=\left\{\sigma(x) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_{\delta}\right.$, val $_{\sigma}(b)=$ true $\}$
- $\Sigma_{\delta}:=\left\{\sigma: \operatorname{Var}_{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid \forall y \in \operatorname{Var}_{c}: \sigma(y) \in \delta(y)\right\}$
(and thus $\Sigma_{\delta}=\emptyset$ iff $\delta(y)=\emptyset$ for some $y \in \operatorname{Var}_{c}$ )
- val ${ }_{\sigma}: B E x p \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ as before


## Interval Analysis with Assertions III

## Example 9.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}_{c}=\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}, \delta=(\underbrace{[-\infty, 2]}_{\mathrm{x}}, \underbrace{[0,+\infty]}_{\mathrm{y}}) \\
& \Longrightarrow \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}>0}(\delta)=([1,2],[0,+\infty]) \\
& \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}}(\delta)=([0,2],[0,2]) \\
& \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}>\mathrm{y}}(\delta)=([1,2],[0,1]) \\
& \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}}(\delta)=([-\infty, 2],[0,+\infty])
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interval Analysis with Assertions III

## Example 9.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}_{c}=\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}, \delta=(\underbrace{[-\infty, 2]}_{\mathrm{x}}, \underbrace{[0,+\infty]}_{\mathrm{y}}) \\
& \Longrightarrow \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}>0}(\delta)=([1,2],[0,+\infty]) \\
& \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}}(\delta)=([0,2],[0,2]) \\
& \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}>\mathrm{y}}(\delta)=([1,2],[0,1]) \\
& \varphi_{\text {assert } \mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}}(\delta)=([-\infty, 2],[0,+\infty])
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remarks:

- Again for $B^{\prime}=($ assert $b)$ and $\delta: \operatorname{Var}_{c} \rightarrow I n t, \varphi_{I}(\delta) \sqsubseteq \delta$ and hence $\Sigma_{\varphi /(\delta)} \subseteq \Sigma_{\delta}$ ("filter")
- Again if $\mathrm{Al}_{( }(x)=\emptyset$ for some $I \in L a b_{c}$ and $x \in \operatorname{Var}_{c}$, then $I$ is unreachable (and $\mathrm{Al}_{l}(y)=\emptyset$ for all $y \in \operatorname{Var}_{c}$ )


## Interval Analysis with Assertions IV

## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; cf. Example 7.6)



## Interval Analysis with Assertions IV

Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; cf. Example 7.6)


$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Interval Analysis with Assertions IV

Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; cf. Example 7.6)


Worklist algorithm with widening:

| $W$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{7}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12,23,34,45,52,26,67$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $23,34,45,52,26,67$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,0]$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $34,45,52,26,67$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $45,52,26,67$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $52,26,67$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $23,26,67$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
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## Interval Analysis with Assertions IV

Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; cf. Example 7.6)

| $\longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{i} & :=0\end{array}\right]^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| while $[i<=42]^{2}$ | $\rightarrow$ [asser | i > 4 |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} =\mathrm{J} \\ =\mathrm{J} \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{kip}^{7}$ |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} =J \\ =\emptyset \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
| $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} {[\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}]} & := & \ldots]^{4} \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1},\right.\right. \\ \varphi_{6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\left[i_{1}\right. \\ & =\mathrm{J} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| ([i $:=\mathrm{i}+1]^{5}$ | Worklist | gorith | $m$ with | widening |  |  |  |
| W | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{7}$ |
| 12, 23, 34, 45, 52, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 23, 34, 45, 52, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 0] | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 34, 45, 52, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 45, 52, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 52, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 23, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | [0, 0] | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 34, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [ $0,+\infty$ ] | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 0] | [0, 0 ] | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 45, 26, 67 | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [ $0,+\infty$ ] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 0] | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
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## Interval Analysis with Assertions V

## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Narrowing:

|  | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | $\mathrm{Al}_{6} \quad \mathrm{Al}_{7}{ }^{7}\left(\Phi_{S}\right) \quad[-\infty,+\infty][0,+\infty][0,+\infty][0,+\infty][0,+\infty][0,+\infty][43,+\infty]$
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Narrowing:

|  | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [ $0,+\infty$ ] | $[0,+\infty]$ | [43, + ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ] |
| $\Phi_{S}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, + ] | [0, 42] | [0, + ] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Narrowing:

|  | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0,+m] | [0, + ] | [0, + ] | [ $0,+\infty$ ] | [0, + ] | [43, + ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ] |
| $\Phi_{S}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [ $0,+\infty$ ] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{2}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Narrowing:

|  | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, + ] | [0, + ] | [ $0,+\infty$ ] | [0, + ] | [0, + ] | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{2}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{3}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 43] | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Narrowing:

|  | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [ $0,+\infty$ ] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, + ] | [0, + ] | [43, $+\infty$ ] |
| $\Phi_{S}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{2}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{3}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,43]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{4}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 43] | $[0,43]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | [0, 43] | $[43,+\infty]$ |
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Narrowing:

|  | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | $\mathrm{Al}_{7}$
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## Example 9.2 (Interval analysis for array index; continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(J) & =[0,0] \\
\varphi_{2}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{3}(J) & =J \cap[-\infty, 42] \\
\varphi_{4}(J) & =J \\
\varphi_{5}(\emptyset) & =\emptyset \\
\varphi_{5}\left(\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right) & =\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}+1\right] \\
\varphi_{6}(J) & =J \cap[43,+\infty]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Narrowing:

|  | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{6}$ | AI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, + ] | [0, + ] | [43, $+\infty$ ] |
| $\Phi_{S}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right)$ | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{2}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right.$ ) | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{3}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right.$ ) | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 43] | $[0,+\infty]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | $[0,+\infty]$ | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{4}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right.$ ) | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 43] | $[0,43]$ | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | [0, 43] | $[43,+\infty]$ |
| $\Phi_{S}^{5}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right.$ ) | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 43] | [0, 43] | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | [0, 43] | [43, 43] |
| $\Phi_{S}^{6}\left(\mathrm{fix}^{\nabla}\left(\Phi_{S}\right)\right.$ ) | $[-\infty,+\infty]$ | [0, 43] | [0, 43] | [0, 42] | [0, 42] | [0, 43] | [43, 43] |

## Outline

(1) Recap: Taking Conditional Branches into Account
(2) Interval Analysis with Assertions
(3) The Java Virtual Machine

44 The Java Bytecode Verifier
(5) The Type-Level Abstract Interpreter

## Java Bytecode

- Intermediate language between high-level language and machine code
- Execution on Java Virtual Machine (JVM)


## Java Bytecode

- Intermediate language between high-level language and machine code
- Execution on Java Virtual Machine (JVM)
- Advantages:
- architecture independency (especially for web applications)
- faster than pure (i.e., source code) interpretation
- Problem: security issues
- destruction of data
- modification of data
- disclosure of personal information
- modification of other programs


## Java Security: the Sandbox

- Insulation layer providing indirect access to system resources
- Hardware access via API classes and methods
- Bytecode verification upon uploading
- well-typedness
- proper object referencing
- proper control flow

- Conventional stack-based abstract machine
- Supports object-oriented features: classes, methods, etc.
- Stack for intermediate results of expression evaluations
- Registers for source-level local variables and method parameters
- Both part of method activation record (and thus preserved across method calls)
- Method entry point specifies required number of registers $\left(m_{r}\right)$ and stack slots ( $m_{s}$; for memory allocation)
- (Most) instructions are typed


## Example: Factorial Function

## Example 9.3 (Factorial function)

Java source code:
static int factorial(int n)
\{ int res;
for (res = 1; n > 0; n--) res = res * n; return res; \}

## Example 9.3 (Factorial function)

Java source code:
static int factorial (int n)
\{ int res;
for (res $=1 ; \mathrm{n}>0 ; \mathrm{n}-$-) res $=$ res $* \mathrm{n}$; return res; \}

Corresponding JVM bytecode:
method static int factorial(int), 2 registers, 2 stack slots
1: istore $0 \quad / /$ store $n$ in register 0
2: iconst_1 // push constant 1
3: istore 1 // store res in register 1
4: iload 0 // push n
5: ifle 12 // if <= 0, go to end
6: iload 1 // push res
7: iload 0 // push n
8: imul // res $* \mathrm{n}$ on top of stack
9: istore 1 // store in res
10: iinc 0, -1 // decrement $n$
11: goto 4 // go to loop header
12: iload 1 // push res
13: ireturn // return res to caller

## JVM Instruction Set (excerpt)

iload $n$ : push integer from register $n$
istore $n$ : pop integer into register $n$
iconst_z: push integer z
aconst_null: push null reference
iadd: add two topmost integers on stack and push sum
getfield $C f \tau$ : pops reference to object (of class $C$ ) and pushes value of field $f$ (of type $\tau$ )
putfield $C f \tau$ : pops value $v$ (of type $\tau$ ) and reference to object $o$ (of class $C$ ) and assigns $v$ to field $f$ of $o$
new $C$ : creates new object (of class $C$ ) and pushes reference invoke $C M \tau_{0}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$ : pops values $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ (of type $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}$ ) and reference to object (of class $C$ ), calls method $M$ with parameters $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$, and pushes return value (of type $\tau_{0}$ )
if_icmpeq $/$ : pop two topmost integers from stack and jump to line / if equal
ireturn: return to caller with integer result on top of stack
$(\approx 200$ instructions in total)
RWTHAACHEN

## Malicious Bytecode

## Example 9.4 (Malicious bytecode)

1: iconst_5
2: iconst_1
3: putfield A f int
interprets second stack entry (5) as reference to object of class A and assigns first stack entry (1) to field $f$ of this object

## Outline

(1) Recap: Taking Conditional Branches into Account
(2) Interval Analysis with Assertions
(3) The Java Virtual Machine

4 The Java Bytecode Verifier
(5) The Type-Level Abstract Interpreter

## Correctness of Bytecode

Conditions to ensure proper operation:
Type correctness: arguments of instructions always of expected type
No stack over-/underflow: never push to full stack or pop from empty stack

Code containment: PC must always point into the method code Register initialization: load from non-parameter register only after store Object initialization: constructor must be invoked before using class instance

Access control: operations must respect visibility modifiers (private/protected/public)

## Correctness of Bytecode

Conditions to ensure proper operation:
Type correctness: arguments of instructions always of expected type No stack over-/underflow: never push to full stack or pop from empty stack

Code containment: PC must always point into the method code
Register initialization: load from non-parameter register only after store Object initialization: constructor must be invoked before using class instance

Access control: operations must respect visibility modifiers
(private/protected/public)

## Options:

- dynamic checking at execution time ("defensive JVM approach")
- expensive, slows down execution
- static checking at loading time (here)
- verified code executable at full speed without extra dynamic checks

Summary: dataflow analysis applied to type-level abstract interpretation of JVM
(1) Association of type information with register and stack contents

- set of types forms a complete lattice
(2) Simulation of execution of instructions at type level
(3) Use dataflow analysis to cover all concrete executions
(9) Modularity: analysis proceeds method per method
(see X. Leroy: Java Bytecode Verification: Algorithms and Formalizations, Journal of Automated Reasoning 30(3-4), 2003, 235-269)
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## Types

The set of types, Typ, is composed of

- Primitive types:
- int (covering boolean, byte, char, short)
- long
- float
- double
- Object reference types: $C$ for every class name $C$
- Array types: $\tau$ [] for every primitive or object reference type $\tau$
- Method types: $\tau_{0}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau_{i} \in$ Typ
- Special types:
- null (null reference)
- Object (any object)
- T (contents of uninitialized registers, i.e., any value)
- $\perp$ (absence of any value)

The Subtyping Relation (excerpt)
( $C, D, E$ user-defined classes; $D, E$ extending $C$ )


Notation: $\tau_{1} \sqsubseteq_{t} \tau_{2}$

- Idea: execute JVM instructions on types (rather than concrete values)
- stack type $S \in \operatorname{Typ}{ }^{\leq m_{s}}$ (top to the left)
- register type $R:\left\{0, \ldots, m_{r}-1\right\} \rightarrow$ Typ
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- Idea: execute JVM instructions on types (rather than concrete values)
- stack type $S \in T y p \leq m_{s}$ (top to the left)
- register type $R:\left\{0, \ldots, m_{r}-1\right\} \rightarrow$ Typ
- Represented as transition relation

$$
i:(S, R) \rightarrow\left(S^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)
$$

where

- $i$ : current instruction
- $(S, R)$ : stack/register type before execution
- $\left(S^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)$ : stack/register type after execution
- Errors (type mismatch, stack over-/underflow, ...) denoted by absence of transition

Some transition rules:

| iconst_z | $(S, R) \rightarrow$ int.S, R $)$ | if $\|S\|<m_{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aconst_null: | $(S, R) \rightarrow($ null.S, $R$ ) | if $\|S\|<m_{s}$ |
| iadd | (int.int.S, R) $\rightarrow$ (int.S, R) |  |
| if_icmpeq / : | (int.int.S, R) $\rightarrow(S, R)$ |  |
| iload $n$ | $(S, R) \rightarrow($ int.S,R) |  | if $0 \leq n<m_{r}, R(n)=i n t,|S|<m_{s}$ $(S, R) \rightarrow(R(n) . S, R)$

if $0 \leq n<m_{r}, R(n) \sqsubseteq_{t}$ Object, $|S|<m_{s}$ $($ int.S,$R) \rightarrow(S, R[n \mapsto i n t]) \quad$ if $0 \leq n<m_{r}$
$(\tau . S, R) \rightarrow(S, R[n \mapsto \tau])$

$$
\text { if } 0 \leq n<m_{r}, \tau \sqsubseteq_{t} \text { Object }
$$

getfield C $f \tau$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D . S, R) & \rightarrow(\tau . S, R) & \text { if } D \sqsubseteq_{t} C \\
\left.-^{\prime} . D . S, R\right) & \rightarrow(S, R) & \text { if } \tau^{\prime} \sqsubseteq_{t} \tau, D \sqsubseteq_{t} C
\end{aligned}
$$

invoke $C$ M $\sigma: \quad\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime} \ldots \tau_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \tau^{\prime} \cdot S, R\right) \rightarrow\left(\tau_{0} \cdot S, R\right)$
if $\sigma=\tau_{0}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right), \tau_{i}^{\prime} \sqsubseteq_{t} \tau_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, \tau^{\prime} \sqsubseteq_{t} C$
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## Some Theoretical Properties

## Lemma 9.5

(1) (Typ, $\left.\sqsubseteq_{t}\right)$ is a complete lattice satisfying ACC.
(2) (Determinacy) The transitions of the abstract interpreter define a partial function: If $i:(S, R) \rightarrow\left(S_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ and $i:(S, R) \rightarrow\left(S_{2}, R_{2}\right)$, then $S_{1}=S_{2}$ and $R_{1}=R_{2}$.
(3) (Soundness) If $i:(S, R) \rightarrow\left(S^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)$, then for all concrete states $(s, r)$ matching $(S, R)$, the defensive JVM will not stop with a run-time type exception when applying $i$ to $(s, r)$ (but rather change to some $\left(s^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)$ matching $\left(S^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)$ ).

## Proof.

see X. Leroy: Java Bytecode Verification: Algorithms and Formalizations

