

Exercise 1 (Extending the Java Analysis with Array Operations): (3 Points)

In this exercise we will extend the JVM instructions given in the lecture by the following instructions.

- `aaload`, pops reference to an array a and an integer i from stack and pushes a reference to the i -th entry of a
- `aastore`, pops reference to an array a , and integer i and a reference to an object o from stack and assigns o to the i -th entry of a
- `newarray` τ , pops an integer $count$ and pushes a reference to an array of size $count$ and type τ onto the stack

- a) Provide the transitions rules of the type-level abstract interpreter for the instructions given above.
b) Perform a *type correctness* analysis for the following Java bytecode.

```

1  iconst 10
2  istore 1
3  iload 1
4  newarray A
5  astore 2
6  iconst 1
7  istore 3
8  iload 1
9  iload 3
10 if_icmgeq 1
11 aload 2
12 iload 3
13 aload 1
14 aastore
15 iload 3
16 iconst 1
17 iadd
18 istore 3

```

Exercise 2 (Galois Connections): (3 Points)

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$, Σ^* be the set of finite words over the alphabet Σ and \preceq be the prefix relation on Σ^* , i.e. $w \preceq v$ if and only if w is a prefix of v . Let $v \sqsubseteq_{\Sigma} w \Leftrightarrow w \preceq v$.

- a) Prove or disprove that $(\Sigma^*, \sqsubseteq_{\Sigma})$ is a complete lattice.
b) Consider $L = (2^{\Sigma^*}, \subseteq)$ and $M = (\Sigma^* \cup \{\perp\}, \sqsubseteq_M)$ where

$$(i) v \sqsubseteq_M w \text{ if } w \preceq v \text{ and } v, w \in \Sigma^* \text{ and (ii) } \perp \sqsubseteq_M v \text{ for all } v \in M.$$

Define a Galois connection (α, γ) , $\alpha: L \rightarrow M$, $\gamma: M \rightarrow L$ between the two complete lattices L and M such that the image of α is infinite and prove that (α, γ) is indeed a Galois connection.

Exercise 3 (Properties of Galois Connections):

(4 Points)

Let (L, \sqsubseteq_L) and (M, \sqsubseteq_M) be complete lattices with \perp_K be the least element of lattice K . Further let (α, γ) be a Galois connection with $\alpha : L \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma : M \rightarrow L$. Prove or disprove the following statements.

- a) $\alpha(\perp_L) = \perp_M$
- b) $\gamma(\perp_M) = \perp_L$
- c) $\alpha \circ \gamma \circ \alpha = \alpha$
- d) $\gamma \circ \alpha \circ \gamma = \gamma$