## Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems

#### Joost-Pieter Katoen

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2 Software Modeling and Verification Group

http://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ss-14/movep14/

July 10, 2014

## Overview

What are Markov automata?

2 Parallel composition and hiding

3 Bisimulation

A process algebra for Markov automata

Joost-Pieter Katoen

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems

What are Markov automata?

## Model-based performance evaluation

- Analyse performance metrics based on an abstract system model
  - ▶ formalisms: stochastic Petri nets, queueing networks, SANs, ...
- The prevailing paradigm is continuous-time randomness
  - exponential distributions, i.e., continuous-time Markov processes
- Complexity of systems requires compositional approach
  - reflecting system architecture
- Enormous model sizes require compositional abstraction mechanisms
  - like bisimulation minimization
- Nondeterminism is at heart of compositionality

We need: Compositional Continuous-Time Markov Chains

Joost-Pieter Katoen

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems 2/2

What are Markov automata?

### Markov automata

A Markov automaton *M* is a tuple  $(S, Act, \rightarrow, \Rightarrow, s_0)$  where

- ▶ *S* is a nonempty set of states with initial state  $s_0 \in S$
- Act is a set of actions;  $\tau$  is an internal action
- ▶  $\rightarrow$   $\subseteq$  *S* × *Act* × *Distr*(*S*) is a set of action transitions
- ► ⇒ ⊆  $S \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S$  is a set of Markovian transitions such that there is at most one  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with  $s \stackrel{r}{\Longrightarrow} s'$

#### Thus:

MA are probabilistic automata (with action-labeled transitions) extended with Markovian transitions that are labeled with rates of exponential distributions. Any CTMC is an MA; any PA is an MA.

[Eisentraut et al., 2010]

### Markov automata





What are Markov automata

ig and Verification of Probabilistic Syst

## Markov automata

#### Classification of states

- ▶ *s* is Markovian if  $MT(s) \neq \emptyset$  and  $IT(s) = \emptyset$
- ▶ *s* is interactive if  $MT(s) = \emptyset$  and  $IT(s) \neq \emptyset$
- ▶ *s* is hybrid if  $MT(s) \neq \emptyset$  and  $IT(s) \neq \emptyset$
- s is timelock if  $MT(s) = IT(s) = \emptyset$

For Markovian state *s*, let:

- $\mathbf{R}(s, s') = \sum \left\{ \lambda \mid s \stackrel{\lambda}{\Longrightarrow} s' \right\}$  be the rate to move from s to s',
- $r(s) = \sum_{s' \in S} \mathbf{R}(s, s')$  be the exit rate of s
- $\mathbf{P}(s, s') = \frac{\mathbf{R}(s, s')}{r(s)}$  is the probability to move from s to s'

## Markov automata

- A Markov automaton *M* is a tuple  $(S, Act, \rightarrow, \Longrightarrow, s_0)$  where
  - ▶ *S* is a nonempty set of states with initial state  $s_0 \in S$
- Act is a set of actions;  $\tau$  is an internal action
- $\rightarrow \subseteq S \times Act \times Distr(S)$  is a set of action transitions
- $\blacktriangleright \implies \subseteq S \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S \text{ is a set of Markovian transitions}$ such that there is at most one  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with  $s \stackrel{r}{\Longrightarrow} s'$
- 1. IT(s) is the set of interactive transitions that leave s.
- 2. MT(s) is the set of Markovian transitions that leave s.

Joost-Pieter Katoer

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems 6/2

What are Markov automata?

# Maximal progress assumption

#### Maximal progress

- 1. Internal (action) transitions are labeled with the action  $\tau$ .
- 2. These transitions will not be subject to interaction.
- 3. They cannot be delayed by other components.
- 4. Thus, internal interactive transitions can trigger immediately.
- 5. But, the probability to execute Markovian transitions immediately is zero.

#### Maximal progress assumption

Internal transitions take precedence over Markovian ones.

loost-Pieter Katoer

 $(s_1)$  a  $(u_1)$ 1  $\frac{1}{3}$ 

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems

b

#### What are Markov automata?

## Maximal progress



But as visible actions may be subject to delaying by other components:



#### Joost-Pieter Katoen

Parallel composition and hiding

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems

# **Parallel composition**

The *composition* of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  with  $A = (Act_1 \cap Act_2) \setminus \{\tau\}$  is:

$$M_1 \mid \mid M_2 = (S_1 \times S_2, Act_1 \cup Act_2, \rightarrow, \Longrightarrow, (s_{0,1}, s_{0,2}))$$

where  $\rightarrow$  and  $\Longrightarrow$  are defined as the smallest relations satisfying:

$$(SYNC) \xrightarrow{s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1 \mu_1 \text{ and } s_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \mu_2 \text{ and } \alpha \in A} (s_1, s_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mu_1 \cdot \mu_2$$

$$(ASYNC) \xrightarrow{s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1 \mu_1 \text{ and } \alpha \notin A} (s_1, s_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mu_1 \cdot \{s_2 \mapsto 1\}$$

$$(DELAY) \xrightarrow{s_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} 1 s_1'} (s_1, s_2) \xrightarrow{AND} \xrightarrow{s_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} 1 s_1 \text{ and } s_2 \xrightarrow{\lambda'} 2 s_2} (s_1, s_2) \xrightarrow{\lambda + \lambda'} (s_1, s_2)$$

# Overview

What are Markov automata?



3 Bisimulation

A process algebra for Markov automata

Parallel composition and hiding

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic System

# Compatibility

Joost-Pieter Katoen

Parallel composition is compatible with parallel composition on PA: || is PA-composition, if the MAs are PAs

#### Parallel composition and hidin

### Parallel composition: examples

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Syster

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems

## Hiding

#### Hiding

Joost-Pieter Katoen

**Overview** 

3 Bisimulation

Joost-Pieter Katoen

What are Markov automata?

2 Parallel composition and hiding

4 A process algebra for Markov automata

The *hiding* of MA  $M = (S, Act, \rightarrow, \Longrightarrow, s_0)$  wrt. the set  $A \subseteq Act \setminus \{\tau\}$  of actions is the MA  $M \setminus A = (S, Act \setminus A, \rightarrow', \Longrightarrow, s_0)$  where  $\rightarrow'$  is the smallest relation defined by:

1.  $s \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mu$  and  $\alpha \notin A$  implies  $s \xrightarrow{\alpha}' \mu$ , and

2.  $s \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mu$  and  $\alpha \in A$  implies  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} \mu$ .

- Hiding transforms  $\alpha$ -transitions with  $\alpha \in A$  into  $\tau$ -transitions.
- Turning an α-transition emanating from state s into a τ-transition may change the semantics of the MA, as now —due to maximal progress— never a Markovian transition in s will be taken.

Bisimulation



Joost-Pieter Katoen

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems

15/22



Bisimulation

**Bisimulation – Congruence** 



## **Bisimulation – Example**



Bisimulation





Joost-Pieter Katoen	Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems	18/22

Bisimulation

# Compatibility

 $\sim$  is compatible with bisimilarity ( $\sim_p$ ) on PA:  $\sim$  equals  $\sim_p$ , if the MAs are PAs

#### Congruence

- $\sim$  is a congruence wrt. parallel composition and hiding. Thus:
- 1.  $M \sim M'$  implies  $\forall N. M \parallel N \sim M' \parallel N$
- 2.  $M \sim M'$  implies  $\forall A \subseteq Act \setminus \{\tau\}$ .  $M \setminus A \sim M' \setminus A$ .

	A process algebra for Markov automata	
Overview		A process algeb
What are Markov automata?		

Parallel composition and hiding

3 Bisimulation

A process algebra for Markov automata

Joost-Pieter Katoen

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems

A process algebra for Markov automata

# ra for MA

Joost-Pieter Katoen

Modeling and Verification of Probabilistic Systems