# Concurrency Theory Lecture 17: True Concurrency Semantics of Petri Nets (I)

Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2 Software Modeling and Verification Group

http://moves.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/ws-19-20/ct

December 9, 2019



Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll

# **Overview**



- 2 Nets and markings
- 3 The true concurrency semantics of Petri nets
- 4 Distributed runs



## **Overview**



- 2 Nets and markings
- 3 The true concurrency semantics of Petri nets
- 4 Distributed runs







Nets with identical sequential runs (a occurs before b, or vice versa), but the left net allows the simultaneous execution of a and b whereas the right one does not.



Nets with identical sequential runs (a occurs before b, or vice versa), but the left net allows the simultaneous execution of a and b whereas the right one does not.

Interleaving semantics cannot distinguish these nets!



Nets with identical sequential runs (a occurs before b, or vice versa), but the left net allows the simultaneous execution of a and b whereas the right one does not.

Interleaving semantics cannot distinguish these nets!

This requires a finer perspective on transition execution.

## **Overview**

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Nets and markings

3) The true concurrency semantics of Petri nets

#### Distributed runs

# 5 Summary

# Nets

## Net

A Petri net N is a triple (P, T, F) where:

- P is the countable set of places
- *T* is the countable set of transitions with  $P \cap T = \emptyset$

• 
$$F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)$$
 are the arcs.

Places and transitions are generically called nodes. We assume that  $t^{\bullet}$  and  $t^{\bullet}$  are finite, for each  $t \in T$ .

# Nets

### Net

A Petri net N is a triple (P, T, F) where:

- P is the countable set of places
- T is the countable set of transitions with  $P \cap T = \emptyset$

• 
$$F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)$$
 are the arcs.

Places and transitions are generically called nodes. We assume that  $t^{\bullet}$  and  $t^{\bullet}$  are finite, for each  $t \in T$ .

Note that the set of places and transitions is countable, not necessarily finite (anymore).

# Nets

## Net

A Petri net N is a triple (P, T, F) where:

- P is the countable set of places
- T is the countable set of transitions with  $P \cap T = \emptyset$
- $F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)$  are the arcs.

Places and transitions are generically called nodes. We assume that  $t^{\bullet}$  and  $t^{\bullet}$  are finite, for each  $t \in T$ .

Note that the set of places and transitions is countable, not necessarily finite (anymore).

## Marking

A marking M of a net N = (P, T, F) is a mapping  $M : P \to \mathbb{N}$ . For net N = (P, T, F) and marking  $M_0$ , the tuple  $(P, T, F, M_0)$  is called an elementary system net.  $M_0$  is the initial marking of N.

## Enabling and occurrence of a transition

A marking *M* enables a transition *t* if  $M(p) \ge 1$ for each place  $p \in t$ .

#### Enabling and occurrence of a transition

A marking *M* enables a transition *t* if  $M(p) \ge 1$ for each place  $p \in t$ .

Transition t can occur in marking M if t is enabled at M. Its occurrence leads to marking M', denoted  $M \xrightarrow{t} M'$ , defined for place  $p \in P$ by:

$$M'(p) = M(p) - F(p, t) + F(t, p).$$

where we represent F by its characteristic function.

#### Enabling and occurrence of a transition

A marking *M* enables a transition *t* if  $M(p) \ge 1$ for each place  $p \in t$ .

Transition t can occur in marking M if t is enabled at M. Its occurrence leads to marking M', denoted  $M \xrightarrow{t} M'$ , defined for place  $p \in P$ by:

$$M'(p) = M(p) - F(p, t) + F(t, p).$$

where we represent F by its characteristic function.

 $M \xrightarrow{t} M'$  is also called a step of the net N.

#### Enabling and occurrence of a transition

A marking *M* enables a transition *t* if  $M(p) \ge 1$ for each place  $p \in t$ .

Transition t can occur in marking M if t is enabled at M. Its occurrence leads to marking M', denoted  $M \xrightarrow{t} M'$ , defined for place  $p \in P$ by:

$$M'(p) = M(p) - F(p, t) + F(t, p).$$

where we represent F by its characteristic function.

 $M \xrightarrow{t} M'$  is also called a step of the net N.



# **Reachable markings**

#### Step sequence

A sequence of transitions  $\sigma = t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$  is a step sequence if there exist markings  $M_1$  through  $M_n$  such that:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_{n-1}} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{t_n} M_n$$

Marking  $M_n$  is reached by the occurrence of  $\sigma$ , denoted  $M_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} M_n$ .

# **Reachable markings**

#### Step sequence

A sequence of transitions  $\sigma = t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$  is a step sequence if there exist markings  $M_1$  through  $M_n$  such that:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_{n-1}} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{t_n} M_n$$

Marking  $M_n$  is reached by the occurrence of  $\sigma$ , denoted  $M_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} M_n$ . M is a reachable marking if there exists a step sequence  $\sigma$  with  $M_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} M$ .

# Sequential runs

## Sequential run

Let N be an elementary net system. A sequential run of N is a sequence

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots$$

of steps of N starting with the initial marking  $M_0$ . A run can be finite or infinite. A finite run  $M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_1} \cdot \xrightarrow{t_n} M_n$  is complete if  $M_n$  does not enable any transition.

# Marking graph

The marking graph of N has as nodes the reachable markings of N and as edges the reachable steps of N.



A sample elementary net system

Its marking graph

# The interleaving semantics of Petri nets

### The interleaving semantics of a Petri net is its marking graph.

# **Overview**



- 2 Nets and markings
- 3 The true concurrency semantics of Petri nets
  - 4 Distributed runs









Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll



 $S_0$ 

Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll













# 





# 

























Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll








### The true concurrency semantics of Petri nets





The interleaving thesis:

The total order assumption is a reasonable abstraction, adequate for practical purposes, and leading to nice mathematics

The interleaving thesis:

The total order assumption is a reasonable abstraction, adequate for practical purposes, and leading to nice mathematics

The true concurrency thesis:

The total order assumption does not correspond to physical reality and leads to awkward representations of simple phenomena

In interleaving semantics, a system composed of n independent components





has *n*! different executions

The automaton accepting them has  $2^n$  states

In true concurrency semantics, it has only one nonsequential execution

### **Overview**



- 2 Nets and markings
- 3) The true concurrency semantics of Petri nets
- 4 Distributed runs

### 5 Summary

 $^1 \mbox{Not}$  to be confused with the notion of action in transition systems.

A distributed run of a net is a partial-order represented as a net whose basic building blocks are  $actions^1$ , simple nets

<sup>1</sup>Not to be confused with the notion of action in transition systems.

A distributed run of a net is a partial-order represented as a net whose basic building blocks are  $actions^1$ , simple nets

### Action

An action is a labeled net  $A = (Q, \{v\}, G)$  with  $\bullet v \cap v^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  and  $\bullet v \cup v^{\bullet} = Q$ .

 $^{1}$ Not to be confused with the notion of action in transition systems.

A distributed run of a net is a partial-order represented as a net whose basic building blocks are  $actions^1$ , simple nets

### Action

An action is a labeled net  $A = (Q, \{v\}, G)$  with  ${}^{\bullet}v \cap v^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  and  ${}^{\bullet}v \cup v^{\bullet} = Q$ .

Actions are used to represent transition occurrences of elementary net systems. If A represents transition t, then elements of Q are labeled with in- and output places of t and v is labeled t.

<sup>1</sup>Not to be confused with the notion of action in transition systems.

A distributed run of a net is a partial-order represented as a net whose basic building blocks are  $actions^1$ , simple nets

### Action

An action is a labeled net  $A = (Q, \{v\}, G)$  with  $\bullet v \cap v^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  and  $\bullet v \cup v^{\bullet} = Q$ .

Actions are used to represent transition occurrences of elementary net systems. If A represents transition t, then elements of Q are labeled with in- and output places of t and v is labeled t.



<sup>1</sup>Not to be confused with the notion of action in transition systems.

Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll

Concurrency Theory

### Mutual exclusion net and its actions



### Mutual exclusion net and its actions















A causal net constitutes the basis for a "distributed" run.

A causal net constitutes the basis for a "distributed" run. It is a (possibly infinite) net which satisfies:

A causal net constitutes the basis for a "distributed" run. It is a (possibly infinite) net which satisfies:

1. It has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place

A causal net constitutes the basis for a "distributed" run.

It is a (possibly infinite) net which satisfies:

- 1. It has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. It is acyclic

A causal net constitutes the basis for a "distributed" run. It is a (possibly infinite) net which satisfies:

- 1. It has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. It is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a unique first element

A causal net constitutes the basis for a "distributed" run. It is a (possibly infinite) net which satisfies:

- 1. It has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. It is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a unique first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs.

A causal net constitutes the basis for a "distributed" run. It is a (possibly infinite) net which satisfies:

- 1. It has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. It is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a unique first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs.

### Intuition

No place branches, no sequence of arcs forms a loop, and each sequence of arcs has a first node.





A causal net constitutes the basis for a distributed run.

It is a possibly infinite net which satisfies:

- 1. Has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. Is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs

A causal net constitutes the basis for a distributed run.

It is a possibly infinite net which satisfies:

- 1. Has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. Is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs

### Causal net

A causal net constitutes the basis for a distributed run.

It is a possibly infinite net which satisfies:

- 1. Has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. Is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs

### Causal net

A (possibly infinite) net  $K = (Q, V, G, M_0)$  is called a causal net iff:

1. for each  $q \in Q$ ,  $|{}^{ullet}q| \leqslant 1$  and  $|q^{ullet}| \leqslant 1$ 

A causal net constitutes the basis for a distributed run.

It is a possibly infinite net which satisfies:

- 1. Has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. Is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs

### Causal net

- 1. for each  $q \in Q$ ,  $|{}^{ullet}q| \leqslant 1$  and  $|q^{ullet}| \leqslant 1$
- 2. the transitive closure (called causal order)  $G^+$  of G is irreflexive

A causal net constitutes the basis for a distributed run.

It is a possibly infinite net which satisfies:

- 1. Has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. Is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs

### Causal net

- 1. for each  $q \in Q$ ,  $|{}^{ullet}q| \leqslant 1$  and  $|q^{ullet}| \leqslant 1$
- 2. the transitive closure (called causal order)  $G^+$  of G is irreflexive
- 3. for each node  $x \in Q \cup V$ , the set  $\{ y \mid (y, x) \in G^+ \}$  is finite

A causal net constitutes the basis for a distributed run.

It is a possibly infinite net which satisfies:

- 1. Has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. Is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs

### Causal net

- 1. for each  $q \in Q$ ,  $|{}^{ullet}q| \leqslant 1$  and  $|q^{ullet}| \leqslant 1$
- 2. the transitive closure (called causal order)  $G^+$  of G is irreflexive
- 3. for each node  $x \in Q \cup V$ , the set  $\{ y \mid (y, x) \in G^+ \}$  is finite
- 4.  $M_0$  equals the minimal set of places in K under  $G^+$ , i.e.,

$$M_0 = {}^{\circ}K = \{ q \in Q \mid \bullet q = \varnothing \}.$$

A causal net constitutes the basis for a distributed run.

It is a possibly infinite net which satisfies:

- 1. Has no place branches: at most one arc ends or starts in a place
- 2. Is acyclic
- 3. Each sequence of arcs (flows) has a first element
- 4. The initial marking contains all places without incoming arcs

### Causal net

A (possibly infinite) net  $K = (Q, V, G, M_0)$  is called a causal net iff:

- 1. for each  $q \in Q$ ,  $|{}^{ullet}q| \leqslant 1$  and  $|q^{ullet}| \leqslant 1$
- 2. the transitive closure (called causal order)  $G^+$  of G is irreflexive
- 3. for each node  $x \in Q \cup V$ , the set  $\{ y \mid (y, x) \in G^+ \}$  is finite
- 4.  $M_0$  equals the minimal set of places in K under  $G^+$ , i.e.,

$$M_0 = {}^{\circ}K = \{ q \in Q \mid \bullet q = \varnothing \}.$$

Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll Concurrency Theory 35/52

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

### Proof.

By contraposition.

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ . This is impossible for j = 0, as by definition of causal nets,  $M_0$  has no ingoing arcs, and thus  $M_0 \cap t^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for each  $t \in T$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ . This is impossible for j = 0, as by definition of causal nets,  $M_0$  has no ingoing arcs, and thus  $M_0 \cap t^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for each  $t \in T$ . Hence, j > 0. Given that  $p \in M_j$  (for some j) and  $p \notin M_0$ , it follows  $p \in t_i^{\bullet}$  for some  $0 < i \leq j$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ . This is impossible for j = 0, as by definition of causal nets,  $M_0$  has no ingoing arcs, and thus  $M_0 \cap t^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for each  $t \in T$ . Hence, j > 0. Given that  $p \in M_j$  (for some j) and  $p \notin M_0$ , it follows  $p \in t_i^{\bullet}$  for some  $0 < i \leq j$ . (Some transition before reaching  $M_k$  must have put a token on p.)

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ . This is impossible for j = 0, as by definition of causal nets,  $M_0$  has no ingoing arcs, and thus  $M_0 \cap t^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for each  $t \in T$ . Hence, j > 0. Given that  $p \in M_j$  (for some j) and  $p \notin M_0$ , it follows  $p \in t_i^{\bullet}$  for some  $0 < i \leq j$ . (Some transition before reaching  $M_k$  must have put a token on p.) Thus  $t_i, t_k \in {}^{\bullet}p$ , where  $t_i \neq t_k$  as F is well-founded.
## Properties of causal nets

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

#### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ . This is impossible for j = 0, as by definition of causal nets,  $M_0$  has no ingoing arcs, and thus  $M_0 \cap t^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for each  $t \in T$ . Hence, j > 0. Given that  $p \in M_j$  (for some j) and  $p \notin M_0$ , it follows  $p \in t_i^{\bullet}$  for some  $0 < i \leq j$ . (Some transition before reaching  $M_k$  must have put a token on p.) Thus  $t_i, t_k \in {}^{\bullet}p$ , where  $t_i \neq t_k$  as F is well-founded. But by definition every place in a causal net is non-branching.

## Properties of causal nets

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

#### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ . This is impossible for j = 0, as by definition of causal nets,  $M_0$  has no ingoing arcs, and thus  $M_0 \cap t^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for each  $t \in T$ . Hence, j > 0. Given that  $p \in M_j$  (for some j) and  $p \notin M_0$ , it follows  $p \in t_i^{\bullet}$  for some  $0 < i \leq j$ . (Some transition before reaching  $M_k$  must have put a token on p.) Thus  $t_i, t_k \in {}^{\bullet}p$ , where  $t_i \neq t_k$  as F is well-founded. But by definition every place in a causal net is non-branching. So also p.

## Properties of causal nets

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_i \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

#### Proof.

By contraposition. Consider a step sequence of net N and suppose that  $p \in M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet}$  for some  $p \in P$  and some  $0 \leq j < k$ . This is impossible for j = 0, as by definition of causal nets,  $M_0$  has no ingoing arcs, and thus  $M_0 \cap t^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for each  $t \in T$ . Hence, j > 0. Given that  $p \in M_j$  (for some j) and  $p \notin M_0$ , it follows  $p \in t_i^{\bullet}$  for some  $0 < i \leq j$ . (Some transition before reaching  $M_k$  must have put a token on p.) Thus  $t_i, t_k \in {}^{\bullet}p$ , where  $t_i \neq t_k$  as F is well-founded. But by definition every place in a causal net is non-branching. So also p. Contradicting  $t_i, t_k \in {}^{\bullet}p$  for  $t_i \neq t_k$ .

### Boundedness of causal nets

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

### Boundedness of causal nets

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

#### Boundedness of causal nets

Every causal net is one-bounded, i.e., in every marking every place will hold at most one token.

### Boundedness of causal nets

#### Lemma

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then every step sequence:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k$$

of net N satisfies  $M_j \cap t_k^{\bullet} = \emptyset$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ .

#### Boundedness of causal nets

Every causal net is one-bounded, i.e., in every marking every place will hold at most one token.

#### Proof.

Follows directly from the fact that the initial marking  $M_0$  is one-bounded, and by the above lemma.

#### Absence of superfluous places and transitions

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then there exists a possibly infinite step sequence

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k \xrightarrow{t_{k+1}} \cdots$$

of N such that  $P = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} M_k$  and  $T = \{ t_k \mid k > 0 \}.$ 

#### Absence of superfluous places and transitions

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then there exists a possibly infinite step sequence

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k \xrightarrow{t_{k+1}} \cdots$$

of N such that 
$$P = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} M_k$$
 and  $T = \{ t_k \mid k > 0 \}.$ 

Proof.

On the black board.

#### Absence of superfluous places and transitions

Let  $N = (P, T, F, M_0)$  be a causal net. Then there exists a possibly infinite step sequence

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_k} M_k \xrightarrow{t_{k+1}} \cdots$$

of N such that 
$$P = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} M_k$$
 and  $T = \{ t_k \mid k > 0 \}.$ 

#### Proof.

On the black board.

A causal net thus contains no superfluous places and transitions, as every place is visited and every transition is fired in the above step sequence.

### Outset and end of a causal net

### Outset and end of a causal net

#### Outset and end of a causal net

The outset and end of causal net K = (Q, V, G, M) are defined by:

$${}^{\scriptscriptstyle O}{\mathcal K}=\{\,q\in Q\mid \ {}^{\bullet}\!q=arnothing\,\} \ \ ext{and} \ \ {\mathcal K}^\circ\ =\{\,q\in Q\mid q^\bullet=arnothing\,\}.$$

Places without an incoming arc form the outset  ${}^{\circ}K$ . The places without an outgoing arc form the end  $K^{\circ}$ .

#### **Distributed run**

A distributed run of a one-bounded elementary net system N is:

- 1. a labeled causal net  $K_N$
- 2. in which each transition t (with t and t) is an action of N.

A distributed run  $K_N$  of N is complete iff (the marking)  ${}^{\circ}K$  represents the initial marking of N and (the marking)  $K_N^{\circ}$  does not enable any transition.

If N is clear from the context we just write K for  $K_N$ .

### A distributed run for mutual exclusion





### A distributed run for mutual exclusion



Distributed run of the mutual exclusion algorithm.

Actions  $N_a$ ,  $N_b$ ,  $N_c$  and  $N_d$  causally precede  $N_e$ . They form a chain.  $N_a$  and  $N_d$  are not linked by actions; they are causally independent. The same applies to  $N_b$  and  $N_d$  and  $N_c$  and  $N_d$ .

### Expansion of a distributed run for mutual exclusion



A distributed run (top) and its extension with actions b and c (below).

### More distributed runs



Various finite distributed runs and an infinite distributed run (right) of net (left).

### **Causal order**

Opposed to sequential runs, distributed runs show the causal order of actions.



Nets with identical sequential runs (a occurs before b, or vice versa),

## **Causal order**

Opposed to sequential runs, distributed runs show the causal order of actions.



Nets with identical sequential runs (*a* occurs before *b*, or vice versa), but the left net has the left distributed run below, the right net both other ones:



Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll

#### Composition of distributed runs

For i = 1, 2, let  $K_i = (Q_i, V_i, G_i)$  be causal nets, labeled with  $\ell_i$ . Let  $(Q_1 \cup V_1) \cap (Q_2 \cap V_2) = K_1^{\circ} = {}^{\circ}K_2$  and for each  $p \in K_1^{\circ}$  let  $\ell_1(p) = \ell_2(p)$ .

#### Composition of distributed runs

For i = 1, 2, let  $K_i = (Q_i, V_i, G_i)$  be causal nets, labeled with  $\ell_i$ . Let  $(Q_1 \cup V_1) \cap (Q_2 \cap V_2) = K_1^\circ = {}^\circ K_2$  and for each  $p \in K_1^\circ$  let  $\ell_1(p) = \ell_2(p)$ . The composition of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , denoted  $K_1 \bullet K_2$ , is the causal net  $(Q_1 \cup Q_2, V_1 \cup V_2, G_1 \cup G_2)$  labeled with  $\ell$  with  $\ell(x) = \ell_i(x)$  if  $x \in K_i$ .

#### Composition of distributed runs

For i = 1, 2, let  $K_i = (Q_i, V_i, G_i)$  be causal nets, labeled with  $\ell_i$ . Let  $(Q_1 \cup V_1) \cap (Q_2 \cap V_2) = K_1^\circ = {}^\circ K_2$  and for each  $p \in K_1^\circ$  let  $\ell_1(p) = \ell_2(p)$ . The composition of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , denoted  $K_1 \bullet K_2$ , is the causal net  $(Q_1 \cup Q_2, V_1 \cup V_2, G_1 \cup G_2)$  labeled with  $\ell$  with  $\ell(x) = \ell_i(x)$  if  $x \in K_i$ .

#### Intuition

The composition  $K \bullet L$  is formed by identifying the end  $K^{\circ}$  of K with the outset  ${}^{\circ}L$  of L. To do this,  $K^{\circ}$  and  ${}^{\circ}L$  must represent the same marking.

#### Composition of distributed runs

For i = 1, 2, let  $K_i = (Q_i, V_i, G_i)$  be causal nets, labeled with  $\ell_i$ . Let  $(Q_1 \cup V_1) \cap (Q_2 \cap V_2) = K_1^\circ = {}^\circ K_2$  and for each  $p \in K_1^\circ$  let  $\ell_1(p) = \ell_2(p)$ . The composition of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , denoted  $K_1 \bullet K_2$ , is the causal net  $(Q_1 \cup Q_2, V_1 \cup V_2, G_1 \cup G_2)$  labeled with  $\ell$  with  $\ell(x) = \ell_i(x)$  if  $x \in K_i$ .

#### Intuition

The composition  $K \bullet L$  is formed by identifying the end  $K^{\circ}$  of K with the outset  ${}^{\circ}L$  of L. To do this,  $K^{\circ}$  and  ${}^{\circ}L$  must represent the same marking.



#### **Distributed run**

A distributed run of a one-bounded elementary net system N is:

- 1. a labeled causal net K
- 2. in which each transition t (with t and t) is an action of N.

#### **Distributed run**

A distributed run of a one-bounded elementary net system N is:

- 1. a labeled causal net K
- 2. in which each transition t (with t and t) is an action of N.

A distributed run K of N is complete iff (the marking)  $^{\circ}K$  represents the initial marking of N and (the marking)  $K^{\circ}$  does not enable any transition.

#### **Distributed run**

A distributed run of a one-bounded elementary net system N is:

- 1. a labeled causal net K
- 2. in which each transition t (with t and t) is an action of N.

A distributed run K of N is complete iff (the marking)  $^{\circ}K$  represents the initial marking of N and (the marking)  $K^{\circ}$  does not enable any transition.

Examples on the black board.

#### **Distributed run**

A distributed run of a one-bounded elementary net system N is:

- 1. a labeled causal net K
- 2. in which each transition t (with t and t) is an action of N.

A distributed run K of N is complete iff (the marking)  $^{\circ}K$  represents the initial marking of N and (the marking)  $K^{\circ}$  does not enable any transition.

Examples on the black board.

Today: a characterization of distributed runs using homomorphisms.

#### Homomorphism

A homomorphism from  $N_1 = (P_1, T_1, F_1, M_{0,1})$  to  $N_2 = (P_2, T_2, F_2, M_{0,2})$ is a mapping  $h: P_1 \cup T_1 \to P_2 \cup T_2$  such that:

#### Homomorphism

A homomorphism from  $N_1 = (P_1, T_1, F_1, M_{0,1})$  to  $N_2 = (P_2, T_2, F_2, M_{0,2})$ is a mapping  $h: P_1 \cup T_1 \to P_2 \cup T_2$  such that: <sup>2</sup>

1.  $h(P_1) \subseteq P_2$  and  $h(T_1) \subseteq T_2$ , and

#### Homomorphism

A homomorphism from  $N_1 = (P_1, T_1, F_1, M_{0,1})$  to  $N_2 = (P_2, T_2, F_2, M_{0,2})$ is a mapping  $h: P_1 \cup T_1 \to P_2 \cup T_2$  such that: <sup>2</sup>

- 1.  $h(P_1) \subseteq P_2$  and  $h(T_1) \subseteq T_2$ , and
- 2.  $\forall t \in T_1$ , the restriction of h to  $\bullet t$  is a bijection between  $\bullet t$  (in  $N_1$ ) and  $\bullet h(t)$  (in  $N_2$ ), and similarly for  $t^{\bullet}$  and  $h(t)^{\bullet}$ , and

#### Homomorphism

A homomorphism from  $N_1 = (P_1, T_1, F_1, M_{0,1})$  to  $N_2 = (P_2, T_2, F_2, M_{0,2})$ is a mapping  $h: P_1 \cup T_1 \to P_2 \cup T_2$  such that: <sup>2</sup>

- 1.  $h(P_1) \subseteq P_2$  and  $h(T_1) \subseteq T_2$ , and
- 2.  $\forall t \in T_1$ , the restriction of h to  $\bullet t$  is a bijection between  $\bullet t$  (in  $N_1$ ) and  $\bullet h(t)$  (in  $N_2$ ), and similarly for  $t^{\bullet}$  and  $h(t)^{\bullet}$ , and
- 3. the restriction of h to  $M_{0,1}$  is a bijection between  $M_{0,1}$  and  $M_{0,2}$ .<sup>3</sup>

#### Homomorphism

A homomorphism from  $N_1 = (P_1, T_1, F_1, M_{0,1})$  to  $N_2 = (P_2, T_2, F_2, M_{0,2})$ is a mapping  $h: P_1 \cup T_1 \to P_2 \cup T_2$  such that: <sup>2</sup>

- 1.  $h(P_1) \subseteq P_2$  and  $h(T_1) \subseteq T_2$ , and
- 2.  $\forall t \in T_1$ , the restriction of h to  $\bullet t$  is a bijection between  $\bullet t$  (in  $N_1$ ) and  $\bullet h(t)$  (in  $N_2$ ), and similarly for  $t^{\bullet}$  and  $h(t)^{\bullet}$ , and
- 3. the restriction of h to  $M_{0,1}$  is a bijection between  $M_{0,1}$  and  $M_{0,2}$ .<sup>3</sup>

#### Intuition

A homomorphism is a mapping between nets that preserves the nature of nodes and the environment of nodes. A homomorphism from  $N_1$  to  $N_2$  means that  $N_1$ can be folded onto a part of  $N_2$ , or in other words, that  $N_1$  can be obtained by partially unfolding a part of  $N_2$ .

# Distributed run using homomorphisms

**Distributed run** 

[Best and Fernandez, 1988]

A distributed run of an elementary net system N is a pair (K, h) where K is a causal net and h is a homomorphism from K to N.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup>Best and Fernandez called this a process of a net. <sup>5</sup>In the previous slides, the labeling *h* was explicitly given as  $\ell$ .

Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll

Concurrency Theory

# Distributed run using homomorphisms

**Distributed run** 

[Best and Fernandez, 1988]

A distributed run of an elementary net system N is a pair (K, h) where K is a causal net and h is a homomorphism from K to N.<sup>4</sup>

#### Intuition

A distributed run (K, h) of N may be viewed as a net K of which the places and transitions are labeled by places and transitions of N

Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Best and Fernandez called this a process of a net.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In the previous slides, the labeling *h* was explicitly given as  $\ell$ .
## Distributed run using homomorphisms

**Distributed run** 

[Best and Fernandez, 1988]

A distributed run of an elementary net system N is a pair (K, h) where K is a causal net and h is a homomorphism from K to N.<sup>4</sup>

#### Intuition

A distributed run (K, h) of N may be viewed as a net K of which the places and transitions are labeled by places and transitions of N such that the labeling h forms a net homomorphism from K to N.<sup>5</sup>

Joost-Pieter Katoen and Thomas Noll

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Best and Fernandez called this a process of a net.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In the previous slides, the labeling *h* was explicitly given as  $\ell$ .

### **Examples**

## **Overview**

### 1 Introduction

- 2 Nets and markings
- 3 The true concurrency semantics of Petri nets
- 4 Distributed runs



- A causal net is a possibly infinite net which is:
  - well-founded, acyclic, and has no place branching, and
  - whose initial marking are the places without incoming arcs

- A causal net is a possibly infinite net which is:
  - well-founded, acyclic, and has no place branching, and
  - whose initial marking are the places without incoming arcs

Causal nets are one-bounded, and contain no redundant nodes

- A causal net is a possibly infinite net which is:
  - well-founded, acyclic, and has no place branching, and
  - whose initial marking are the places without incoming arcs
- Causal nets are one-bounded, and contain no redundant nodes
- A distributed run of N is a causal net whose nodes are labeled with nodes from N

- A causal net is a possibly infinite net which is:
  - well-founded, acyclic, and has no place branching, and
  - whose initial marking are the places without incoming arcs
- Causal nets are one-bounded, and contain no redundant nodes
- A distributed run of N is a causal net whose nodes are labeled with nodes from N
- A distributed run can be obtained by composing causal nets

- A causal net is a possibly infinite net which is:
  - well-founded, acyclic, and has no place branching, and
  - whose initial marking are the places without incoming arcs
- Causal nets are one-bounded, and contain no redundant nodes
- A distributed run of N is a causal net whose nodes are labeled with nodes from N
- A distributed run can be obtained by composing causal nets
- Nets that have the same causal nets are causally equivalent

- A causal net is a possibly infinite net which is:
  - well-founded, acyclic, and has no place branching, and
  - whose initial marking are the places without incoming arcs
- Causal nets are one-bounded, and contain no redundant nodes
- A distributed run of N is a causal net whose nodes are labeled with nodes from N
- A distributed run can be obtained by composing causal nets
- Nets that have the same causal nets are causally equivalent
- Distributed run = the "true concurrency" analogue to a sequential run