# **Difference Bound Matrices**

#### Lecture #19 of Advanced Model Checking

Joost-Pieter Katoen

Lehrstuhl 2: Software Modeling & Verification

E-mail: katoen@cs.rwth-aachen.de

July 14, 2014

 $\textcircled{C} \mathsf{JPK}$ 



# Symbolic reachability analysis

- Use a symbolic representation of timed automata configurations
  - needed as there are infinitely many configurations
  - example: state regions  $\langle \ell, [\eta] \rangle$
- For set z of clock valuations and edge  $e = \ell \stackrel{g:\alpha,D}{\longrightarrow} \ell'$  let:

 $\begin{aligned} \textit{Post}_{e}(z) &= \{ \eta' \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{\geq 0} \mid \exists \eta \in z, \ d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}. \ \eta + d \models g \land \eta' = \texttt{reset } D \texttt{ in } (\eta + d) \} \\ \textit{Pre}_{e}(z) &= \{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{\geq 0} \mid \exists \eta' \in z, \ d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}. \ \eta + d \models g \land \eta' = \texttt{reset } D \texttt{ in } (\eta + d) \} \end{aligned}$ 

• Intuition:

- 
$$\eta' \in Post_e(z)$$
 if for some  $\eta \in z$  and delay  $d, (\ell, \eta) \stackrel{d}{\Longrightarrow} \dots \stackrel{e}{\to} (\ell', \eta')$   
-  $\eta \in Pre_e(z)$  if for some  $\eta' \in z$  and delay  $d, (\ell, \eta) \stackrel{d}{\Longrightarrow} \dots \stackrel{e}{\to} (\ell', \eta')$ 



#### Zones

• Clock constraints are *conjunctions* of constraints of the form:

-  $x \prec c \text{ and } x - y \prec c \text{ for } \prec \in \{ <, \leqslant, =, \geqslant, > \}, \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

- A zone is a set of clock valuations satisfying a clock constraint
  - a clock zone for g is the maximal set of clock valuations satisfying g
- Clock zone of g:  $\llbracket g \rrbracket = \{ \eta \in \textit{Eval}(C) \mid \eta \models g \}$
- The state zone of  $s=\langle \ell,\eta\rangle$  is  $\langle \ell,z\rangle$  with  $\eta\in z$
- For zone z and edge e,  $Post_e(z)$  and  $Pre_e(z)$  are zones

state zones will be used as symbolic representations for configurations



## **Operations on zones**

- Future of *z*:
  - $\textbf{-} \ \overrightarrow{z} = \{ \ \eta{+}d \ | \ \eta \in z \land d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \}$
- Past of z:
  - $\textbf{-} \overleftarrow{z} = \{ \, \eta {-}d \mid \eta \in z \land d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \, \}$
- Intersection of two zones:

 $\textbf{-} \hspace{0.1 cm} z \hspace{0.1 cm} \cap \hspace{0.1 cm} z' \hspace{0.1 cm} = \hspace{0.1 cm} \{ \hspace{0.1 cm} \eta \hspace{0.1 cm} \mid \hspace{0.1 cm} \eta \in z \wedge \eta \in z' \hspace{0.1 cm} \}$ 

- Clock reset in a zone:
  - reset D in  $z = \{ reset D in \eta \mid \eta \in z \}$
- Inverse clock reset of a zone:
  - reset<sup>-1</sup> D in  $z = \{ \eta \mid \text{reset } D \text{ in } \eta \in z \}$



#### Symbolic successors and predecessors

Recall that for edge  $e = \ell \stackrel{g:\alpha,D}{\longrightarrow} \ell'$  we have:

 $\textit{Post}_{e}(z) \ = \ \{ \ \eta' \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{\geqslant 0} \ | \ \exists \eta \in z, \ d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}. \ \eta + d \models \textbf{g} \land \eta' = \texttt{reset } \textbf{D} \ \texttt{in} \ (\eta + d) \ \}$ 

$$\textit{Pre}_{e}(z) \ = \ \{ \ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{\geqslant 0} \ | \ \exists \eta' \in z, \ d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}. \ \eta + d \models \textbf{g} \land \eta' = \text{reset } \textbf{D} \text{ in } (\eta + d) \ \}$$

This can also be expressed symbolically using operations on zones:

$$Post_e(z) = reset D in (\overrightarrow{z} \cap \llbracket g \rrbracket)$$

and

$$Pre_{e}(z) = \overleftarrow{\operatorname{reset}^{-1} D} \operatorname{in} (z \cap \llbracket D = 0 \rrbracket) \cap \llbracket g \rrbracket$$



#### Zone successor: example





#### Zone predecessor: example





# **Abstract forward reachability**

Let  $\gamma$  associate sets of valuations to sets of valuations

Abstract forward symbolic transition system of *TA* is defined by:

$$rac{(\ell,z) \Rightarrow (\ell',z') \qquad z = \gamma(z)}{(\ell,z) \Rightarrow_{\gamma} (\ell',\gamma(z'))}$$

Iterative forward reachability analysis computation schemata:

$$T_{0} = \{ (\ell_{0}, \gamma(z_{0})) \mid \forall x \in C. \ z_{0}(x) = 0 \}$$

$$T_{1} = T_{0} \cup \{ (\ell', z') \mid \exists (\ell, z) \in T_{0} \text{ such that } (\ell, z) \Rightarrow_{\gamma} (\ell', z') \}$$

$$\dots$$

$$T_{k+1} = T_{k} \cup \{ (\ell', z') \mid \exists (\ell, z) \in T_{k} \text{ such that } (\ell, z) \Rightarrow_{\gamma} (\ell', z') \}$$

$$\dots$$

with inclusion check and termination criteria as before



## **Criteria on the abstraction operator**

- Finiteness:  $\{ \gamma(z) \mid \gamma \text{ defined on } z \}$  is finite
- Correctness:  $\gamma$  is sound wrt. reachability
- Completeness:  $\gamma$  is complete wrt. reachability
- Effectiveness:  $\gamma$  is defined on zones, and  $\gamma(z)$  is a zone



#### k-Normalization [Daws & Yovine, 1998]

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- A *k*-bounded zone is described by a *k*-bounded clock constraint
  - e.g., zone  $z = (x \ge 3) \land (y \le 5) \land (x y \le 4)$  is not 2-bounded
  - but zone  $z' \ = \ (x \geqslant 2) \land (y x \leqslant 2)$  is 2-bounded
  - note that:  $z \subseteq z'$
- Let  $norm_k(z)$  be the smallest k-bounded zone containing zone z



# **Example of** *k***-normalization**





## Facts about k-normalization [Bouyer, 2003]

- Finiteness:  $norm_k(\cdot)$  is a finite abstraction operator
- Correctness:  $norm_k(\cdot)$  is sound wrt. reachability provided k is the maximal constant appearing in the constraints of TA
- Completeness:  $norm_k(\cdot)$  is complete wrt. reachability

since  $z \subseteq norm_k(z)$ , so  $norm_k(\cdot)$  is an over-approximation

• Effectiveness:  $norm_k(z)$  is a zone

this will be made clear in the sequel when considering zone representations



#### **Representing zones**

- Let 0 be a clock with constant value 0; let  $C_0 = C \cup \{0\}$
- Any zone *z* over *C* can be written as:
  - conjunction of constraints x y < n or  $x y \leqslant n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x, y \in C_0$
  - when  $x y \leq n$  and  $x y \leq m$  take only  $x y \leq \min(n, m)$
  - $\Rightarrow$  this yields at most  $|C_0| \cdot |C_0|$  constraints
- Example:

 $x - \mathbf{0} < 20 \land y - \mathbf{0} \leq 20 \land y - x \leq 10 \land x - y \leq -10$ 

- Store each such constraint in a matrix
  - this yields a *difference bound matrix*

[Berthomieu & Menasche, 1983]



## **Difference bound matrices**

• Zone z over C is represented by DBM Z of cardinality  $|C+1| \cdot |C+1|$ 

- for 
$$C = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$$
, let  $C_0 = \{x_0\} \cup C$  with  $x_0 = 0$ , and:

 $\mathbf{Z}(i,j) = (c,\prec)$  if and only if  $x_i - x_j \prec c$ 

- so, rows are used for lower, and columns for upper bounds on clock differences

- Definition of DBM **Z** for zone *z*:
  - $\mathbf{Z}(i, j) := (c, \prec)$  for each bound  $x_i x_j \prec c$  in z
  - $\mathbf{Z}(i, j) := \infty$  (= no bound) if clock difference  $x_i x_j$  is unbounded in z
  - $\mathbf{Z}(0, i) := (0, \leq)$ , i.e.,  $0 x_i \leq 0$ , or: all clocks are non-negative
  - $\mathbf{Z}(i, i) := (0, \leq)$ , i.e., each clock is at most itself



#### Example

$$(x_1 \ge 3) \land (x_2 \le 5) \land (x_1 - x_2 \le 4) \qquad \begin{array}{cccc} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ +\infty & -3 & +\infty \\ +\infty & +\infty & 4 \\ x_2 & 5 & +\infty & +\infty \end{array}$$

all clock constraints in the above DBM are of the form  $(c,\leqslant)$ 



 $x_2$ 

 $x_1$ 

## The need for canonicity

$$(x_1 \ge 3) \land (x_2 \le 5) \land (x_1 - x_2 \le 4) \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_0 & \left( +\infty & -3 & +\infty \right) \\ +\infty & +\infty & 4 \\ x_2 & \left( \begin{array}{ccc} +\infty & -3 & +\infty \\ +\infty & +\infty & 4 \\ 5 & +\infty & +\infty \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

#### Existence of a normal form 6





# **Canonical DBMs**

- A zone *z* is in *canonical form* if and only if:
  - no constraint in z can be strengthened without reducing  $[\![z]\!] = \{ \eta \mid \eta \in z \}$
- For each zone *z*:
  - there exists a zone z' such that  $[\![z]\!] = [\![z']\!]$ , and z' is in canonical form
  - moreover, z' is unique

how to obtain the canonical form of a zone?



# Turning a DBM into canonical form

- Represent zone z by a weighted digraph  $G_z = (V, E, w)$  where
  - $V = C_0$  is the set of vertices
  - $(x_i, x_j) \in E$  whenever  $x_j x_i \preceq c$  is a constraint in z
  - $w(x_i, x_j) = (c, \preceq)$  whenever  $x_j x_i \preceq c$  is a constraint in z
- DBMs are thus (transposed) adjacency matrices of the weighted digraph
- Observe: deriving bounds = adding weights along paths
- Zone *z* is in *canonical form* if and only if DBM **Z** satisfies:

-  $\mathbf{Z}(i,j) \leqslant \mathbf{Z}(i,k) + \mathbf{Z}(k,j)$  for any  $x_i, x_j, x_k \in C_0$ 



## **Operations on DBM entries**

Let  $\leq \in \{<,\leqslant\}$ .

• Comparison of DBM entries:

- 
$$(c, \preceq) < \infty$$
  
-  $(c, \preceq) < (c', \preceq')$  if  $c < c'$   
-  $(c, <) < (c, \leqslant)$  but  $(c, \leqslant) \not < (c, <)$ 

• Addition of DBM entries:

- 
$$c + \infty = \infty$$
  
-  $(c, \leq) + (c', \leq) = (c+c', \leq)$   
-  $(c, <) + (c', \leq) = (c+c', <)$ 



#### Example



# **Computing canonical DBMs**

Deriving the tightest constraint on a pair of clocks in a zone is equivalent to finding the shortest path between their vertices

- apply Floyd-Warshall's all-pairs shortest-path algorithm
- its worst-case time complexity lies in  $\mathcal{O}(|C_0|^3)$
- efficiency improvement:
  - let all frequently used operations preserve canonicity



## **Minimal constraint systems**

- A (canonical) zone may contain many *redundant* constraints
  - e.g., in x-y < 2, y-z < 5, and x-z < 7, constraint x-z < 7 is redundant
- Reduce memory usage  $\Rightarrow$  consider *minimal* constraint systems
  - e.g.,  $x-y \le 0$ ,  $y-z \le 0$ ,  $z-x \le 0$ ,  $x-0 \le 3$ , and 0-x < -2is a minimal representation of a zone in canonical form with 12 constraints
- For each zone:  $\exists$  a unique and equivalent minimal constraint system
- Determining minimal representations of canonical zones:
  - $x_i \xrightarrow{(n, \preceq)} x_j$  is redundant if a path from  $x_i$  to  $x_j$  has weight at most  $(n, \preceq)$
  - fact: it suffices to consider alternative paths of length two only

#### complexity in $\mathcal{O}(|C_0|^3)$ ; zero cycles require a special treatment



#### Example



## **DBM operations: checking properties**

- Nonemptiness: is  $\llbracket \mathbf{Z} \rrbracket \neq \varnothing$ ?
  - $\mathbf{Z} = \emptyset$  if  $x_i x_j \preceq c$  and  $x_j x_i \preceq' c'$  and  $(c, \preceq) < (c', \preceq')$
  - search for negative cycles in the graph representation of  ${\bf Z},$  or
  - mark **Z** when upper bound is set to value < its corresponding lower bound
- Inclusion test: is  $\llbracket \mathbf{Z} \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{Z}' \rrbracket$ ?
  - for DBMs in canonical form, test whether  $\mathbf{Z}(i, j) \leq \mathbf{Z}'(i, j)$ , for all  $i, j \in C_0$
- Satisfaction: does  $\mathbf{Z} \models g$ ?
  - check whether  $[\![\, \mathbf{Z} \wedge g \,]\!] = [\![\, \mathbf{Z} \,]\!] \cap [\![\, g \,]\!] = \varnothing$



# **DBM operations: delays**

- *Future*: determine  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{Z}}$ 
  - remove the upper bounds on any clock, i.e.,

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{Z}}(i,0) = \infty$$
 and  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{Z}}(i,j) = \mathbf{Z}(i,j)$  for  $j \neq 0$ 

–  $\mathbf{Z}$  is canonical implies  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{Z}}$  is canonical

- **Past**: determine  $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{Z}}$ 
  - set the lower bounds on all individual clocks to  $(0, \preceq)$

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbf{Z}}(0,i) = (0, \preceq) \text{ and } \overleftarrow{\mathbf{Z}}(i,j) = \mathbf{Z}(i,j) \text{ for } j \neq 0$$

–  ${\bf Z}$  is canonical does not imply  $\overleftarrow{{\bf Z}}$  is canonical



# **Final DBM operations**

- Conjunction:  $\llbracket \mathbf{Z} \rrbracket \land (x_i x_j \preceq n)$ 
  - if  $(n, \preceq) < \mathbf{Z}(i, j)$  then  $\mathbf{Z}(i, j) := (n, \preceq)$  else do nothing
  - put Z into canonical form (in time  $\mathcal{O}(|C_0|^2)$  using that only Z(i, j) changed)
- Clock reset:  $x_i := d$  in Z

-  $\mathbf{Z}(i,j) := (d,\leqslant) + \mathbf{Z}(0,j)$  and  $\mathbf{Z}(j,i) := \mathbf{Z}(j,0) + (-d,\leqslant)$ 

- *k*-Normalization:  $norm_k(\mathbf{Z})$ 
  - remove all bounds  $x-y \preceq m$  for which  $(m, \preceq) > (k, \leqslant)$ , and
  - set all bounds  $x-y \preceq m$  with  $(m, \preceq) < (-k, <)$  to (-k, <)
  - put the DBM back into canonical form (Floyd-Warshall)



#### *k*-Normalization of DBMs

Fix an integer k (\* represents an integer between -k and +k)



6 "intuitively", erase non-relevant constraints



remove all upper bounds higher than k and lower all lower bounds exceeding -k to -k